	Page 1
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	
3	
	x
4	The Matter of Independent Investigation
	Under New York State Executive Law
5	Section 63(8)
	x
6	
	VOLUME I
7	
	VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION VIA ZOOM OF:
8	
	WITNESS -6-22-21
9	
	TUESDAY, JUNE 22, 2021
10	
	10:00 a.m.
11	
12	
13	VIRTUAL ZOOM INVESTIGATION before
14	SILVIA P. WAGE, a Certified Shorthand Reporter,
15	Certified Realtime Reporter, Registered
16	Professional Reporter, and Notary Public for the
17	States of New Jersey, New York and Pennsylvania.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	REPORTED BY:
٥-	SILVIA P. WAGE, CCR, CRR, RPR
25	JOB NO. 4663039

	Page 3
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	APPEARANCES (CONT.):
3	
	BRUNE LAW PC
4	Attorneys for Witness 6-22-21
	450 Park Ave #1901
5	New York, New York 10022
	Wsbrune@brunelaw.com
6	Edougherty@brunelaw.com
	BY: SUSAN E. BRUNE, ESQ.
7	BY: ERIN C. DOUGHERTY, ESQ.
8	
9	ALSO PRESENT:
LO	
	MARC FRIEDMAN
11	VIDEOGRAPHER
12	
13	
L <b>4</b>	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

HIGHLI CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL.	_
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning.	We
are going on the record at 10:12 a.m. Eastern	ļ
Standard Time on Tuesday, June 22nd, 201.	

Please silence your cell phone, computer tone or any other electronic devices you have near you. Audio and video recording will continue to take place unless all parties agree to go off the record.

This is Media Unit No. 1 of the video recorded deposition of Witness 06-22-2021.

This is in the matter of the Independent Investigation under New York State Executive Session 63(8).

My name is Marc Friedman. I'm your Certified Video Legal Specialist. Your Court Reporter today is Silvia Wage. And we are both from the firm of Veritext Legal Solutions.

This deposition is being held via remote video conference. All Counsel consent to this remote video arrangement and waive any objections to this manner of reporting.

If there are any objections to the Court Reporter swearing in the witness remotely and this remote video arrangement, please state

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	them now.
3	Hearing no objections, will Counsel
4	please state now on the record their appearances
5	and affiliations beginning with the noticing
6	attorney.
7	MS. CLARK: I'm Anne Clark. I'm with
8	the law firm of Vladeck Raskin & Clark but
9	appearing here today as Special Deputy to the
10	First Deputy Attorney General of the State of New
11	York.
12	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Hi, I'm Jennifer
13	Kennedy-Park from the law firm Cleary Gottlieb
14	Steen & Hamilton. But for today's purposes, I'm
15	a Special Deputy of the First Deputy Attorney
16	General of the New York Attorney General's
17	Office.
18	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: I'm Elkan
19	Abramowitz, Morvillo Abramowitz, representing Ms.
20	Witness.
21	MR. SPIRO: Edward Spiro of Morvillo
22	Abramowitz Grand Iason & Anello, Counsel for Ms.
23	Witness.
24	MS. BRUNE: Susan Brune of Brune Law
25	PC also for Witness.

1	HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL	-	WITNESS	6/22/21	VOL.	I
2	proceed.						

WITNESS 6-22-2021,

After having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.

You may proceed.

## EXAMINATION BY MS. CLARK:

Q. Thank you for meeting us today. I'll introduce myself again. I'm Anne Clark here today as Special Deputy to the First Deputy Attorney General.

The New York Attorney General has appointed the law firms of Vladeck Raskin and Cleary Gottlieb to conduct an independent investigation under New York Executive Law Section 63(8) into allegations of sexual harassment brought against Governor Andrew Cuomo, as well as the surrounding circumstances.

And you're here today issued pursuant
-- and you are here pursuant to a subpoena issued
in connection with the investigation.

As the Videographer noted, we are recording today's proceedings. You are also under oath, which I'm sure as an attorney you

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	know means that you must testify fully and
3	truthfully just as if were in a court of law.
4	At the end I'll remind you if you
5	would like to make a brief sworn statement after
6	we're done questioning, you're free to put your
7	own statement on the record.
8	Although this is a civil litigation,
9	we need to advise you that the New York Attorney
10	General's Office has criminal enforcement powers.
11	You can't hear?
12	A. I have to take these off.
13	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Put them like this
14	so when you speak, you can talk into the
15	microphone.
16	A. Because there's a lag and it's just
17	impossible for me to hear what you're saying.
18	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Can I just suggest
19	you putting earphones on your temple.
20	MS. CLARK: And then the Mike.
21	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Yeah, that's good.
22	THE WITNESS: Alright.
23	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Although you
24	probably couldn't hear it.
25	THE WITNESS: Yeah.

Q. Which is at the end I'll remind you again that if you want to make a brief sworn statement after we're done asking questions, if there's anything we didn't address or there's something you didn't get a chance to say, you'll have the ability to do that.

We also have to let you know that although this is a civil investigation, that the Attorney General's Office does have criminal enforcement powers. So you have the right to refuse to answer a question if you think it would incriminate you.

Failure to answer can be used against you in civil but not in criminal proceedings, as your Counsel here can advise you on those issues.

You are here today with Counsel present and you may consult with them about any privilege issues whether it's attorney-client or Fifth Amendment or any others.

We have a Court Reporter present virtually. So it's important that even though we're videotaping that we also get a clean record. So, if you answer by shaking your head or saying uh-huh, I'll remind you to give a

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
verbal response. And the hardest thing in
depositions always it's even worse with Zoom
is do not to talk at the same time. That
makes it very hard for the Court Reporter. So I
will do my best to wait until you're finished
answering your question and ask that wait until I
finish asking my question.

If at any point you don't understand any of my questions, let me know and I'll try to rephrase it in a way that makes more sense.

If you need a break at any point -it's going be a long day, we'll just take breaks
throughout the day -- just let me know, as long
as there is no question pending.

MS. CLARK: I know we have several of your attorneys here. So I know who is in the room here.

If the attorneys who are remote can confirm that there is no one else in the room with them.

MR. KINDER: Yes, hi. There is no one in the room other than myself, Ms. Vitale and Ms. Foti.

MS. CLARK: And then I want to

	rage 13
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	confirm that all of those present, you and your
3	Counsel, and those remotely are not recording
4	this proceeding in any fashion including any
5	screen tabs or devices; is that correct?
6	MR. SPIRO: That's correct.
7	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: That's correct.
8	Q. And we also want to advise you not to
9	be communicating throughout the day with anyone
10	in realtime through messaging or any other mean:

0 in realtime through messaging or any other means. You can certainly confer amongst yourselves.

Executive law Section 63(8), the provision under which this investigation is being conducted, prohibits you and your Counsel from revealing anything that we ask or what you say during the testimony to anyone. If anyone asks to you disclose that information, we ask you to let us know that someone has done that.

Okay, all set.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Counsel, this is Marc, the Videographer.

MS. CLARK: Okay. I'll move my head Now that I'm not reading a speech, it will should get better.

> THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Your voice trails

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	off.
3	Q. Are you taking anything today that
4	would affect your ability to testify
5	THE STENOGRAPHER: I'm sorry. I'm
6	sorry, I'm sorry, everybody is talking at kind of
7	once and you're fading in and out on the
8	microphone. And it's really difficult to get a
9	clear record here.
10	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Silvia, we're
11	fixing the microphone situation. So Anne was
12	just having to look at documents and so the mike
13	is closer to her mouth now and we'll try to have
14	her move her head less. So I think we should be
15	good to go.
16	You okay now?
17	THE STENOGRAPHER: Yeah, I didn't get
18	everything that she said, just so you know.
19	(There is a discussion off the
20	record.)
21	MR. KENNEDY-PARK: Why don't we
22	proceed for, like, the first just beginning and
23	then I'm going to try to get someone to come
24	bring in some standing mikes for us and see if we

can do that.

	Page 17
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	MS. CLARK: One second.
3	MR. KIM: Can we go off record?
4	MS. CLARK: Go off record so I can
5	switch headphones.
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Stand by. The
7	time is 10:23 a.m. We're going off the record.
8	(Recess taken 10:23 to 10:25 a.m.)
9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
10	10:26 a.m. We are back on the record.
11	Q. Okay. I'll try to get some actual
12	questions.
13	Is there any other reason why you
14	can't testify accurately and truthfully today?
15	A. There isn't.
16	Q. Have you had any alcohol today?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Could you for the record state your
19	name, date of birth and your personal business
20	addresses please.
21	A. My name is Witness. My date of birth
22	is .
23	And I'm sorry. Did you ask for both
24	my personal and business address?
25	O Yes correct

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	A. My personal address is
3	. And my
4	business address is 633 Third Avenue also in New
5	York. And I don't recall the zip code.
6	Q. It's been so long since you were
7	there probably.
8	A. No, I go there every day.
9	Q. Have you ever testified in any
10	proceedings before?
11	A. No, I haven't.
12	Q. Other than conversations with your
13	Counsel, have you done anything to prepare for
14	today?
15	A. No. Well, I've reviewed some
16	documents.
17	Q. What documents have you reviewed?
18	A. I reviewed a series of documents. I
19	couldn't possibly list them all.
20	Q. Were they documents that you
21	produced?
22	A. They were documents that many of
23	which, I believe, were produced by Counsel,
24	either my own Counsel or Counsel for the Chamber.
25	Q. And, just for the record, you can

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	take that exhibit binder in front of you, if you
3	could turn to Tab 1.
4	(Deposition Exhibit 1, testimony
5	subpoena to Witness, was marked for
6	identification.)
7	Q. Do you recognize this as the subpoena
8	for your testimony today?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And do you understand that you're
11	testimony today is being taken pursuant to this
12	subpoena?
13	A. Yes, I do.
14	Q. And if you while you have that in
15	front of you turn to Tab 2.
16	(Deposition Exhibit 2, document
17	subpoena to Witness, was marked for
18	identification.)
19	Q. And is this the subpoena served on
20	you for documents?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And did you read through this
23	subpoena?
24	A. At the time that I received it, I did
25	read through it. I've not reviewed it recently.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. And are you the person who gathered
3	the documents responsive to the subpoena?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Who gathered the documents responsive
6	to the subpoena?
7	A. I provided my devices to my
8	attorneys, as well as some at least, my
9	Chamber iPhone to Arnold & Porter. I provided
10	certain documents directly to Arnold & Porter.
11	And I believe my Counsel had provided documents
12	to Arnold & Porter as well.
13	Q. And we've received various categories
14	of documents.
15	Is there anything else that you found
16	since you gave documents to your attorneys and
17	the devices to the respective attorneys?
18	A. To the best of my knowledge, I have
19	turned over everything in my possession that
20	could contain responsive documents.
21	Q. And we just got your Certificate of
22	Completion so
23	If you can give us a brief overview
24	of your educational background.

Starting from like -- I'll start

Α.

Ah.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I from college unless you want me to --

- Q. Start from college, yeah.
- A. Okay. I went to Wesleyan University graduated in 1981, went to New York University law school, graduated in 1985.
- Q. And could you give us sort of highlights of your employment history post-law school through joining the Chamber.
- A. Sure. So, after graduating from law school, I clerked for a year for a federal judge in the District of Connecticut. I then joined Debevoise & Plimpton as an associate. I actually worked there entirely part-time for several years.

I then left intending to go to graduate school and public health but had an opportunity to join the US Attorney's Office in the Southern District of New York, the Civil Division, which I did in, I think, '92 and remained there for a little over four years.

I took a leave of absence which turned into a sort of quasi -- I resigned from the US Attorney's Office after a leave of absence and shortly after that was introduced to some

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I people associated with the firm Morvillo Abramowitz, discussed various options for coming to work with them and, ultimately, decided that I wanted to remain at home with my children and so began a long and productive tenure with the firm initially assisting them in writing a series of articles that they regularly published in the New York law journal. I began teaching as an Adjunct Professor at Pace Law School.

Abramowitz approached me about coming to work with them as an attorney, which I did initially remotely on a sort of contract basis, and then joined the firm in January of 2008, became a partner that summer and remained there until early January 2019 when I joined the Executive Chamber.

- Q. And when did you first meet Andrew Cuomo?
- A. I first Andrew Cuomo in connection with preparing him as a witness in an investigation being conducted by Mr. Kim or people under his direction. I can't give you the exact date. But it was in connection with the

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	investigation into the Moreland Commission.
3	Q. And were you retained by the Governor
4	personally or by the Executive Chamber or
5	something else?
6	A. Our firm Morvillo Abramowitz was
7	retained by the Executive Chamber.
8	Q. And other than that investigation,
9	did you do any other work for the Governor prior
10	to joining his administration?
11	A. I prepared him for two additional law
12	enforcement interviews and accompanied him to
13	those interviews.
14	Q. And when did those take place?
15	A. You'll learn I'm not very good with
16	dates, but they took place prior to 2018, maybe
17	2016 or '17, roughly.
18	Q. And how did you come to work for the
19	administration?
20	A. Sorry.
21	. Sorry, I need a second.
22	Q. If you need a break
23	A. No.
24	Q there's over there too
25	so

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - W	ITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
-------------------------	-----------------------

A. I'm okay.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

- A. And I had developed a very close relationship with Linda Lacewell, who was my main client contact when we represented the Executive Chamber, and through a series of conversations with Linda, you know, became -- I had been thinking generally about wanting to go into public service and Linda, essentially, created a job for me that would allow me -- I'm sorry.
  - Q. Take your time.
- A. She really created a job for me that would allow me to go work in state government working on issues related to gun safety and criminal justice reform, you know, two issues that were very important to me, as well as working on government investigations and other public integrity issues. So it was a job that did not exist prior to our discussions and that she really, I think, through sort of shear force

	HIGHI	LY CO	NFIDE	NTIAL	-	WITNESS	6/22	2/21	VOI	. I
οf	will	l and	imag	inatio	n	figured	out	how	to	create
ar	d it	was	very	quick.						

I think I first spoke with her about it probably we started talking in November and by December I had the job and by middle of January I was sitting at a desk in the Executive Chamber.

- Q. And that's January 2019?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And what was your title when you first joined?
- A. It's been the same throughout. It's Special Counsel to the Governor.
- Q. And in terms of your responsibilities when you first joined, what were you responsible for?
- A. So I had sort of two separate lanes, if you will. One of them was working on gun safety measures and criminal justice reform issues. And quite separate and independent from that I worked on managing anything that we would have referred to as a nonroutine law enforcement inquiry that came to any agency throughout the state.
  - Q. What is a "nonroutine law enforcement

HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL	-	WITNESS	6/22/21	VOL.	I
inquiry"?						

A. Well, like a routine law enforcement inquiry would be a subpoena for E-ZPass records in connection with some crime where the agency just happened to be literally a custodian of records that might be of interest to law enforcement and that they get dozens, if not hundreds and are handled routinely as a matter of course.

"Nonroutine law enforcement inquiry"
would be something out of the ordinary course, a
sort of you know it when you see it, but that
called for some judgment and sort of careful
management of request from law enforcement.

- Q. And how long were these areas or areas of responsibility?
- A. They remained my -- within my areas of responsibility throughout my time in the Chamber.
- Q. And have you assumed any additional responsibilities as time has gone on?
- A. Yes. As time went on, the job, you know, because it didn't exist before I got there, there was a lot of opportunity for me to move

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I into other areas as needed. I was asked to work on a series of special projects that required some investigative or analytic skills or legal, you know, legal research skills that I had.

, who had been the Special Counsel for the public integrity, took on the position of the IG or the MTA and I assumed a lot of the responsibilities that she had held including supervision of the Chamber Special Counsel -- I mean, the Special Counsel team, which is a series of couple of dozen fairly senior level attorneys who have dual reporting into -- each one into an agency or several agencies and into the Chamber. They reported into one of my deputies and I had several other attorneys who I supervised.

And then the Counsel to the Governor,
Alfonso David, stepped down in the summer of 2019
and there was a period -- even though there was
an acting Counsel to the Governor, there was a
period where I took on some of the
responsibilities that he had had and retained
those, mostly in the criminal justice reform area
after the new Counsel came in in the fall.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
And then when COVID hit in, you know,
March of 2020, like almost everybody else in the
Executive Chamber, all job descriptions went out
the window and I was engaged, if not a hundred
percent of the time, almost, you know, 24 hours a
day in addressing COVID-related issues.

- Q. And has your responsibilities changed at all now that COVID is more under control than it was say a year ago?
- A. Yeah, well, unfortunately, with the COVID becoming more under control, there have been a series of well-publicized investigations which have been commenced and so I've been focused to a greater extent than at any point in my tenure on the investigative aspect of my responsibilities.
- Q. And which investigations are you involved in other than this one?
- A. Actually, with this one because I am

  -- I was aware that I am a witness, I have
  actually played very very very little role in
  this investigation. But there is an Eastern
  District investigation, a criminal investigation
  now being conducted by the AG's Office and those

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	are the principal investigations.
3	Q. And during the time that you've been
4	in Chamber, to whom have you reported?
5	A. I report to Melissa DeRosa, who is a
6	secretary to the Governor.
7	Q. And you mentioned having some
8	deputies and then later having Special Counsel.
9	How many people have reported to you
10	and it sounds like if it's changed over time,
11	explain how that's changed.
12	A. So, I think, when I started, I don't
13	think I had any direct reports. And I think
<b>14</b>	about it in terms of signing their time sheets.
15	I think, actually, I technically signed
16	's time sheet, but she, certainly, didn't
17	report to me.
18	After left, I assumed
19	responsibility for supervising two principal
20	Deputies, and .
21	ran the Special Counsel Program. had some
22	supervisory responsibility over a group of
23	researchers and some FOIL lawyers. And several
24	of them reported you know, I signed their time

sheets, although they were technically supervised

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	by .
3	We had a fellow who came to work with
4	us who worked initially with me primarily on gun
5	safety issues but sort of became an integral part
6	of our team. Her name was
7	now have another young woman in that role sort of
8	as the junior member of our team named
9	•
10	left State Service
11	several months ago and woman named
12	reports to me. And then while I don't have
13	direct supervisory responsibility over the
14	Special Counsel, I'm frequently called into
15	matters that they elevate and I would say I have
16	an informal mentorship supervisory role with the
17	group.
18	Q. And you said that you're at 633 Third
19	Avenue.
20	Where in the building is your office?
21	A. My office is on the 39th floor.
22	Q. And where is that in relation to the
23	Governor's Office?
24	A. Almost completely catty-corner.

And do you have an office at the

Q.

HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL	-	WITNESS	6/22/21	VOL.	Ι
capital a	as well?					

- A. I've had several offices assigned to me. The most recent of which ended up being taken over by the nurses who were involved in the COVID, you know, screening. And so I frequently camped out in one particular office, but it wasn't technically assigned to me. But I am infrequently in Albany.
  - Q. Where is the office you "camped in"?
- A. I have used the office that was assigned to \_\_\_\_\_\_, who is the Deputy Secretary for Economic Development and who was in Albany toward the beginning of COVID but ended up coming back to New York City and I don't think returned to -- neither she nor I was in Albany very often, so it just worked out that I could use her office.
- Q. And is that on the secured or the unsecured corridor?
- A. It is on the unsecured -- well, I got to -- the entire second floor is unsecured except for one small portion. But \_\_\_\_\_\_\_'s office is on the opposite side of the second floor from where the guard desk is.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	- WITNESS	6/22	/21	VOL.	I
---------------------	-----------	------	-----	------	---

Q. And you said you don't spend a lot of time in Albany.

How often have you gone to Albany?

A. It's irregular. There have been periods where I was there a couple of times a week. I've not been in Albany now since late February.

And I would say during the period leading up to COVID, I was there maybe once, maybe twice a month but not very often.

- Q. And how often have you interacted with the Governor during your time in Chambers?

  And if it's changed over time, explain that.
- A. I think I've had one small meeting with him that just involved one other person.

  I've had attended a number of staff meetings.

  They were held -- you know, aspirationally, they were held monthly. They did not generally happen on a monthly basis. But when there was a senior staff meeting, I attended that either in person or remotely; probably ten of those during my tenure. Although that's sort of rough estimate.

And then I have attended two other -I think, two other meetings that were, you know,

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	on a specific subject matter but also with large
3	groups and I have spoken with him on the phone.
4	Q. In the small meeting you had with one
5	other than, when was that?
6	A. That would have been very early in my
7	tenure in the office.
8	Q. And what was the general topic of
9	that meeting?
10	A. The MTA.
11	Q. Who was the other person?
12	A
13	Q. Have you ever met one on one with the
14	Governor alone?
15	A. No.
16	Q. You said you had two other meetings
17	with large groups.
18	What were the topics of those
19	meetings?
20	A. One involved an issue at the
21	Department of Health. It was not COVID related.
22	And I cannot remember what the other one was
23	about. I just remember that it was a smaller
24	group of people.

And how often have you spoken to the

Q.

HIGHLY	CON	IFIDE	ENTIAL	-	WITNESS	6/22/21	VOL.	Ι
Governor	on	the	phone?	•				

- A. Again, not with any consistency or regularity. But I would put it at someplace between one and two dozen times over the course of two years.
- Q. Do you ever communicate with the Governor by e-mail?
  - A. No.

- Q. Other than attending meetings or speaking by phone are, there other ways that you communicate with the Governor?
- A. When I first started, we -- I had a BlackBerry and there was a function on the BlackBerry called a BlackBerry pin. I believe I communicated with him once intentionally by BlackBerry and once I didn't realize that he was on a pin chain that I was on and I did so unintentionally. But, yes, very infrequently.
- Q. And my understanding from your submission is that at some point you exchanged from a BlackBerry from an iPhone; is that right?
  - A. From my "submission"?
- Q. From what your attorneys have provided in terms of your devices.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	A. Oh. Yes, yes. By the very end of
3	2019, I happily swapped out my BlackBerry for a
4	Chamber iPhone.
5	Q. And after that did you have any
6	ability to communicate electronically with the
7	Governor?
8	A. I did not.
9	Q. And how would you describe your
10	relationship with the Governor?
11	A. Cordial. It I was not I would
12	not say that I was close to him. But it was a
13	very cordial relationship.
14	Q. Now, when you joined the Chambers,
15	did you receive any training on policies and
16	procedures?
17	A. Yeah. I mean, we had an initial
18	orientation. There was a series of policies that
19	we had to read and review, I think, certify that
20	we had done so. So that was when I started and
21	then there is a series of required trainings that
22	one take on an annual basis.
23	And for members of senior staff,
24	those trainings are actually all printed out and

presented to -- they were presented to me in a

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
folder and I went through each one of them and
had to sign it. I think I don't recall if I
signed each one as I took it or I had to sign
like a cover sheet that I took them. But I went
through them and took those.

- Q. And those are printed in hardcopies as opposed to a computer?
- A. That's correct. And then,
  additionally, there is a live ethics training
  that is required within a certain relatively
  short time starting in the Chamber and then every
  two years after that.
- Q. If you remember, what were the topics covered by the training that you had when you first joined?
  - A. The printed materials?
  - Q. Whatever the materials --
  - A. Yeah.
- Q. -- when you first joined, whatever training you had.
- A. It was a series of office policies.

  I can't tell you right now what the full range of policies were. But I think it ranged from vehicle use and, you know, computer use to --

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I like, I'm pretty sure the anti-discrimination policy was part of the training. I think there was something -- a concept that I had not heard of before called, "Workplace Violence," that was part of the training. My guess is it's close to a dozen topics and I don't remember all of them.

- Q. And the training when you first joined, was that also printed materials or was it online or live or...
  - A. It was printed.
- Q. And the training where you get the binder or the folder every year, what topics are covered in those trainings?
- A. Again, those are the required annual trainings and I think there is -- I think I also took some CLE live trainings. I think I took a diversity equity and inclusion that was required for my CLE offered by the Attorney General's office.

I really can't go -- I don't recall all of the trainings that were in the printed folder. But I do know that it did include a training on workplace discrimination and EEO policies.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. And that included sexual harassment?
3	A. Yes, it did.
4	Q. I'm going ask you to turn to Tab 3 in
5	your book.
6	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Yeah, before you
7	do that for a minute.
8	You said the senior staff had the
9	trainings printed for them.
10	Do you know why that was done?
11	THE WITNESS: I don't know why it was
12	done. It was something I knew from my initial
13	orientation that we were required to take a
14	series of trainings and I inquired, like, where
15	do I go, where do I find them, how do I do them.
16	And was told oh, for your senior
17	staff and we'll print them out and give them all
18	to you. And that was clear to me that that was
19	an established practice by the time I got there.
20	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: And so junior
21	staff did them electronically?
22	THE WITNESS: In my understanding,
23	senior staff of which I was a member were the
24	only ones that were given them to be done and it
25	was my understanding was sort of to that way

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	their assistants could make sure that they were
3	done and there was followup to make sure that
4	they were done, at least, in my case.
5	Q. And turn to Tab 3 and this is how it
6	was produced to us.
7	A. Uh-huh.
8	Q. I apologize for the microscopic print
9	here.
10	(Deposition Exhibit 3,
11	Chamber_AG_00020983 Spreadsheet of
12	completion of various trainings sent via e-mail
13	to Lauren Grasso on 12/5/19. Sexual Harassment
14	Prevention is the last tab of the Excel, was
15	marked for identification.)
16	A. You're going to have to help me with
17	this one.
18	Q. Have you ever seen this document
19	before?
20	A. Anne, I will be honest with you. I
21	cannot, literally, even with my glasses tell you
22	what this document says.

heading, if I can read it is, "2019 Mandated TRNG

Can you read me what the...

Q. Sure.

I will tell you that the

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I Training Compliance," and then it's got various categories.

And on the back of the second -- the second page has a section where it has, "Equal Employment Opportunity Rights and Responsibilities." It starts about halfway down, continues onto the next page.

And for people in the Executive

Chamber including yourself on the back of the second page it says, "not compliant." And this was as of...

- A. As of when?
- Q. As of March of 2019.
- A. Well, I started in January of 2019.

First of all, in answer to your question, I do not believe I've ever seen this document before. I, certainly, don't recognize it. I can't say that I definitely didn't see it, but it doesn't look familiar.

I started toward the end of January of 2019 and in my understanding the -- other than the comprehensive ethics training, which is mandated that it must happen and I don't know whether it's within 60 or 90 days, but within a

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I defined and relatively short period of time, what I was told and what I believe to be the case is that these trainings must be done on an annual basis. But it was not required of me within the first six weeks of my employment.

- Q. And for the annual training, did it

  -- was it tied to your start date or was there a

  date in a year by which everyone had to complete

  the various training component?
- A. Again, my understanding is that everybody must take it within a calendar year and that -- in the late fall is when the materials were circulated, at least, to me.
- Q. And do you recall whether you did the EEO training in the late fall of 2019?
  - A. That's my recollection, yeah.
- Q. And in 2020 during COVID, were you given the same binder that you were pre-COVID times?
- A. No. And my understanding GOER, which is the agency that sort of decides what trainings need to happen and puts out the trainings, made the decision that they would suspend the required training for the calendar year 2020.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. And has it been reinstated for
3	calendar year 2021?
4	A. Again, to my understanding, it has.
5	Q. And have you taken it for 2021 yet?
6	A. I did take the training for the
7	sexual well, for the workplace discrimination
8	or maybe it's specific to sexual harassment. I
9	took the sexual harassment training.
10	Q. When did you take that?
11	A. When did I take it?
12	Q. Yes.
13	A. Within the last couple of months. I
14	it you know, it hadn't been up for a while
15	and then when GOER did put it up, I wanted to
16	take it so I did.
17	Q. When you say, "put it up," is that
18	training you did on a computer?
19	A. Yes, I did.
20	Q. And when you finished going through
21	that training on the computer, did you have to do
22	anything to attest that you had taken the
23	training?
24	A. I don't I don't recall.

You mentioned "GOER."

Q.

HIGH	НГХ	CONFI	DE	NTT	AL -	WITNESS	6/22/21	VOL.	Т
		Wha	t	are	the	responsi	bilities	s of	
GOER,	to	your	un	der	stand	ding?			

A. I'm not an expert on all of GOER.

And my understanding GOER manages the trainings that are required for all State agencies or for State agent situation that opt in. I'm not sure what the full extent of what its responsibility is. GOER investigates claims of workplace discrimination.

And during COVID, GOER was an invaluable partner to us in helping us figure out how to -- how to construct and promulgate and enforce and socialize all of the very complicated workplace-related issues that the State had with its workforce, which, you know, involved everything from telecommuting to workplace safety to, you know, just an enormous range of issues. And GOER was really a partner in that endeavor. They're a resource when it comes to employment. It's the Governor's Office of Employee Relations Or Employment Relations.

And when I started, I was told that they were a resource that could be very helpful and I have consulted with GOER on a number of

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	occasions.
3	Q. And who are the individuals at GOER
4	you've consulted with?

- A. who -- Michael

  Volforte are the two names that I remember. But

  I have spoken to other people at GOER when either

  or Mike has directed me to somebody.
- Q. And since the training is fairly fresh for you, what topics were covered within the training?
- A. They went -- the training went through the policy and -- then you would think -- it was fairly fresh. You would think I would be able to remember.

I think it only covered sexual harassment. And what I do recall is that there were a series of questions and answers that you had to ask -- or, rather, answer and then there were a series of illustrations, also, in Q&A form but designed to illustrate what would and would not be sexual harassment.

But please don't ask me to come up with any of them.

Q. I won't ask you the examples given

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I the training.

A. Yeah.

- Q. But from the training and reviewing the policies or anything else, do you have any understanding of what sort of conduct violates the Chamber's sexual harassment policy?
- A. I would not say that taking this training changed my understanding of what conduct would violate the policy. But I think I do have a general understanding of the conduct that would violate the policy.
- Q. And what's your general understanding of what sort of conduct would violate the policy?
- A. I think the definition of sexual harassment under the GOER policy is conduct of a sexual -- un-welcomed or unwanted conduct of a sexual nature or because of somebody's gender.
- Q. And did the training or did you have an understanding before the training of what the possible avenues were for reporting a sexual harassment claim?
- A. Yes. I mean, before I took the training this year, yes, I understood that you could report -- somebody who was -- either felt

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
that they themselves had been subject to sexual
harassment or were aware of sexual harassment
could report that to a supervisor or could go
directly to GOER.

- Q. And did you have any understanding as to whether supervisory or senior level employees had any special responsibilities with respect to reporting harassment?
- A. Yes, I was aware that a supervisor who learned of conduct that fell within the definition of sexual harassment or potential sexual harassment should -- had an affirmative obligation to report to GOER.
- Q. And did you have an understanding either from the training or otherwise that the policy prohibited retaliation?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you have any understanding as to whether the protection against retaliation applied to people who are no longer employed by the Chamber?
- A. By the time I took that training in 2021, I did understand that. But that was not something that -- but I can't tell you when I

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	learned that.
3	Q. Why don't we look at the GOER policy,
4	which is Tab
5	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Before you turn to
6	the GOER policy
7	What prompted you to do the training
8	electronically in 2021?
9	THE WITNESS: I wanted to understand
10	whether the training had changed substantially
11	from when I had previously taken it in 2019.
12	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: And why did you
13	want to come to that understanding, as to whether
<b>14</b>	the training had changed?
15	THE WITNESS: Because there was an
16	intervening change in the law that became
17	effective after the training that I took in 2019.
18	Q. Do you recall what the change in law
19	was that became effective after you took the
20	initial training?
21	A. I don't recall all of the ins and
22	outs of the change of law. But I think that the
23	conduct did not have to be severe, pervasive in
24	order to constitute sexual harassment.

If you could turn to Tab 4.

Q.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	(Deposition Exhibit 4,
3	GOER_NB_00000033 or Chamber_AG_00015904
4	Equal Employment Opportunity in New York State
5	Rights and Responsibilities A Handbook for
6	Employees of New York State Agencies 2020, was
7	marked for identification.)
8	Q. Do you recognize this document?
9	A. Yes. This is the GOER manual, I
10	think, is how I refer to it.
11	Q. And this one is dated May 2020.
12	Did you see any prior versions when
13	you first joined?
14	A. I think that there was a prior
15	version that I saw that predated this. But this
16	is the one that I'm most familiar with.
17	Q. And when GOER updated this manual in
18	May 2020, did they do anything to circulate it
19	amongst employees?
20	A. I was not aware of what they did. I
21	later learned that, you know, it was provided to
22	our Director of Administrative Services, who
23	posted it on our Intranet.
24	Q. And when you joined, were you given a
25	hardcopy of any prior version of the policy?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	A. I don't remember what I was given. I
3	
4	Q. Go ahead.
5	A. I will say that I think that there
6	was something that I was given that I read. I
7	just don't I don't recall what it was.
8	Q. If you could turn to Page 11. And
9	there is a heading on "Sexual Harassment,"
10	that continues over to the next few pages.
11	If you could read to yourself the
12	part where it says, "sexual harassment defined,"
13	and continues on to the next page.
14	A. Uh-huh.
15	Q. Alright. You can stop there.
16	Part of the policy describes some
17	examples of things that are harassment, such as
18	"words, signs, jokes, pranks," et cetera.
19	Is the description in that paragraph
20	consistent with what your understanding has been
21	about the policy at the Chamber?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And you made reference so that the
24	law changed at some point that it no longer has
25	to be severe or pervasive and there is a

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	paragraph about that and it says, "Sexually
3	harassing conduct that consists of more than
4	petty slights or trivial inconveniences"; is that
5	your understanding of what the standard was after
6	the change in the law?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And by May of 2020 is when this was?
۵	A Corroct

- 10 THE STENOGRAPHER: I can't hear you.
- 11 I'm sorry. I can't hear you.
- 12 Q. And --
- 13 THE STENOGRAPHER: Sorry, I can't
- 14 hear you.
- 15 MS. CLARKE: Oh, that can't hear.
- 16 I will --Alright.
- 17 THE STENOGRAPHER: Your mike is very
- 18 slow. Your mike is very low, Ms. Clark.
- 19 A. -- maybe stopping in place. Maybe
- 20 when we finish talking about the policy, we can
- 21 take a break.
- 22 Yeah, absolutely. Q.
- 23 Α. Great.
- 24 (There is a discussion off the
- 25 record.)

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	MS. CLARK: We'll go for a few
3	minutes and then we'll take a comfort break as
4	well and see if we can fix the tech issues.
5	A. Great, okay.
6	Q. And is it your understanding that
7	there was not a requirement that the person who
8	is experiencing something they believed to be
9	harassment to tell the person who engaged in the
10	conduct that is it's unwelcome at the time?
11	A. I did I understand that.
12	Q. Okay. Why don't we we have some
13	more on the policy, but why don't we take a break
14	now
15	A. Okay.
16	Q and see if we can fix all our
17	issues.
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Stand by. The
19	time is 11:10 a.m. We're going off the record.
20	This will end Media Unit No. 1.
21	(Recess taken 11:10 to 11:26 a.m.)
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
23	11:26 a.m. We are back on the record. This will
24	be the start of Media Unit No. 2.
25	Q. Still have oh, you said you wanted

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I to clarify something.

- A. Yeah. You had asked me about in-person meetings with the Governor and I had said that I recalled two. It was really before the various investigations began and I had two additional in-person meetings with him after the investigations began, one related to the Eastern District nursing home matter and one related to this matter, although before the formal inception of -- before you were named.
- Q. And who was present for the meeting about this investigation before we were named?
- A. Linda Lacewell, Melissa, Rich
  Azzopardi, Stephanie Benton for part of the
  meeting, at least, Jeff Pollack and Liz Smith and
  the Governor and for a very brief portion of the
  meeting, one of his daughters came into the room.
- Q. And where did this meeting take place?
- A. It took place in the pool house at the mansion and then there was sort of breakfast meeting the following morning with the same people.
  - Q. Did you stay overnight at the

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	mansion?
3	A. I did.
4	Q. Did everyone you just listed stay
5	overnight at the mansion?
6	A. I don't think Stephanie, Rich or
7	Melissa stayed at the mansion.
8	Q. And do you recall when the meeting
9	was?
10	A. It was the day before the Governor
11	gave his first press conference after Charlotte
12	the article with Charlotte Bennett was run.
13	So I can't give you the exact date. But it would
14	have been early March.
15	Q. And what was Jeff Pollack's position
16	at the time?
17	A. His position?
18	Q. Yes.
19	A. I think he was a political advisor to
20	the Governor.
21	Q. And what was Liz Smith's position?
22	A. Similar.
23	Q. And which of the Governor's daughters
24	was present for part of the meeting?
25	A. Michaela came into the room

1	HIGHLY CO	ONFIDENTIAL - W	ITNESS	6/22/21	VOL. I
2	relatively	briefly, but s	he did	come in.	
3	Q.	And the meeting	g in th	e pool h	ouse,

- A. It was several hours. We had dinner. There was sort of a social component to it and then, you know, a working component.
- Q. And you said there was also a breakfast meeting the next morning?
  - A. That's right.

how long did that meeting last?

- Q. And the people that didn't stay over, Stephanie, Melissa and Rich, did they come back for the breakfast meeting?
- A. I don't -- I don't think Rich was there. I don't recall whether Stephanie was there or not. And Melissa, I think, did come at some point.
  - Q. How long was the breakfast meeting?
- A. You know, probably -- I was probably there for an hour and then I left and drove back to the City.
- Q. And the evening meeting and the pool party, what was discussed in that meeting?
- A. The Governor had drafted a statement that he wanted to make and we went over the

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
statement very carefully like word by word. He
practiced delivering it. And then there was, you
know, an effort to think through questions that
he might be asked to answer, what those questions
might be. That aspect of the meeting was really
handled almost entirely by the Liz, Jeff,
Melissa and Rich

- Q. And do you recall any of the questions that they anticipated that the Governor might be asked?
- A. I really don't recall any of the specific questions. There was a list of very -- I can't distinguish between what he was asked, what they anticipated he might be asked. I just don't have a clear recollection.
- Q. Did you take any notes during that meeting?
  - A. No, I didn't.
- Q. In that meeting, did the Governor discuss at all whether any of the allegations made by Ms. Bennett were true?
- A. Not to my recollection. We really weren't going into the specific allegations as they pertained to Ms. Bennett.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -	WITNESS 6	5/22/	21	VOL.	Ι
-----------------------	-----------	-------	----	------	---

- Q. Did -- was there any discussion in this meeting about any allegations that had been made by the Governor at that point by Ms. Boylan or anyone else?
- A. The only thing I recall being discussed is whether the Governor had ever touched anybody inappropriately and that was the topic of discussion.
- Q. And what did the Governor say about that?
  - A. He was adamant that he had not.
- Q. And was there any discussion about any particular types of touching that might be considered inappropriate, or it was just in the generic?
- A. You know, there may have been. But I don't recall. I think it was -- it was not a lengthy conversation and it -- to the best of my recollection, we talked about and he denied that he had ever kissed Ms. Boylan, as she had written in her Medium piece. But I think there was broader discussion about inappropriate touching generally.
  - Q. Was there any discussion about

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I whether the Governor had kissed any other employees on the lips?

- A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Was there any discussion as to whether the Governor touched any women in the workplace on their breast or their breast area?
- A. I am highly confident that that was not discussed.
- Q. Was there any discussion as to whether the Governor touched any women on their buttocks?
  - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Do you recall anything else that the Governor said about what conduct he did or didn't engage in?
- A. You know, only other thing I recall is that he -- one of the questions was whether he had ever engaged in a consensual relationship. I don't remember whether it was described as sexual or romantic but with any state employee and he said he had not.
- Q. Was there any discussion about what other women anyone at present thought might come forward with any allegations?

- HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
  - A. No, not that I recall.
  - Q. Other than going through the statement, which, you know, series of statements we'll get to later, any other topics you recall being discussed in this meeting?
  - A. The only other topic that I recall being discussed, which did relate to Ms. Bennett, was a discussion that I took an active role in trying to explain to him how she could have been affronted by his effort to write her speech about her sexual assault. And I think I tried to explain the concept of man-splaining to him that even if he thought he was being helpful, it may not have been received in the manner in which it was offered. So not a sexual issue, just a kind of boundary issue.
  - Q. What, if anything, did the Governor say about him advising Ms. Bennett about her speech?
  - A. He seemed not to fully grasp the notion that his offer of assistance might not have been received in the spirit that he thought he was offering it.
    - Q. Did he say whether or not he had said

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS	6/22/21 VOL. I
to Ms. Bennett, you were raped,	you were raped
and said that multiple times?	

- A. We did not discuss that aspect of the-- of Ms. Bennett's allegations.
- Q. Was there any discussion at this meeting about looking for any negative information about the women who had come forward?
  - A. No.

- Q. The breakfast meeting, what was discussed in the breakfast meeting?
- A. I guess the frame that I would put on the evening and the morning was that the Governor needed to project contrition and a recognition that he had, I don't know, I don't remember the words that were used, but that he needed to project real contrition and primarily the discussion was about his tone and delivery.
- Q. In either the evening meeting or morning meeting, did you share with the group your interactions with Ms. Bennett?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did you say anything about her demeanor when you spoke with her about this incident?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	A. I don't recall whether I did or not
3	in that meeting. But, you know, I had I felt
4	that she had been credible and sympathetic.
5	Q. Did anyone in the meeting share their
6	view as to whether in terms of what had come out
7	so far with Ms. Bennett that she seemed credible
8	or sympathetic or anything to that effect?
9	A. You know, I don't remember anything
10	specific, but I think was a general understanding
11	that Ms. Bennett was to be accorded respect and
12	that there should be nothing done or said that
13	would in any way be should in any way be seen
14	as being disrespectful of her.
15	Q. Was there anyone present who
16	disagreed with that approach?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Did anyone present advocate going on
19	the offensive against Ms. Bennett in any fashion?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Do you recall anything Melissa DeRosa
22	said about how to approach the situation?
23	A. I don't.
24	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: In either the
25	evening meeting or breakfast meeting, did the

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Governor say that Ms. Bennett had said anything
3	that was inaccurate?
4	THE WITNESS: We really weren't
5	parsing allegations during this meeting. We were
6	really focused on how he would face the public
7	for the first time, the press. And it was not
8	we weren't engaging in what I imagine you're
9	thinking of as some kind of defensive strategy
10	meeting. It was a press meeting.
11	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Was there any
12	discussion about whether the Governor had asked
13	anyone about their sexual partners?
14	THE WITNESS: No.
15	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Was there
16	THE WITNESS: Well, let me put it
17	this way, not that I recall.
18	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Was there any
19	discussion about whether the Governor had asked
20	anybody about age differences in people he would
21	date?
22	THE WITNESS: Not that I recall, no.
23	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Was there any
24	discussion about Lindsey Boylan?
25	THE WITNESS: I don't recall any

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
discussions focused on any individual women or
their allegations. I can't say that their names
didn't come up or there wasn't some comment. I
don't recall anybody.

I really do want to stress this was really about him needing to go out there and really express that he knew he had screwed up.

MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Was there any discussion comparing the need to go out and acknowledge that he had using your words "screwed up" compared to how he and the Executive Chamber had reacted to Ms. Boylan's allegations?

THE WITNESS: No.

- Q. Part of any later discussions about whether the Governor should continue to express contrition or change his tone as more allegations came out?
- A. I need to check with my Counsel as to privilege --
  - O. Sure?
  - A. -- constraints.
- MS. CLARK: Why don't you mute your microphone and if you want to step out, that's the safest way probably.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	MR. SPIRO: Yeah.
3	MS. CLARK: Why don't we go off the
4	record.
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I was going to say
6	we're still on the record. So stand by.
7	The time is 11:41 a.m. We are going
8	off the record.
9	(Recess taken 11:41 to 11:43 a.m.)
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
11	11:43 a.m. We are back on the record.
12	MS. CLARK: Silvia, can you repeat
13	back the question for me and I'll repeat it for
14	the witness.
15	(Whereupon, the question is read back
16	as follows:
17	"Question: Part of any later
18	discussions about whether the Governor should
19	continue to express contrition or change his tone
20	as more allegations came out?")
21	Q. So the question was, were there any
22	later discussions as to whether the Governor
23	should continue to express contrition or change
24	his tone, as more allegations came out?
25	A. And are you talking about discussions

	<b>j</b>
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	with the Governor or general discussions?
3	Q. First with the Governor.
4	A. Okay. I do not think I had any
5	non-privileged conversations, you know, based on
6	my consultation with my Counsel. I do not think
7	I had any non-privileged conversations with the
8	Governor after that meeting.
9	Q. After that meeting, how many
10	privileged discussions in general did you have
11	with the Governor that related to this
12	investigation?
13	A. I recall two.
<b>L 4</b>	Q. When were they?
15	A. Within a week or so of that meeting.
16	Q. Both of them were within a week or
17	so?
18	A. I think a week or ten days, you know,
19	relatively soon but not immediately thereafter.
20	Q. And for the privileged conversations,
21	who was involved other than you and the Governor,
22	if anyone?
23	A. In the first one, I believe, Melissa
2 4	DeRosa was on the telephone. And in the second

one, Beth Garvey --

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. And
3	A possibly possibly somebody else
4	but the one I recall is Beth.
5	Q. And putting aside conversations with
6	the Governor, did you speak with anyone else in
7	the Chamber about whether the Governor would or
8	should continue to express contrition after that
9	press conference you were describing?
10	A. Yeah, I'm trying to think about
11	expressing contrition.
12	I don't think that's how I would
13	characterize those conversations, no.
14	Q. How would you characterize those
15	conversations?
16	(INAUDIBLE MALE VOICE.)
17	THE WITNESS: Yeah, no.
18	THE STENOGRAPHER: I'm sorry if
19	that's supposed to be on the record, I didn't
20	hear you.
21	A. I don't know how I should respond to
22	press inquiries.
23	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: When you talk,
24	they can't hear you. So just make sure you
25	unmute yourself.

- Q. Sorry, could you give that answer again?
- A. So the instruction is I should be general.

And so I'll say the discussions would have been how he should respond, how he should respond to press inquiries and how the Chamber should respond to press inquiries.

- Q. Going back to the meeting in the pool house, the breakfast meeting the next morning when people were talking about the need for the Governor to express contrition, what was the Governor's view on that?
  - A. I think he -- he agreed.
- Q. And in the meetings did he express contrition?
  - A. Yeah.
- Q. Was there any discussion in the pool house meeting or next morning's meeting about whether the Governor should go through any counselling or anything along those lines?
  - A. Not that I recall, no.
- Q. Was there any talk about any steps the Governor should take to address his behavior

F	HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL	-	WITNESS	6/22/21	VOL.	Ι
in	those	meetings?					

- A. I'm going to need you to be more specific when you say "his behavior," exactly what you're talking about.
- Q. Sure. So my understanding is that there is discussion with the Governor expressing contrition about the way he behaved and his interaction with Ms. Bennett; is that correct?
- A. I don't know that it was necessarily specific to Ms. Bennett but, yeah, I think that's a fair characterization.
- Q. So my question was, was there any discussions in this meeting about anything the Governor should do to try to change the way he interacted with Ms. Bennett or other women?
- A. It is my sense from those meetings and my discussions with Ms. Bennett that his interactions with her were pretty specific to their relationship and there was no -- so the answer is, no. I mean, that relationship was not continuing and I don't think there was any discussion of sort of the broader concern.
- Q. Part of any later discussion either with the Governor or anyone else as more

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	allegations came out about whether there were any
3	steps the Governor would or should take to change
4	the way he interacted with people or with women?
5	A. No.
6	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: During those
7	weekend meetings, was there any discussion about
8	an investigation?
9	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure it was a
10	weekend.
11	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Sorry, I apologize
12	it wasn't. The evening and the meeting, the
13	breakfast the next morning
14	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think it was a
15	weekday. I mean, I could be wrong.
16	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Was there any
17	discussion about an investigation?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Tell us about
20	that.
21	THE WITNESS: The my understanding
22	was that he was would say there is an
23	investigation. We're going to cooperate with it
24	and that from my understanding, we would that
25	there that would be the full he would

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS	6/22/21 VOL. I
answer questions and that would	be it, that we
would that the investigation	would go forward
and it was my understanding and	view without a
lot of additional discussion on	the subject.

MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Was there discussion during these meetings about who would do the investigations?

THE WITNESS: If I'm not mistaken, by
the point that we had got -- by the time of these
meetings, it had already started that the AG's
Office would be conducting the investigation.

I'm not sure if you -- your firms had been
identified as the investigator yet, but it was
imminent.

MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Was there any discussion about either Attorney General James or our two law firms at that meeting?

THE WITNESS: No. Well, let me put it this way. Neither two law firms and I don't recall anything about the Attorney General being discussed.

Q. Turn back to the policy at Tab 4.

If you look on Page 13, it talks
about reporting sexual harassment.

interacted with did not work directly in the

Chamber?

24

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	MR. SPIRO: You can answer the
3	question yes or no.
4	A. Yeah, I'm just going to ask you to
5	repeat the question.
6	Q. Sure.
7	A. I just want to make sure I'm
8	answering
9	Q. So you had asked first with respect
10	to anyone in the Chamber.
11	So my question is, were you made
12	aware of any issue of sexual harassment involving
13	anyone that you worked with in the state
14	government who was not employed in the Chamber?
15	A. In the I think the answer is, yes.
16	But I'm just going to qualify by saying I learned
17	of the conduct in the context of litigation that
18	had been filed. In other words, I did not learn
19	of it contemporaneously. There was already
20	litigation about the behavior.
21	Q. Since it's in litigation
22	A. Yeah.
23	Q who are the people involved?
24	A. The gosh. The name of one of the
25	employees who was accused of sexual harassment is

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	. I'm not going to get the spelling
3	right. But it's phonetically maybe
4	. And the other is named
5	. And the
6	I'm blanking the name of the women complainants.
7	Q. And what part of the state government
8	did the two men work in?
9	A. worked at the Division
10	of Criminal Justice Services, DJCF. He ran the
11	forensics laboratory.
12	And had a number of
13	roles. I think he was the Executive Deputy
14	Commissioner at OPWDD. But I think that the
15	conduct in question may have occurred when he was
16	at the Justice Center. And I am not sure, but I
17	think one or both of them may have had prior
18	complaints against them.
19	Q. And so, on the page that you read, it
20	says that state employees can be subject to
21	discipline "for engaging in harassing behavior of
22	anyone in the workplace including contractors,
23	clients, vendors or members of the public."
24	Was that your understanding

throughout your time in the Chambers?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	A. I don't know if it was throughout my
3	time in Chamber. But I, certainly, understand
4	now that it's quite extensive.
5	Q. And when did you gain that awareness?
6	A. I'm not sure. I don't know. I'm not
7	sure.
8	Q. Was it in this calendar year?
9	A. No.
10	Q. If you could turn to 41.
11	A. Page 41.
12	Q. It's actually in the section that
13	begins on Page 40 called, "Reporting
14	Discrimination in The Workplace."
15	A. Uh-huh.
16	Q. And on the bottom of 41 onto 42, the
17	paragraph again talks about "provisory
18	responsibility to report harassing behavior to
19	GOER." And it says, "even if the individual who
20	complained requested that it not be reported."
21	Has that been your understanding
22	throughout the time you've been in Chamber?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And if you skip back a little bit
25	back to Pages 39 and 40, a policy on retaliation.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	A. Uh-huh.
3	Q. Take a moment to read that to
4	yourself.
5	A. Okay.
6	Q. In this discussion of retaliation it
7	says in part that retaliation can be "any action
8	that's more than trivial, that would have the
9	effect of dissuading a reasonable person for
10	making or supporting an allegation of
11	discrimination."
12	Has that been your understanding
13	throughout the time you've been in Chamber?
14	A. I think I have my understanding of
15	that policy has I did not not throughout my
16	time in Chamber. I, certainly, have that
17	understanding now.
18	Q. When did you develop the
19	understanding that the part I read is the policy?
20	A. I think that my understanding in
21	reading that policy has been sharpened over the
22	past several months.
23	Q. Prior to the last several months,
24	what was your understanding of what sort of
25	actions rose to the level of retaliation?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	A. My understanding was that it would
3	have had to be a work-related action.
4	Q. And the policy here states that the
5	action does not to be job related or occur in the
6	workplace.
7	So you now understand that that's the
8	policy?
9	A. Well, I think that the policy may be
10	more expansive than I initially understood it to
11	be.
12	Q. This also states that actual
13	retaliation by an employer "can occur after the
14	individual is no longer employed by that
15	employer. This can include giving an unwarranted
16	negative reference for a former employee."
17	A. I did understand that an unwarranted
18	negative reference by an employee could be
19	retaliatory.
20	Q. And did you understand that any other
21	actions related to a former employee could also
22	constitute retaliation?
23	A. Any action related to a former
24	employee? No, I didn't understand that, sorry.
25	Q. Did you have any understanding that

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
any negative action taken toward an employee, at
least, in part because they had made some sort o
allegation could constitute retaliation?

- A. I'm not sure that I -- I wouldn't say "any negative action" against an employee who had made a claim of retaliation. I think that's probably a broader reading than is my understanding of the policy.
- Q. Specific in my phrasing, as you sit here today, is it your understanding that any negative action taken including against ex-employees that is the actions taken, at least, in part because that person made an allegation of discrimination or harassment to constitute retaliation?
- A. Could it -- theoretically, it could, yeah. I think I need you to be more specific.
- Q. And do you have any sense in your mind as to where the line is drawn as to when something crosses the line into retaliation?
- A. You know, I try and deal in the concrete and so I can't really engage in that line drawing. I'd need sort of a specific -- specifics to be able to tell you what my thinking

1	HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL	-	WITNESS	6/22/21	VOL.	I
2	is.						

- Q. But is it your understanding today that the action has -- with respect to the policy, the action is one that could dissuade -- that's not trivial that could dissuade a reasonable person of making or supporting an allegation; is that correct?
- A. An action that had that effect could constitute retaliation.
- Q. And what's your understanding it doesn't actually have to dissuade somebody from coming forward or supporting an allegation, it's one that could dissuade a reasonable person; is that your understanding?
- A. You know, I think you're getting beyond what my understanding is and, again, sort of getting into the theoretical. So I'm a little reluctant to become an expert on like the boundaries of what is and is not retaliation.
- Q. But in any of your jobs prior to getting to the Executive Chamber, did you ever do any research of other work relating to discrimination laws?
  - A. You know, I think 40 years ago --

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I	
30-year something years ago when I was at the US	3
Attorney's Office, I think, I had a couple Title	<b>)</b>
7 cases. In fact, I tried an age discrimination	1
a religious discrimination case but not in th	ıe
last four decades.	

- Q. So, before December 2020, were you aware of any allegations of potential sexual harassment involving Governor Cuomo?
  - A. Before?
  - Q. December of 2020.
  - A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

- Q. When is the first time you became aware of any potential allegations of sexual harassment regarding Governor Cuomo?
- A. I think Lindsey Boylan's tweet in December where she said that the Governor harassed her; not specifically.
- Q. And we'll come back to her tweets in a bit.
  - Now, we've talked a little bit about Charlotte Bennett.
- Did you work with her at all when she was in the Chamber?
  - A. I didn't work with her, no.

	-
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. Did you ever interact with her?
3	A. I think she and I did our orientation
4	on the same day and I would occasionally see her
5	and say hello or her desk she sat sometimes at
6	a desk that was very near either Annabelle or
7	Annabelle Walsh or Dani Lever's offices and I
8	would sometimes need to speak to them and ask
9	Charlotte if she would tell them that I was
10	looking for them.
11	Q. When did you become aware that Ms.
12	Bennett had any concerns about her interactions
13	with Governor Cuomo?
<b>L 4</b>	A. I think in the middle of June 2020.
15	Q. And how did you learn that Ms.
16	Bennett had concerns about her interactions with
17	the Governor?
18	A. I received a call from Jill
19	DesRosiers.
20	Q. And where was Ms. DesRosiers at the
21	time, was she in New York City or Albany or
22	somewhere else?
23	A. I am assuming that she was in Albany,
24	but I really don't know. I really don't know,

actually. There's no basis for my assumption.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. At the time Ms. DesRosiers called
3	you, was Ms. Bennett still working in the
4	Chamber?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And what did Ms how long was your
7	call with Ms. DesRosiers?
8	A. I don't I don't remember.
9	Q. And what did she say to you what did
10	you say to her?
11	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: [INSTRUCTION]
12	Objection, privilege.
13	MR. SPIRO: Just for the record, we
14	should note that the invocation of the privilege
15	has been directed by the Counsel for the
16	Executive Chamber.
17	Q. Did Ms. DesRosiers seek legal advice
18	from you on this call?
19	A. Yes, I think that's fair.
20	Q. Did you provide legal advice to Ms.
21	DesRosiers in this call?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Did you discuss what information Ms.
24	DesRosiers shared with you with anyone else?
25	A. No, I don't think so.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. What, if anything, did you do with
3	regard to the information that Ms. DesRosiers
4	provided to you?
5	A. At that time, nothing.
6	Q. When was the next time you had any
7	conversation with anyone about Ms. Bennett and
8	her interactions with the Governor?
9	A. It would have been end of June 2020.
10	Q. And who did you have a discussion
11	with in the end of June?
12	A. Again, I had a phone call with Ms.
13	DesRosiers and then, at least, one phone call
14	with I think several phone calls with Ms.
15	DesRosiers, a phone call with Linda Lacewell. I
16	think several phone calls with Ms. Lacewell. I
17	spoke to Melissa DeRosa and I spoke with Alfonso
18	David. There may have been others with whom I
19	spoke. But those are the ones that I recall, as
20	I sit here.
21	Q. And what information did Ms.
22	DesRosiers share with you in late June?
23	MR. SPIRO: Objection, privilege.
24	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: [INSTRUCTION] Same
25	direction.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. Was Ms. DesRosiers seeking legal
3	advice from you in the conversations in late
4	June?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And how many conversations did you
7	have with Linda Lacewell?
8	A. On that
9	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: When?
10	A last day?
11	Q. In late June about Ms. Bennett.
12	A. I would say multiple, more than two,
13	probably less than five but we spoke, you know,
14	between two and five times that day.
15	Q. And what was Ms. Lacewell's position
16	at the time?
17	A. Ms. Lacewell's title was the
18	Superintendent of Department of Financial
19	Services. But she had been, essentially,
20	seconded to the Chamber on, I think, a completely
21	full-time basis managing many aspects of the
22	Chamber's COVID response. She may have actually
23	gone back to New York the week before. But she
24	had been full time in Albany for many months.
25	But she had since my arrival at the Chamber

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I had been somebody with whom I had frequent communication on, you know, a large range of matters that I was dealing with.

She had institutional knowledge that I didn't have. She had substantive knowledge that I didn't have. And she had a level of -- she was a very very trusted advisor to Melissa and in my understanding to the Governor. And so I frequently consulted with her on the matters that I was working on.

- Q. So, in late June in regard to
  Charlotte Bennett, what did you and Ms. Lacewell
  discuss?
- MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Same objection.

  [INSTRUCTION] We've been directed not to answer that question by the Executive Chamber Counsel.
- Q. How many conversations did you have with Melissa DeRosa in late June about Ms.
- A. That day, it was one particular day. I think it was the last day in June, June 30th. It's very hard to remember, like, specific conversations. But I would say, at least, two possibly more.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. And what was the reason that you were
3	talking to Ms. DeRosa?
4	A. Well, she was my supervisor. I think
5	she was aware of the issue that Jill had brought
6	to my attention. And she wanted to understand
7	and be kept informed of what I was doing.
8	Q. And what did you and Ms. DeRosa
9	discuss about what you learned in late June?
10	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: [INSTRUCTION] Same
11	objection.
12	Q. Alfonso David, what was his position
13	in late June of 2020?
14	A. He was the former Counsel to the
15	Governor, which is the, you know,
16	constitutionally appointed position. It's,
17	essentially, the General Counsel to the Executive
18	Chamber. His work at that time was I don't
19	know if his title was President Or Executive
20	Director, but he was leading the human rights
21	campaign but was somebody who I turned to for
22	specific
23	THE STENOGRAPHER: I'm sorry, I'm
24	sorry I didn't catch the words because of the

coughing. Sorry.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	A. Oh, sure.
3	MS. BRUNE: The last three or four
4	sentences?
5	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: That's a long cough.
6	A. So what's the last thing that the
7	Reporter got so that I know where to pick up.
8	(Whereupon, the partial answer is
9	read back as follows:
10	"Question: His work at that time
11	was I don't know if his title was President Or
12	Executive Director but he was leading the human
13	rights campaign but was somebody who I turned to
14	for specific")
15	A. (Continuing.) Advice. And I don't
16	remember what I said; advice and counsel in a
17	capacity that we would have referred to as
18	transitional guidance sort of carryover from when
19	he was in the Chamber.
20	Q. In late June, how long had he been
21	gone from the Chamber?
22	A. Not quite a year.
23	Q. And in late June, were you consulting
24	with him about anything that had occurred during
25	his time in Chamber?

	rage ou
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	A. In that conversation that I had with
3	him on June 30th, I was consulting with him
4	related to a matter that he had been intimately
5	involved with or hold on one second.
6	(Sidebar discussion off the record.)
7	A. Alright. Are we on?
8	Q. Yes.
9	A. In my understanding, Alfonso had
10	actually written or been intricately involved in
11	writing not just the executive order and the law
12	but the actual GOER manual. He was the architect
13	of this policy and I wanted his advice on an
14	interpretation of the policy.
15	Q. After he left Chambers, how
16	frequently did you consult with him about what
17	you referred to as these transitional issues?
18	A. Certainly not nearly as frequently.
19	I spoke to Linda Lacewell on, at least, a weekly
20	if not daily basis at certain points.
21	With Alfonso, I consulted with him
22	maybe half a dozen times or had at this point and
23	then have since then on other issues. So he's
24	somebody that I would turn to for specific
25	matters on which I thought he had insider

matters on which I thought he had insider

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	expertise.
3	Q. And has the Chambers change strike
4	that.
5	Were you seeking any legal advice
6	from Mr. David when you spoke to him on
7	June 30th?

- A. I was seeking -- yeah, I was seeking his guidance on an interpretation of the policy. I think that constitutes legal advice.
- Q. And on any occasions in which you've consulted with Mr. David for legal advice, has the Chambers retained Mr. David?
- A. You mean with an official engagement letter and payment?
  - Q. Something along those lines, yes.
  - A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- Q. Even without an official engagement letter, has the Executive Chamber paid Mr. David for any consultations where he's provided legal advice since he left?
- A. Again, I am not aware that the Chamber has paid Mr. David for legal advice given.
  - Q. And when you pinpointed your

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	conversations with Melissa DeRosa and Alfonso
3	David on June 30th, is that when Ms. DesRosiers
4	called you about Ms. Bennett for the first time
5	since mid June?
6	A. Those conversations would have been
7	on the same day but after my initial conversation
8	with Ms. DeRosa.
9	Q. And after strike that.
10	In the month of June of 2020, did you
11	have any discussions with the Governor about Ms.
12	Bennett?
13	A. I had one discussion with the
<b>14</b>	Governor about Ms. Bennett in June of 2020.
15	Q. And when in June of 2020 was that?
16	A. The evening of June 30th.
17	Q. And was that get to a point when
18	you spoke to Ms. Bennett.
19	Was it after you had spoke with Ms.
20	Bennett?
21	A. Yes, it was.
22	Q. And was anyone else present when you
23	spoke to the Governor?
24	A. Well, it was a telephone conversation

and Melissa DeRosa was also on the phone.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. How long was that phone call?
3	A. It was not a lengthy phone call,
4	maybe in or around ten minutes. But I can't tell
5	you exactly. It might have been a little longer
6	than ten minutes.
7	Q. And what was discussed on that call?
8	MR. SPIRO: Same objection.
9	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Same objection.
10	MR. SPIRO: [INSTRUCTION] Privilege.
11	Q. Now, your discussions with Melissa
12	DeRosa, Linda Lacewell, Melissa DeRosa, Alfonso
13	David on June 30th, did those all occur before
<b>14</b>	you spoke to Charlotte Bennett?
15	A. Sorry, you said Jill, Melissa, Linda
16	and Alfonso?
17	Q. Yes.
18	A. I had conversations with all four of
19	those individuals before I spoke to Ms. Bennett
20	and with, to the best of my recollection,
21	everyone but Alfonso after I spoke to her on
22	the 30th and then I spoke with the same group
23	again on the 1st of July and I also spoke with
24	Alfonso on the 1st of July.

And is the Chamber

MS. CLARK:

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	asserting privilege with all of those
3	conversations?
4	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: [INSTRUCTION] Yes.
5	MR. SPIRO: Yes.
6	Q. And before June 30th, did you speak
7	to anyone at GOER about any of the concerns
8	raised by Ms. Bennett?
9	A. (No response.)
10	Q. Do you know if anyone else spoke to
11	anyone at GOER before June 30th about any
12	concerns raised by Ms. Bennett?
13	A. Not that I'm aware of.
14	Q. So, in reference you spoke to Ms.
15	Bennett on June 30th; is that the first time you
16	spoke to her outside of just a casual hello sort
17	of thing?
18	A. I think that's correct.
19	Q. And was your conversation with Ms.
20	Bennett in person or by phone?
21	A. I was on the telephone. In my
22	understanding, she was in Jill DesRosiers' office
23	sitting with Jill.
24	Q. And were Ms. Bennett and Ms.

DesRosiers in Albany?

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	- WITNESS	6/22/	21	VOL.	I
---------------------	-----------	-------	----	------	---

- A. Yes, they were.
- Q. And how did this meeting/call come about?
- A. I asked Ms. DesRosiers to -- I told
  Ms. DesRosiers that I wanted to speak with Ms.
  Bennett and that I wanted her to also be present
  during the conversation. And I'll call her Jill,
  Jill arranged it. I think we were -- Charlotte
  was in a meeting and we were waiting and waiting
  and I think Jill finally literally pulled her out
  of the meeting because it, you know, she was on
  the health team, it was COVID and they -- some of
  these calls could go for literally hours and
  hours. And in my memory, we waited a couple of
  hours and then I said we just needed to speak
  with her so Jill pulled her out.
  - Q. And how long did this call last?
  - A. It was well over an hour.
  - Q. And how did you lead off the meeting?
- A. I think I thanked Charlotte. I think
  I acknowledged that it might be an awkward
  conversation for her, but that she needed to give
  me honest and complete answers. I told her that
  everybody was held to the same standard.

- I don't

	Page 92
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	And then I asked her to tell me I
3	told her I understood that she had had an
4	interaction with the Governor that was I don'
5	remember the word I used distressing or made
6	her uncomfortable and that I needed her to tell
7	me what happened and asked her to tell me in her
8	own words what had happened.
9	Q. And what did she tell you?
10	A. You know, in sum and substance, she
11	told me that over the course of some period of
12	time, she and the Governor had had a series of
13	very personal discussions that centered around
14	the fact that she had been a victim of sexual
15	assault

when she was in college.

And the Governor shared with her that

had also -- was also a sexual assault survivor And the two of them talked about that shared experience. I think the Governor before he told Charlotte about asked that they have a cone of silence and ascertain that she understood that that that meant that there would be a measure of confidentiality in what he was telling her.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I they talked about the impact of the -- Charlotte's experience on her relationship with her parents, the difficulty that she had with the investigation, the impact on her sex life, which she told me affirmatively she thought were -- she recognized that that level of discussion -- I think she said to me, specifically, I know it's unusual for me to talking about my sex life with him, but it was appropriate and it was serious and respectful.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I understanding it was in response to perhaps his view that she had used a euphemism to describe her assault.

He responded in a way that she found aggressive and distressing. "You were raped," "you were raped." She said he repeated it more than three times and said some men treat women like play things.

She relayed to me that that was very distressing to her in her words because she felt he wasn't saying it for her benefit, that she didn't feel that it was for her benefit. That she described that interaction as a turning point for her.

She described interaction with him, I think, possibly during that same conversation but that but also in a subsequent conversation where in response to a question from her as to how are you doing, he told her that he was lonely, that his longtime girlfriend was not speaking to him.

He said, I think, in the context of a lot of -- a reference to a lot of mail coming in from women sort of expressing an interest in him that her job was to find him a new girlfriend.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I

In this later conversation, he told
her that -- he asked her what an appropriate age
for a new girlfriend would be. And then answered
his own question by saying, he thought somebody
-- she should be over 22 and that comment was,
again, very distressing to Charlotte. She said
he knew that she was -- that that was younger
than she was both because she had given the
speech on her 25th birthday and because she had
played soccer with \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ and he knew that
so he would know that she was older than 22.

She said that she thought he was "grooming" her and I asked her to explain what that meant because to me "grooming" was a term that I had only heard used in the context of men in pedophilia, essentially. And so I wanted to understand what was it that he did.

And she identified his reference to the cone of silence, as she referred to as "classic grooming behavior." And she said also that his picking an age for an appropriate girlfriend for him at an age younger than hers she referred to as a "red flag" and the third thing that she identified was that -- in a

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I subsequent meeting a couple of days later shortly after she arrived, Melissa and Stephanie both left and she felt that in her words had "cleared the area" in a way that she read as a signal that he was showing her that she could obtain privacy.

She, you know, as I said, she said he had not made an advance, but she had a concern that that he might in the future do so and that she had had gone to Jill and asked Jill for a transfer -- transfer that she had previously asked for but asked Jill to make happen this transfer to the health policy team.

And told me sort of subject to confirmation in our next discussion the next day that she felt that she had caught this early think. She said, it was like a little seed of an idea and that she had, essentially, taken steps to remove herself from the situation before the words that she used was "anything bad happened" and confirmed to me that he had not taken any unwanted steps. But that's the gist of my discussions with Charlotte.

Q. When she was talking about the discussion she had with the Governor about her

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I history of sexual assault, did she tell you that the Governor asked her for details about her assault?

A. I think that she said he asked her about the assault. I don't think that she gave me a great deal of detail about exactly what he had asked her. But it was my understanding from Charlotte that they had had a -- in her words -- a very very personal and in-depth conversations.

And I will say that when I asked her if she thought that these discussions were appropriate, she was very definitive that she thought that they were.

- Q. Did you ask her whether she thought that the later discussions about age difference and getting him a girlfriend and things like that were appropriate?
- A. I didn't ask her. I think she made clear to me that the age difference conversation she -- that when he picked a specific age of 22, that's what she identified to me as inappropriate. I asked her to tell me what was it that you -- that you found distressing, I think, is the word I used and, I think, I also

HIG	HLY	CONFIDENT	'IAL -	WITNESS	6/22/21	VOL.	Ι
used	inap	propriate	٠.				

And she was very specific that it was not the general conversation about him wanting a girlfriend but, specifically, that he identified an age range that she felt she was in.

Q. I'm sorry.

THE WITNESS: Closer to my mouth, is that better?

- Q. Did she tell you that the Governor made any comments about getting on a motorcycle with a woman and drive off into the mountains?
- A. Yes, she did say that he mentioned that.
- Q. Did she tell you whether he asked her any questions about her relationships with his ex-wife?
- A. She did ask -- she did tell me that he asked her about her sex life and the impact of the assault on her sex life.
- Q. Did she tell you whether he asked about whether she was in relationships with anyone in the Chambers?
- A. I think that she asked -- she told me that he asked if she had an interest in a few

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I specific individuals in the Chamber. But I don't recall her asking -- her telling me that he asked her about whether she was in a relationship with anyone in the Chamber. I'd have to look at my notes to ascertain whether she told me that or not.

- Q. And you said that she said that he did not touch her or make a sexual advance; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Is that something that she just said or did you ask her about that?
  - A. I asked her, specifically.
- Q. And, in your mind, was that what distinguish it from sexual harassment and not harassment whether there was a sexual advance or physical touch?
- A. Well, if there was a sexual advance or physical touch, it would be harassment. But those are not the only -- I mean, the absence of those two things would not in my mind mean that there was no harassment; so not necessary but not sufficient.
  - Q. And you said that Ms. Bennett brought

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I up the concept of "grooming" and you asked her what it was.

Did you say anything in response to her about this concept of "grooming"?

A. No. I was very conscious of not wanting to challenge anything that Charlotte was saying, certainly, relating to her perceptions. There were a couple of times where she said that the Governor had said something to her, which seemed a little surprising that the -- the wording. And so I said, did he -- he really say that.

So at one point she said, he asked me who I was sleeping with.

And I said, did he say "sleeping with"?

And she said, well, no, he said "hanging out with."

I thought Charlotte had attributed language to the Governor that I wanted to make sure he had had actually said. But when Charlotte was talking to me about her own perceptions, I was very mindful of the fact that it was not my role

H	IGHLY	CONF	[DENTI	YL -	WIT	INESS	6/2	22/21	VOL.	I
and	I was	not	going	to	do d	or sa	y ar	ythi	ng to	try
and	chall	enge	those	per	cept	tions	or	sugge	est t	hat 1
was	not c	redit	ting he	er a	ccoi	ınt o	f he	er per	rcept	ion.

- Q. Did she tell you anything about any discussions about tattoos with the Governor?
- A. He told me that she raised with him that she was going to get a tattoo for her birthday and pulled up, I believe, on her phone a picture of the tattoo that she planned to get. She said that she was -- she told him that she was going to get it on her arm and that she suggested -- these were her words -- that she put it on her chest or her ass, that was another time where I said, did he say "ass"?

And she said, well, no, he said butt.

But, I think, those were the only two times where I asked her, you know -- I tried to clarify whether he had really done or said that. But, yes, she raised the tattoo with me.

- Q. I believe you testified that Ms.

  Bennett said that she had taken action before

  "anything bad happened" or words to that effect.
  - A. I think those were her words.
  - Q. Did you say anything along those

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I lines to her?

A. I don't think I used the word "anything bad happened." I think those were Charlotte's words.

When I spoke to her the second time after I had done this consultation I discussed with you and spent quite a bit of time reading and making sure that I understood the policy and its parameters, I wanted to make sure that I understood what Charlotte was saying to me and --I sort of paraphrased to her my excuse me. understanding that -- and I think, again, not an exact quote, but my notes on this are pretty accurate that I said to her that I wanted to make sure that I understood what she had to say and that I did not want to put words in her mouth, but that in my understanding she had had these very personal conversations with the Governor, that there came a point where she became less comfortable with those conversations and that she -- I think, the words I used were exercised to agency to control the situation to remove I think I said before he took an herself. unwanted step or -- but, again, I would have to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I look back at my notes.

And her response was, yes, yes, you know, I left before "anything bad happened."

Those were her words. I don't think -- I would not have used those words.

- Q. In June 30th or early July, did you have any concern that if Ms. Bennett had not arranged a transfer out of the Executive Chamber that the Governor's conduct would have progressed further?
- A. I -- I wasn't sort of thinking in terms of hypotheticals and what ifs. So, no, I don't think I tried to imagine what might have happened at that point.
- Q. What was Ms. Bennett's demeanor during the meeting?
- A. She was -- I thought she was very together, very clear spoken, very thoughtful. I -- my sense was she was trying very hard to give me a full and accurate account of her interactions with the Governor. She -- the second time I spoke to her, she told me that she was very anxious about -- that there might have to be an investigation. But other than that, I

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	didn't I found her to be sort of calm and
3	thoughtful and very sort of deliberate.
4	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Was the word
5	"deliberate"?
6	THE WITNESS: Deliberate.
7	A. I mean, she was very thoughtful and
8	very clear in her recitation.
9	Q. Did you become emotional at anytime
10	on June 30th?
11	A. I did not I understand that she
12	was tearful toward the end of our conversation.
13	But I actually did not hear her crying and was
14	not aware that she was crying.
15	Q. Did you have any discussion in the
16	June 30th meeting about reporting issues she
17	raised to GOER?
18	A. The issues that she raised to GOER?
19	Q. Yeah.
20	A. She asked me at the outset of our
21	conversation I think the very first thing she
22	asked me was, what were you going to do?
23	And I said I needed to hear from her
24	before I could tell her what I needed to do.
25	She initiated our second conversation

6/22/21 VOL. I
manual and that
e afraid that
n investigation
iently anxious.
she had
uly 1st?
or no.
the thought of
er so anxious?
e had told me in
n conducted by the
"shit show" and
t caused some
o I understood
ough an
her at all what a
ike?

Bennett's concerns, do you have any understanding

If you had performed GOER of Ms.

Q.

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I as to what would have happened next at GOER?

A. As I said, I didn't spend a lot of time on hypotheticals. But it was my understanding that had GOER done an investigation, even if it had substantiated a finding of sexual harassment, which, you know, I did not think there had been sexual harassment, that there would have -- that there were sort of relatively limited cards in GOER's deck.

But as I said, it -- I went into my conversation with Ms. Bennett on June 30th assuming that I would be making a report to GOER and sort of thinking through what that would entail.

By the time I spoke to her, it became clear to me that she did not think that the Governor had engaged in conduct which fell within the sexual harassment definition. She clearly did not want an investigation. She conveyed that that was very important to her.

And then I wanted very much to, you know, support Charlotte, not to cause her additional distress. But I also understood that I needed to undertake my only analysis of whether

	HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
I	had an	independent legal obligation to report
to	GOER.	And that that's what I did and
c	oncluded	d that I did not.

- Q. What was your understanding as to what actions, if any, GOER could take if they found any allegations of sexual harassment by the Governor to be found?
- A. I think GOER makes recommendations.

  It doesn't take "actions." And so they would have made recommendations, presumably, had they done an investigation and had they substantiated it.
- Q. And if it was conduct by the Governor, do you know to whom GOER would make any recommendations if the conduct was substantiated?
  - A. I did not know the answer to that.
  - Q. Who was the head of GOER at the time?
  - A. Michael Volforte.
  - Q. And do you know to whom he reported?
- A. I think he reports in through the Executive Chamber, probably to one of the Deputy Secretaries up to Kelly Cummings.
- Q. So a few levels below the Governor; is that accurate, in the hierarchy?

	<b>yy</b>
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	A. Yes, in the hierarchy.
3	Q. And you said that Ms. Bennett at some
4	on July 1st said to you that she did not think
5	that the conduct met the definition of the sexual
6	harassment policy; is that something that she
7	said to you?
8	A. She did not use those words with me.
9	But what she told me had occurred, in my
10	judgment, did not meet the definition of sexual
11	harassment under the policy.
12	Q. On either June 30th or July 1st, did
13	she tell you that she was afraid of retaliation?
14	A. Absolutely not.
15	Q. On either June 30th or July 1st, did
16	she tell you she was afraid of the Governor
17	learning that she had raised these concerns?
18	A. No. She said that she was afraid
19	that the Governor would be mad at her for asking
20	to transfer away from him.
21	Q. Did she tell you as of June 30th,
22	she had already transferred, correct?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. Did she tell you whether or not

anyone had told her that the Governor was upset

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I that she had transferred out of Chamber?

A. No, she did not say that. The discussion came up in the context of my asking her and saying to her, I just want to make sure that you are not -- I don't know what words I used but, essentially, chased away from the job, that you don't feel that you were forced to leave a job that you want to have because of what happened here. Because if that is the case, I will personally take every conceivable step to make sure that you can remain in that job and do so comfortably.

And, I think, I said, Jill and I would do that together, like, we were expressed to her that we were fully fully committed to ensuring a safe environment for her, if she wanted -- in any event, but if she wanted to remain in the briefing position.

And she interrupted me and said, no, no, no, this is "my dream job." She used the term, I think, twice. But she said, I think, in the context of that conversation, that she was afraid that he would be mad at her for transferring.

HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL	_	WITNESS	6	/22	/21	VOL.	I
--------	--------------	---	---------	---	-----	-----	------	---

- Q. On either June 30th or July 1st, did you explain to Ms. Bennett -- state to Ms. Bennett that she is protected from any retaliation?
- A. I did not use the word "retaliation."

  But, I think, we conveyed in words and substance
  to Ms. Bennett that we would -- Jill and I would
  personally protect her from anything.
- Q. And did you explain how you and/or
  Ms. DesRosiers could "protect" Ms. Bennett from
  the Governor?
- A. We didn't. It was, you know, it -it just -- she wasn't expressing any particular
  concern other than that he would be angry at her
  for moving jobs. And I was trying to convey to
  her and, I think, I did successfully that we were
  -- that Jill and I were always available to talk
  to her about anything.

I don't know that we used the word

"protect." I don't think that we wanted to

suggest to her that she was in any kind of unsafe

situation, but that we were there for her. She

could come talk to us at anytime. We talked

about counseling that the State could make

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/	'21 VOL. I
available to her, but that if she jus	st generally
needed somebody to talk to, we would	be there for
her.	

And, I think, we both genuinely -- we were -- I meant it from the bottom of my heart and I believe that Charlotte understood that.

Q. You mentioned "counseling" the State could provide.

What did you tell her about that?

- A. I think we just referenced that the State had resources available to people who were survivors of sexual violence, that it sounded to me like it was handling her situation very well. But that if she felt that she needed additional support, that there were resources available through the State.
- Q. Anything else that you can recall from the June 30th conversation?
- A. I have no doubt that I left out some detail, but there's nothing that I recall right now.
- Q. And can you describe the conversations you had with various people that you spoke to on June 30th?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Did you speak to anyone else other
3	than the people we've gone through, with the
4	Governor, Ms. DesRosiers, Ms. Lacewell, Jill
5	DesRosiers, I think you said, and Alfonso David
6	the next day, I think is what you said.
7	A. Yeah. In that period, I believe,

- A. Yeah. In that period, I believe, those are the only individuals that I spoke to about this.
  - Q. Did you consult any documents?
- A. I did look very closely at the GOER manual. I looked at materials that were available on the website of the Division of Human Rights. I think I maybe went and looked at the law. Yes, I consulted a number of documents. I can't give you an exhaustive list, but I did, at least, that.
- Q. You mentioned the GOER handbook.

  Is that the one that we were going over that's at Tab 4, the May 2020 version?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you look at any other GOER documents?
- A. At that time, I can't tell you

  whether I looked at the complaint form or not at

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I that time. I may have. I don't recall any other GOER documents that I looked at.

- Q. The GOER handbook, did you ask anyone to give you a copy of it or how you would access it?
  - A. Yeah, I think that I did.
  - Q. Who did you ask versus --
- A. I believe I asked Lauren Grasso to help me get a copy of it.
- Q. And did you speak to anyone else about getting a copy of it?
  - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. And prior to asking Ms. Grasso, did you know how to access the GOER handbook?
- A. Well, I knew it was available on the Intranet, but I -- because I was working remotely, had a great deal of difficulty figuring out -- I'm not very technologically savvy. So there's a way to get into our system and get it, but the way I remoted in, I couldn't get it off of our system. But I also knew it was available on the website, but I was asking Lauren. I actually wanted to see the predecessor documents, which I also asked Lauren for.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. And did Ms. Grasso tell you how to
3	access them or send them to you or something
4	else?
5	A. I think she sent them to me, but I
6	don't remember.
7	Q. And how did she send them to you?
8	A. Well, if she sent them to me, she
9	would have done it by e-mail.
10	Q. Did you consult with the handbook and
11	these other documents before speaking to Ms.
12	Bennett again?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And what conclusions did you draw
15	after reviewing these documents?
16	A. I concluded that if I had understood
17	Ms. Bennett correctly and that the Governor had
18	not taken any unwanted steps, that he had not
19	engaged in conduct that would violate the policy,
20	specifically, he hadn't engaged conduct of a
21	sexual nature that was unwanted or directed at
22	her because of her gender.
23	Q. And was there anything that she told
24	you that you thought might have fallen in that

definition?

L	HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL	_	WITNESS	6	/22/21	VOL.	I
---	--------	--------------	---	---------	---	--------	------	---

- A. No. There were things that she told me that I thought were not appropriate. But that did not fall within the definition of unwanted conduct of a sexual nature.
- Q. And what were the things that she told you about that you considered to be "inappropriate"?
- A. I thought it was "inappropriate" for the Governor to be having a conversation with an employee -- 25-year-old employee who was a survivor of sex assault about her sex life, about her assault.

I was very aware that talking to a survivor of sexual assault requires special skill and, you know, ideally, training but, certainly, sensitivity that I did not think that the Governor demonstrated in the account that that Charlotte gave me when I was...

- Q. And if Charlotte had not been a sex assault survivor but had been 25-year old female employee in the Chamber, do you think it was appropriate for the Governor to talk about her sex life?
  - A. I do not think it's appropriate, no.

	Page 116
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. Anything else that Ms. Bennett told
3	you about that you thought was "inappropriate"?
4	A. Well, I thought talking with her
5	about her sex life was inappropriate. I thought
6	talking about her sexual assault was
7	inappropriate.
8	Q. Did you think the Governor talking to
9	her about his dating life or hoped for dating
10	life was appropriate?
11	A. I would you know, in the scale of
12	inappropriate, I would I can conceive of
13	situations where, you know, the Governor could

Q. Do you think --

it in the same category.

MR. SPIRO: I don't mean to interrupt. We're coming up to 1 o'clock, so maybe at an appropriate point we can take a lunch break.

have talked about his dating life that I would

not think was inappropriate. So I just don't put

- MS. CLARK: We can push forward a little bit further. I am a big believer in taking lunch breaks. So we will take one but ...
  - In terms of specific comments that Q.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
Ms. Bennett said the Governor made about, you
know, appropriate age for him dating and she was
one that was younger than her, did you consider
that to be an inappropriate conversation?

- A. In this context with this young woman, I did think it was inappropriate. But, again, it was not conduct of a sexual nature and so it did not fall within our sexual harassment policy. But did I think he should be talking to her about wanting to date a 22-year old, no.
- Q. You identified several subjects that Ms. Bennett said she and the Governor talked about that related to sex but you said not of a sexual nature?

How do you draw the distinction.

- A. What comments did I say "related to sex"?
- Q. I didn't say you said. I was saying Ms. Bennett made comments about -- she talked to Governor about her sex life. She talked to the Governor about her sexual assault. They talked about his interest in dating women as young as 22.

These all relate in some fashion to

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I sex, or would you disagree with that?

A. I think I am going to take issue on that on the last one first. Dating I don't think is conduct of a sexual nature. I mean, even if you look at the current GOER training, they talk about asking somebody on a date and talking about dating and being lonely is not sexual in nature. So I did not consider his reference to dating to be about sex.

Whether a discussion of rape is a discussion about sex, I don't think it is. I mean, it's a discussion about sexual violence.

But given the context of their discussions where there was a topic that she was comfortable discussing and had introduced, I don't think that our sexual harassment policy makes it a taboo subject, particularly, where the topic of conversation was not unwanted or un-welcomed. She made it clear that it was.

And, again, discussions about her sex life, if she had said that she thought those were inappropriate or that he had crossed a line, that would have been a violation of the policy and I would have reported it without hesitation.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. And is it your understanding that the
3	policy covers conduct or discussions beyond those
4	that are of a sexual nature; is that your
5	understanding?
6	A. If it's directed at somebody well,
7	if it's unwanted and directed at somebody as a
8	result of their gender.
9	Q. Do you know whether the Governor
10	spoke with any men in the office about the
11	appropriate age range for him to date women?
12	A. I don't know who else the Governor
13	has spoken to about dating.
14	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: You told us that
15	Ms. Bennett made a reference to feeling that the
16	Governor was "grooming" her; is that right?
17	THE WITNESS: (No response.)
18	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: And what do you
19	understand the term "grooming" to mean?
20	THE WITNESS: Well, as I said, I had
21	no understanding of the term "grooming," as it
22	related to consenting adults. I thought of it as
23	a term I had only heard it used in the context
24	of pedophilia.

So I asked Charlotte to just describe

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
the conduct that she thought constituted
"grooming," because our policy talks in terms of
conduct. It has an objective component and a
subjective component. The objective component is
the conduct. The subjective component is how it
is received.

And what she described to me objectively did not constitute unwanted conduct of a sexual nature, as I understood the policy.

MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Right. I was focused on the term "grooming."

Did you understand the term

"grooming" to be a reference of something of a

sexual nature?

THE WITNESS: I understood her to be saying that she thought he was trying to lay the groundwork, potentially, for a sex relationship but that he had not taken any overt action or steps in that direction.

MS. KENNEDY-PARK: In the conversation, I think, you said if she had told you the Governor had "crossed a line," you would have reported it; is that right?

THE WITNESS: If she had told me that

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I the Governor's inquiries about her sex life were unwanted, I would have reported it.

MS. KENNEDY-PARK: I think the words you just used, though, where you said if she had told you that the Governor had "crossed a line," you would have reported it; isn't that what you just said?

THE WITNESS: It may be what I just said. But what I meant is "crossed a line" as defined by our sexual harassment policy.

MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Didn't Ms. Bennett tell you in that meeting that the Governor had "crossed a line"?

THE WITNESS: I think that the closest that Ms. Bennett came to saying that in the meeting was in the context of his reaction where he said, "you were raped," "you were raped," and his comment about dating a 22-year old. Neither of which in my understanding fell within the definition of conduct of a sexual nature.

MS. KENNEDY-PARK: I understand you don't think it "fell within the definition of conduct of sexual nature."

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	But is it correct that Ms. Bennett
3	told you more than once in the conversation that
4	the Governor's conduct had "crossed a line"?
5	THE WITNESS: She never used that
6	term with me.
7	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Your understanding
8	she never used the term "crossed the line"?
9	THE WITNESS: Not that I recall, no.
10	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Okay.
11	THE WITNESS: I mean, is it
12	conceivable, yes, but I don't believe that she
13	did.
14	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Okay.
15	THE WITNESS: I think she used the
16	term "turning point." But I don't think she said
17	"cross a line."
18	Q. Did she tell you in the conversation
19	in which the Governor talked about the age
20	difference that he asked her whether she had ever
21	dated anyone older?
22	A. She definitely did not say that to
23	me.
24	Q. Did she tell you that the Governor
25	asked her her views on monogamy in that

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
conversation?
A. Yes, she did.
Q. Did she tell you that she thought
that that conversation was one that the Governor
was laying the groundwork to make sexual advances
toward her or words to that effect?
A. The conversation where he asked her
about monogony?
Q. And the age difference, that was all
in the same conversation, correct?
A. The only aspect of the conversation
that she well, let me go back.
When she said he asked her about
monogony, she actually took a beat and launched
into a little thing with me and Jill about how
. It was like a little lecture for
us.
She did not identify that as anything
that she thought was anything inappropriate. She
did identify the age range of 22, excuse me, as
that she thought was inappropriate.
Q. And did she think discussion about

date something one that was younger than her was

HIGH	LY CONI	FIDENTIA	L -	WITNESS	6/22/2	21 VOI	. I
the Go	vernor	laying	the	groundwo	ork to	make	some
sort o	f sexua	al advan	ce t	oward he	er?		

- A. She referred to it as a "red flag" and it was in the context of my asking her explain what she meant by "grooming." So I think that is a fair characterization.
- Q. And is it your understanding that that conversation which among other things they talked about the age difference was so upsetting to Ms. Bennett that she went to Ms. DesRosiers and asked for a transfer?
- A. It's my understanding that the cumulative effect of her realizing in her words that the Governor was not engaged in these conversations for her benefit caused her to feel uncomfortable continuing to have the kind of personal relationship that they developed and that she went to Ms. DesRosiers in order not to be alone with him anymore.
- Q. And is it your understanding that Ms.

  DesRosiers took this seriously enough that she

  arranged for a transfer for Ms. Bennett that took

  place within days?
  - A. It is my --

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	MR. SPIRO: Objection. If your
3	answer is based upon your communication with Ms.
4	DesRosiers, it's covered by the Chamber's
5	assertion of attorney-client privilege.
6	THE WITNESS: Okay.
7	A. I think it's only well, it's based
8	the transfer was arranged very quickly.
9	Q. More "quickly" than usual?
10	A. Well, Charlotte had asked for that
11	transfer in my understanding weeks, if not months
12	earlier, so, no.
13	Q. Well, was it your understanding when
14	she asked weeks or months earlier that any action
15	was taken to effectuate that transfer at that
16	time?
17	A. No. But that's often the case and
18	then there's a precipitating event and then the
19	transfer happened.
20	Q. And the "precipitating event" here
21	was Ms. Bennett telling Ms. DesRosiers that she
22	did not want to be alone again with the Governor
23	again, correct?
24	A. The "precipitating event" was
25	Charlotte asking that the transfer be effectuated

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	because she was not comfortable being alone with
3	the Governor.
4	MS. CLARK: We have a fair amount to
5	go with Ms. Bennett. So, I guess, we can take a
6	lunch break now. But you can leave the exhibit
7	binders in this room while you go wherever you
8	want to go for lunch.
9	We can go off the record.
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Stand by. The
11	time is 1:09 p.m. We are going off the record.
12	And this will end Media Unit No. 2.
13	(Lunch recess taken 1:09 to
14	p.m.)
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
16	2:08 p.m. We are back on the record and this
17	will be the start of Media Unit No. 3.
18	Counsel.
19	Q. So you mentioned before when you were
20	talking about the June 30th conversation, you
21	said you spoke to Ms. Bennett again on July 1st.
22	What time of day did you speak with
23	her on July 1st?
24	A. It was late afternoon or early
25	evening.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. And how did that conversation come
3	about?
4	A. Charlotte texted me to say that she
5	wanted to speak to me again and we texted back
6	and forth to figure out a time that worked for
7	both of us.
8	Q. And, just so I make sure I know what
9	we're talking about, it's Tab 7.
10	(Deposition Exhibit 5, JM_NB_00000152
11	- JM_NB_00000156: Text conversation between
12	Witness and Charlotte Bennett dated 7/1/20, was
13	marked for identification.)
14	Q. I know it's in an odd format but
15	A. Yeah, yeah.
16	Q. Is this the substance of your text
17	exchanges with Charlotte?
18	A. It is. And it is an odd format, but,
19	yes. I think there was more than this. I think
20	there was some back and forth on timing.
21	Q. It keeps going.
22	A. Okay. Oh, I see, yes.
23	Q. The formatting is as I said, I
24	don't know
25	A. Yeah.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q the technical reasons
3	A. Yeah, yeah.
4	Q but it's with each page, yeah.
5	And was this a telephone conversation
6	again?
7	A. Uh-huh.
8	Q. You have to say yes or no.
9	A. Oh, yes, it was.
10	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Yes or no?
11	Q. And was anyone on the call with you?
12	A. Jill was and, in this instance, it's
13	my understanding that Jill was also on the phone.
<b>L 4</b>	So it was it was a three-way call.
15	Q. How long was this call?
16	A. It was not nearly as long as the
17	first one, maybe I'm approximating but around
18	ten minutes.
19	Q. And I know
20	A. Maybe 15.
21	Q. And I know from time to time you've
22	mentioned we're talking about June 30th, some
23	things that were said on the July 1st.
24	Why don't you start from scratch and
25	tell me all that you recall that was said on

HIG	HLY	CONFIDENTIAL	-	WITNESS	6/22/21	VOL.	Ι
July	1st.						

A. On July 1st, I think, Charlotte started by thanking me for getting on the phone and I think she said, it's not a big deal. I'm not even sure if it's worth the call or something sort of apologetic.

And I reassured her that she didn't need to apologize.

She told me that she had been feeling very anxious. That she had read the handbook, the GOER handbook, that she was afraid that there was going to need to be an investigation. I think she said that she had got -- she had been so anxious that

I told her that, you know, she should not be anxious. And I don't remember what I said but just to try to settle her down.

So I'm always very anxious to try and just get people to breathe and realize everything is going to be okay.

And then she asked me if I had given any -- you know, if I had either given any further thought or reached any conclusions after

www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I our conversation.

And I told her that I had, that, you know, I was familiar with the manual and that I had gone back over my notes very carefully, which I had and that, you know, I wanted to be very careful that I was understanding her correctly. I think I said -- I'm sure I said, I really don't want to put any words in your mouth, but, you know, as I understand it -- you know, my understanding of what she relayed was that she had these very very personal conversations with the Governor that she thought were appropriate and that at a certain point -- and I don't remember how I phrased it -- because that she became less comfortable with the conversations and that she sort of proactively removed herself from that situation before -- and, again, I don't remember the exact phrase I used, but before there was any unwanted step taken.

And she -- I remember she just sort

of -- she was like, yes, yeah, very, yes, you

know, that's exactly right. I left before

anything bad happened. And she, you know, sort

of reiterated -- she was very sort of affirmative

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I that that was a correct characterization.

And then I said, in that case, I had concluded that there had been no violation of the sexual harassment policy and that an investigation would not be necessary. I don't know if I said a report to GOER or an investigation, but, you know, in words or substance that it would not be necessary to do anything further.

Wanted to make sure that I thought it was -- I wanted to make sure that the job -- that she hadn't been sort of forced out of a job that she wanted. I think there are people who -- I think that a job in close proximity to the Governor is a necessity more desirable than a job working on the health policy team and, you know, from my advantage point, I understood that those jobs staffing the Governor and his briefers are high burnout jobs. They're entry level jobs. They are not career path jobs.

They were in the middle of COVID.

Charlotte had an interest in health and health policy and the health team was where the action was. And so it made sense to me that this would

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I be an exciting prospect for her, but I wanted to hear directly from her.

She reassured me that that was the case. I think I sort of started to say when, you know, what I wanted to say and she interrupted me and said it was her "dream job," that I went back and said because if you did want it -- and I hear what you're saying that it's not what you want, but if you did want that, we would arrange for you to have that job and be comfortable. I think I said, you would not have to be alone with him.

And she said, no, no, no. I'm really happy where I am. She really was, I think, very happy. And she expressed relief.

You know, the way that she had been talking about her relationship with the Governor was interesting to me. It did not strike me as how you would imagine a young 25-year old talking to the Governor of the State. They had exchanged a lot of intimate details and she -- not withstanding the fact that she definitely had asked for the transfer, she also had expressed a great deal of affection and sort of -- for him. She had not really expressed anger so much as

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I just a sense that things had shifted in a way that had made her uncomfortable. And I think she was a young woman who was acutely aware of her environment her surroundings, where she felt safe and comfortable and was going to act, you know, to ensure her safety.

But I did say to her, it almost sounds to me like you thought he was acting like a friend.

And she said, I still think he's my friend. She said, it's very complicated because he's my friend, he's my boss, he's the Governor, but I think of him as my friend.

Which I thought was interesting and it did sort of shed some light on how she was feeling and it made me feel, you know, even more comfortable with the conclusion I had reached that while she definitely had articulated a concern about something that might happen, she still felt very much like it had been sort of constructive and positive experience and relationship for her and she was quite conflicted about it.

She did express that she was afraid

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I that he would be angry at her for leaving the job and asking for the transfer. And I think I -- I don't remember whether it was at the end of that conversation or the end of an earlier conversation where we talked about if she needed anything. I know that there was counseling available and I think it was at the end of that conversation that I really tried to convey to her that, you know, I had an open door and she could come talk to me anytime.

I had tremendous admiration for her.

I never met anybody who had been quite as sort of able to articulate what she was thinking and feeling and taking -- and these were her words, not mine -- sort of taking this experience that had been incredibly painful for her and trying to turn it into something constructive.

And I thought while I did not approve of the fact that she had these conversations with the Governor, I certainly didn't hold her responsible for it. And I thought she was somewhat -- like, I admired that she was as comfortable as she was talking about her experiences and talking about them with the

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Governor and, I think, I expressed that to her.
3	Q. Do you recall what was said by anyone
4	on the phone?
5	A. Not not as I sit here right now.
6	Q. Did you tell Ms. Bennett at any point
7	that you had reviewed the law between your first
8	and second conversation?
9	A. I know that I told her that I had
10	that I was familiar with the handbook. I don't
11	know if I told her that I reviewed the law.
12	Q. But did you at any point tell Ms.
13	Bennett that you thought most of her interactions
14	with the Governor were inappropriate?
15	A. No. I am actually quite sure that I
16	did not say that.
17	Q. And we talked about some of these
18	questions for the June 30th conversation.
19	But in the July 1st conversation, did
20	you tell her that if she wanted to there could
21	still be a complaint made to GOER?
22	A. No, I didn't. She was so clearly not
23	wanting to make a complaint to GOER, that I

didn't -- and also that she had, you know, read

and was familiar with the handbook.

24

25

And she was

	HI	GHL	Y CC	ONFIDENT	IAL -	-	WITNE	SS	6/22/2	21	VO	L. ]	
a	soj	phi	stic	cated cor	nsume	er	here		I did	nc	t	say	to
he	er :	if :	she	changed	her	n	nind,	she	could	d a	ılw	ays	
f	ile	a o	comp	olaint.									

- Q. Did she at any point talk to anyone else who might have witnessed interactions between Ms. Bennett and the Governor to get their view of what had gone on between the two of them?
- A. No. I need to be really clear. I was not trying to investigate what Charlotte was saying or not saying. I was really just limiting what I was doing to try to understand what Charlotte had experienced from Charlotte's perspective.
- Q. And my understanding is that it didn't go to GOER, so they didn't investigate.

Do you know if anyone investigated what had occurred between Ms. Bennett and the Governor at any point prior to 2021?

- A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Did you take notes during the conversations you had with Ms. Bennett?
  - A. I did.
  - Q. And could you turn to Tab 8.
    (Deposition Exhibit 6,

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I Chamber\_AG\_00000705 - 00000733 handwritten notes dated 6/30/20, was marked for identification.)

- Q. Are these your notes?
- A. They are.
- Q. Did you take the notes while you were speaking to Ms. Bennett?
- A. The answer is, yes, although I do have a question about some of the notes in the far left margin and whether I -- whether I wrote some of the stuff in the first page in the left margin in anticipation of our conversation.
- Q. And the page numbering, did you do that at the time or --
- A. No. The page numbering is confounding to me. I was taking the notes on a pad that ended up not having enough paper. And so I ended up writing on the back of some pages. It was -- it was a -- the conversation was far more extensive than I planned. I was not in a place where I could, like, stop and get more paper. So I had to make due with what I had.

And the page numbering was my attempt to make order of my notes pretty much immediately

	<b>y</b>
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	afterwards and I'm not sure if I did a good job.
3	Q. If you look at the page Bate stamp
4	numbers CHAMBER_AG 719. It has, "T/G with
5	Charlotte and Jill DesRosiers at 6/30/20."
6	A. Hold on one sec.
7	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Where are we?
8	A. Yes. So
9	Q. What are what is this section
10	here?
11	A. This was an effort to re-copy and
12	somewhat reorganize my notes, I think, almost
13	immediately after the initial conversation.
<b>14</b>	Q. And if you turn to Page Bates 731
15	dated July 1st, '20.
16	Did you take that during the call
17	with
18	A. 31?
19	Q. Yeah.
20	A. Yes. Those were while I was talking
21	to Charlotte. But in this conversation, I did
22	much more talking than Charlotte did and I find
23	it very very difficult to talk and take notes at
2.4	the same time So I think I abandoned that

endeavor relatively quickly hoping that Jill had

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I good notes and then, again, like literally the second that I got off the phone with Charlotte, I did the next page of notes, you know, really like immediately after the call because I realized I hadn't adequately captured it from my contemporaneous notes.

- Q. On the first page --
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- on the far left margin, it looks like there's some questions.

Did you write that out in advance to ask her or --

- A. I'm sorry, the very first page?
- Q. Yeah, the very first page.
- A. Yeah, I'm thinking that I did. I don't have a distinct recollection of it, but it would be consistent with how I might plan for, you know, an interview like this. It was, you know, somewhat impromptu, didn't have a lot of time to prepare for it. I wanted to figure out how I wanted to start and have some questions in the margin just to remind myself so that I could go back and check to make sure that I covered what I wanted to cover.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. Your handwriting is far more legible
3	than mine, but I might have a few questions.
4	A. Okay. Yeah, well, it deteriorates.
5	Q. On the first page in the bottom
6	right-hand corner it says, "He kept say you were
7	raped, you were raped," and then there is a dash
8	and what does that say?
9	A. "Aggressive."
10	Q. "Aggressive."
11	And then further down it says,
12	"strange he had to know I was"?
13	A. "Uncomfortable."
14	Q. And on the second page all the way
15	down it says, "looking for a girlfriend not
16	linear. He asked me about how being sexually
17	assaulted affected the way I was attracted to
18	men."
19	What is the nonlinear "not linear"
20	refer to?
21	A. I believe that Charlotte was saying
22	the conversation wasn't linear, that that was
23	something that Charlotte said to me. I don't

The part that says, "he asked me

think that is commentary on my part.

Q.

24

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	about how being sexually assaulted affected the
3	way I was attracted to men," is that something
4	that Ms. Bennett said the Governor asked her?
5	A. I'm pretty sure that is a direct
6	quote.
7	Q. Also, on Page 2, it has the name Jada
8	Pinkett Smith and Chelsea Handler?
9	Do you know what that's a reference
10	to?
11	A. Yes. So Charlotte was, I think, told
12	us that she was reading mail from people who had
13	written him or and I think it was these
14	were two people who in my understanding had in
15	some way reached out in admiration to the
16	Governor and Charlotte suggested them to the
17	Governor and she dismissed both of them. I think
18	he said this is from memory or maybe it may be
19	in more detailed notes that Jada Pinkett was
20	married and that Chelsea Handler was
21	Q. Page 3, at the top does that say,
22	"asked about recent hook ups"?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. It says,
25	A

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. About two-thirds of the way down it
3	says, "next day just me everybody else left.
4	Felt like a followup to how he would find
5	privacy."
6	What was that a reference to?
7	A. That she had previously said that
8	when he raised wanting a girlfriend, she had
9	mentioned that it might be hard for him to find
10	privacy. And I don't know if she already told me
11	that at this point. But later on she goes
12	through a more detailed explanation and explains
13	that she had said that to him.
14	So, here, I think, what she's saying
15	and she elaborated on it later was that she felt
16	that oh, here. It's just immediately above
17	this.
18	"Forget about the pandemic for a few
19	hours." And she says, "I don't know how you
20	would have time for a relationship."
21	And he said, "privacy isn't a
22	problem." I think she did say that she raised
23	privacy.

and everyone else left and it felt like a

"And then the next day it was just me

24

	_
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	followup of how to define privacy." So it was
3	all part of one thought.
4	Q. And did she say when everybody left
5	that she thought it was a way for him to find
6	privacy with her?
7	A. To demonstrate to her that he could
8	arrange for privacy, if he needed it.
9	Q. "He asked if I had found a GF" is
10	that girlfriend?
11	A. Girlfriend, yeah.
12	Q. "He said I looked like Daisy Dukes
13	and then he left."
<b>14</b>	What is that?
15	A. I think she told us that she didn't
16	know what it meant either and so she looked it up
17	on the Internet and it was a reference to a
18	character in a television show that wore shorts.
19	Q. Did you know what the reference was
20	when she described it to you?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Did you look it up?

Do you think that that's an

appropriate comment for a Governor to make to a

Α.

No.

23

24

HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL	-	WITNESS	6/22/21	VOL.	Ι
female er	mployee?					

A. It did not -- she understood it to make reference to the fact that she was wearing a pair of shorts. It did not offend me. I mean, it -- as I said, there were things that I thought had occurred between the two of them that I thought were inappropriate.

I think the comment about somebody wearing shorts in the office is not inappropriate.

- Q. Below that it says, "Asked a lot of questions in my sexual history"; is that something that Ms. Bennett said the Governor had done?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And then it looks like on Page 4 at some point you went back over her history in the office?
- A. Yes. So, here, I am concerned that the page numbering that I came up with may not have been right and may not have been right at the time and I thought of it -- whether I should be re-numbering, I'm not sure.

But the answer, generally, to your

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I question is, my standard way of doing an interview like this and the way I did this one was to let her just talk and tell me what happened without a lot of guidance from me and then to go back to the beginning to get background and to tease out more details from the story and that's what I did here.

- Q. On page number that's five, you know, it may not really have been fifth, in the middle it talks about, "He asked me to do pushups for him."
  - A. Uh-huh.
  - Q. What was that a reference to?
- A. This was in reference to her explaining -- and I do think that five follows from, at least, the second half of Page 4.

She was describing her history of working with him and describing early on when she started staffing him that they had personal conversations and that they talked about, I think, the fact that she was doing weight training or -- and they got into sort of a pushup competition where he would ask her to do pushups and tell her he could do, I don't know, 20 more

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	or something like that.
3	And I asked her if she thought that
4	was appropriate and she told me that she did.
5	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: And before you get
6	there
7	Can I say where is that in the notes
8	that you asked her if you thought that that was
9	appropriate?
10	THE WITNESS: I don't know if it's in
11	this set of notes or if it is in the later set of
12	notes, because, again, I don't always write down
13	when I'm asking a question. But I have a pretty
<b>14</b>	distinct recollection of asking it. And it my
15	guess is that it's in my later notes. I could be
16	wrong, but I do remember asking her.
17	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Okay.
18	Q. Seven there is something in the left
19	margin toward the top?
20	A. That's my initials.
21	Q. Oh. Oh, INITIALS, okay.
22	A. "INITIALS how did he respond."
23	Q. And she says, "He was interested. He
24	asked a lot of cues about" and I can't
25	A "Why What T

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	wanted to do long-term. He wanted me to take a
3	step back, not one bad experience. I told him I
4	was raped at ."
5	I think that was her way of saying it
6	just wasn't one bad experience.
7	Q. If you turn to the page that's on
8	Page 11 in your handwriting.
9	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: 11.
10	Q. And there's a reference "to get on a
11	bike with a woman and go to a mountain."
12	What does that say, "with"?
13	A. "Tired and lonely wants to get on a
14	bike with a woman go into," I think, it's the
15	mountains, but I have the word "a mountain." She
16	said that it was within a larger conversation.
17	Q. And then in the margin it says,
18	"convo approximately an hour"; is that what it
19	says?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And she told you that Melissa
22	A. Came into the office
23	Q DeRosa came into his office so he
24	handed her a PowerPoint?

That's right.

A.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WI	TNESS 6/22/	/21	VOL.	Ι
--------------------------	-------------	-----	------	---

- Q. At the bottom of the page, did she describe an incident where the Governor commented on how she was wearing her hair?
- A. Yeah. I asked her if this incident was the first time she was distressed. And she said back in November -- so we're now in August -- or I'm sorry, June -- July, July, June, July. And she's referring, I think, to the prior November. She was having a bad week. When he arrived at 633, which is the Manhattan office, he said, "why aren't you wearing your hair like that," and then he didn't talk to her for the rest of the day. And didn't say anything to her. "And then asked me why I was wearing my hair like that." I think she said -- I think it says, "because it was messy." And he walked away.

And I said -- and she said, "she said it very loudly so everybody could hear he doesn't like my hair." And she said she was upset by that statement.

Q. Let's look at 14. It makes reference to "going into office with \*\* ; is that \*\*Executive Assistant #2 ?

A. Yes.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -	- 1	WITNESS	6	/22	/21	VOL.	I
-----------------------	-----	---------	---	-----	-----	------	---

Q. And then it makes reference to a comment about "predator and harassment."

What was that about?

A. I don't think she was able to explain it and I didn't understand it. But she said that she was only -- you know, we -- you know, it was during COVID. She was wearing a mask and she said that the mask kept sucking into her face and he said she looked like "predator." And I think she had explain to me that "predator" was like an animated super hero character. My popular culture lexicon is not very good.

And then he made a strange comment -a "weird comment" were her words about how could
somebody say he was sexually harassing her for
saying she looked like "predator."

- Q. And the next page in the top section on the right it says, "does age matter? Paused ready to" join -- or "to give an answer. Cut me off and said it didn't" --
- A. Sorry -- I'm -- okay, sorry. "Does age matter, paused" -- or "she paused. She was ready to give an answer, but he cut her off and said age didn't matter."

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. And then what does it say below that?
3	A. And then she says, "you should have a
4	party."
5	Q. What did she say he said in response?
6	A. I think I said, "then what?"
7	And there was no she did not say
8	what he said in response.
9	Q. And
10	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Respond
11	Witness, could you move back to
12	THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry apologies.
13	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: It's okay.
14	Q. And in a the margin it says, "want to
15	distance myself"?
16	A. So this was the point at which I
17	completely ran out of paper and so the very end
18	of our conversation I noted in the far left
19	margin.
20	She said, "I want to distance myself.
21	I don't want to" it says, "be alone," but I'm
22	not sure if that's actually what she said or if I
23	just wrote it. I don't remember her saying that.
24	"Jill has been amazing. I don't want
25	to make waves. Want to make" she said, "as

HIGH	HLY	CONFIDENT	CI2	<i>Y</i> L -	WITNESS	6/22/21	VOL.	I
small	as	possible	I	reca	all."			

And then I think I may have asked her about the "Daisy Dukes" reference, because I didn't understand it. Or that may have been at earlier point and she said that she pretended that she understood it. She looked it up and it was a reference to her shorts. That's where she explained the "Daisy Dukes" comment.

MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Do you now understand that Daisy Dukes is famous for wearing incredibly short shorts?

THE WITNESS: No, I did not know that.

MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Okay.

- Q. And on the page we're looking at, it says, "June 5 groom, cone of silence" something?
- A. I think this is where I'm asking her to explain -- I went back at -- you know, she had mentioned "grooming" earlier and I went back and asked her to explain what she meant by "grooming."

And she said the "cone of silence" thing. "Do you know what this is? No one will know. I won't say anything if you won't say

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	anything. Yes, when questions became more
3	personal."
4	And then I said, "Did he make a
5	sexual advance? She said no."
6	And then she referenced the age
7	difference as she referred to it as a "red
8	flag I recall, especially, that he picked a
9	specific age."
10	I asked about "anything else." And
11	she says, "not that I can think of."
12	And then she said I think, I said,
13	"anything else" again. And she said, "he likes
14	my make up. We talked about that on Tuesday."
15	Everything "the Tuesday after everything was
16	fine. He arrived and departed he called me
17	wings," and that referred to her eye make up.
18	Q. (INAUDIBLE.)
19	THE STENOGRAPHER: I'm sorry. I
20	didn't catch the question at all.
21	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Okay. You need to
22	repeat your question. I think
23	Q. (INAUDIBLE.)
24	On page that's stamped 727, these are
25	within your notes that you wrote after

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	A. Right.
3	Q the conversation.
4	In the upper left corner, there is
5	something that says with an arrow that says,
6	"clarify/confirm with JDR."
7	What's that's a reference to?
8	A. So, I think, on the previous page,
9	she says, "We had a very long conversation.
10	Stephanie asked were you working that whole time
11	dictating, what were you talking about?"
12	And then I have a note saying,
13	"unclear response," and a note to myself saying
14	clarify with Jill "clarify/confirm with Jill."
15	Like, I I don't think I heard or understood
16	what she said in response and I didn't you
17	know, I think I did stop her a couple of times so
18	that I could take a careful note. But I also
19	didn't want to stop her too much while she was
20	talking. And my recollection Jill didn't hear it
21	either.
22	Q. (INAUDIBLE.)
23	THE STENOGRAPHER: I'm sorry, I can't
24	hear you.
25	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: They can't hear

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	you again, Anne. I don't know why that is.
3	MS. CLARK: Sorry.
4	A. Yeah, I see. We talked about
5	monogamy.
6	Q. "Monogamy.
7	. He asked about how being
8	sexually assaulted affected the way she was
9	attracted to men"?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. And it says, "He asked about recent
12	hookups"?
13	A. Uh-huh.
14	Q. Is that a word she used?
15	A. Yes, it's a word she used.
16	Q. Did she say it's a word the Governor
17	used?
18	A. This was her word and it was not an
19	instance where I asked her to clarify whether it
20	was his word or not.
21	Q. And then further down it says, "He
22	asked if that was my last serious relationship.
23	He wanted to know who I had been seeing, if I was
24	sleeping with other people, if they were sleeping
25	with other people."

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Is that something that she said the
3	Governor asked her about?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And a little further down it says,
6	"He said it's hard not touching someone in
7	months."
8	A. Right.
9	Q. That's something she said the
10	Governor said?
11	A. Uh-huh.
12	Q. You have to say yes or no.
13	A. Oh, sorry. Yes, that's something
14	that she said the Governor said.
15	Q. And that she then responds to him, "I
16	said are gone?"
17	A. Yes. And then she said he asked her,
18	"and she said that her parents wouldn't even let
19	her in the house because of COVID and that she
20	had not even had a hug in months."
21	I think you need to remember we were
22	like in a period of time where many people had
23	not had a hug in months and it was very hard,
24	especially, frankly, for the people who are
25	living in Albany.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. Did he ever say that a hug from
3	was
4	THE STENOGRAPHER: I'm sorry, you're
5	breaking up. I'm sorry. You're breaking up.
6	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: The Court Reporter
7	can't hear either of you now.
8	THE WITNESS: Oh, no.
9	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: So if we just get
10	our mikes closer a little bit, maybe it raises
11	our voice a little bit.
12	Q. Did Ms. Bennett say that the Governor
13	said anything along the lines of that a hug from
14	was not the sort of hug that he was
15	looking for?
16	A. No, she did not.
17	Q. On the page that's stamped 729 toward
18	the bottom it says, "There were a lot of red
19	flags. He asked if I knew what a cone of silence
20	was. I said, yes."
21	Is that something and that's under
22	the June 7th.
23	Is that something that she said was
24	discussed in the June 7th conversation, as well
25	as the earlier one?

1	HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL	_	WITNESS	6/22/2	1 V	OL.	I

A. No. I think that this was toward the end of our conversation after she had finished -- on June 7th, as I understood it, was a very very quick encounter where he said that she looked like "Daisy Dukes" and then he left. That was it but that five lines.

And then this next part about "a lot of red flags" was my asking her to sort of go back and talk to me about what she had meant by "grooming."

Q. It looks like it's about July 1st there is one page that's very short and then there's the follow along the --

THE STENOGRAPHER: I'm sorry. You're break up again. I don't know why.

A. Yeah, so it was --

MS. KENNEDY-PARK: We have to pause. I'm sorry. But, Anne, they can't hear you for some reason all the sudden. I don't know if -- Silvia is saying you're breaking up like you're coming in and out.

THE STENOGRAPHER: Now, I can't hear you at all.

MS. KENNEDY-PARK: She's not talking.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes. Continue

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	please.
3	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Go ahead.
4	Q. So I had asked whether you did the
5	same thing of taking some notes during the call
6	and others afterwards?
7	A. Yeah. In fact, the July 1st call I
8	took even fewer notes because, I think, I
9	mentioned I was doing the talking and I can't
10	I can't do both at the same time. And so the
11	ones I took did afterwards, I literally did them
12	the second I hung up.
13	Q. And what did you do with your notes
14	after you took these notes?
15	A. I saved them.
16	Q. Did you give copies to anybody or
17	share them with anybody?
18	A. No. I I may have shared the
19	contents of the notes. But the actual notes
20	themselves I retained until after the
21	investigation started.
22	Q. Did were you aware of Ms.
23	DesRosiers taking notes?
24	A. I was aware that she took notes.
25	Q. Did you ever obtain a set of her

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	notes at any point?
3	A. Yes. The next time that I saw Jill
4	in Albany, which was sometime later, I can't tell
5	you exactly when it was, she handed me a steno
6	pad that her notes and I saved them with mine.
7	Q. Did she just give them to you or did
8	you ask her for them or something else?
9	A. I think that we had discussed the
10	at you know, contemporaneously with her taking
11	the notes, that I would want to retain them. But
12	I think she just gave them to me the next time
13	she saw me.
14	Q. And where did you retain her notes?
15	A. With my notes.
16	Q. And were they in a file with anything
17	else, or was it just those sets of notes?
18	A. They were just in a file with those
19	sets of notes.
20	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Mr. Clark asked
21	you if you shared your notes with anyone and you
22	said no.
23	But on the meeting on the weekend
24	after Ms. Bennett's story came out sorry, I

keep saying "weekend." It wasn't weekend.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	The evening meeting and the breakfast
3	meeting, did you share your notes with anyone on
4	that occasion?
5	THE WITNESS: I did not provide
6	copies of my notes to anyone. I did talk about
7	at an earlier point the contents of my notes with
8	several people.
9	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Okay.
10	Q. After July 1st but before December of
11	2020, did you speak with Ms. Bennett again?
12	A. I'm sorry, July between July 1st
13	and December 2020?
14	Q. Yes.
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. How many times did you speak with her
17	in that time period?
18	A. I think I had one conversation with
19	her.
20	Q. And when was that?
21	A. It was sometime in the fall. I had
22	heard that I think I had heard that she was
23	leaving. I know that I had heard that she had
24	taken and I had a
25	conversation with her both about and

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	about the fact that she was thinking of leaving
3	the Chamber.
4	Q. And was this by telephone?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Was anyone else on the call?
7	A. No.
8	Q. How long was your call?
9	A. Again, I don't know, exactly. But
10	I'd say between 5 and 10 minutes, not long but it
11	was a conversation.
12	Q. And other than asking about her
13	leaving and asking about , do you
14	recall anything that you said or she said in that
15	conversation?
16	A. I mean, I recall her telling me that
17	she had been , I think, .
18	It was a . It was either or
19	. I'm pretty sure she said ,
20	that she was
21	
22	She was very upset. She said she had
23	not felt as well for a long time as she was
24	currently feeling, that she was feeling very good

and it had been a long time since she felt good

I	VOL.	6/22/21	ESS	WITNI	<u> -</u>	NFIDENTIA	CO1	GHL	H
a	and		a	have	to	relieved	was	she	and

She was in, as I said, you know, very high spirit and said that the work with the health team had not worked out the way she wanted , who she had been I think working closely with, had left the Chamber and had gone to work at SUNY and she said that the man who had been recently hired as the Deputy Secretary for health so that would be a position 's didn't seem to have the time or senior to interest in mentoring her that she wanted and that it just wasn't satisfactory to her that she had decided that she wanted to go to graduate I think in the -- so it was some health program, but it had a specific focus and I don't remember what it was. But it just wasn't public health. It was something very very specific and she found a program that she really wanted to do, but that it was to start in January.

And so we were in the fall and I suggested to her that if she wasn't happy in the health portfolio, she wouldn't need to leave. We could, you know, try and find her something where

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I she was happy, you know, while she waited for the graduate program to start.

And I encouraged her to talk to Jill before she made any final decisions. Because, you know, Jill was the Chief of Staff and sort of new where there might be places that would be good for her. I think she ultimately did talk to Jill and did decide to resign.

I think initially, she was going to take some accrued leave and then another opportunity came along and so she ended up leaving very quickly and there was -- I recall seeing some e-mail back and forth about it could we pay out her leave, could we accelerate her leave, you know, it was the administrative stuff. But I was copied on those communications.

- Q. And when Ms. Bennett talked about her reasons for leaving, did she say whether her interactions with the Governor had played any role in her decision to leave state government?
- A. I wouldn't put it that way, but I think I do recall her saying that she did not want to give her best self to him. It was in the context of her saying how good she felt and that

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
she I think her words were, I don't want to
give my best self to him. So she conveyed that,
you know, she was not feeling nearly as friendly
towards him as she had when I last spoke with
her.

- Q. Did you talk with Ms. Bennett about supporting her in any fashion other than finding a different state job for her?
- A. Not that I can think of.

  Did you have something specific in mind?
  - Q. I was just asking.
- A. I don't remember talking about other types of support, no.
- Q. Have you spoken to Ms. Bennett since then?
  - A. No.
- Q. Have you communicated in writing with Ms. Bennett since then, other than the e-mails about her -- you might have been on about her leave pay?
- A. I actually was not on -- I think I was forwarded those e-mails. I was not on those e-mails to the best of my recollection. No, I

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	don't recall having any communication with Ms.
3	Bennett after that last phone call. You know
4	it's possible when she sent a good-bye note I
5	might have sent something back, but not that I
6	recall.
7	Q. Did you work ever with Lindsey
8	Boylan?
9	A. No.
10	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: We're going to go
11	into a new topic? You're done talking with
12	Charlotte Bennett?
13	A. I would like
14	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: We would like a
15	break.
16	A to take a quick
17	MS. CLARK: Sure.
18	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Alright. Off the
19	record.
20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Stand by. The
21	time is 2:56 p.m. We are going off the record.
22	This will end Media Unit No. 3.
23	(Recess taken 2:56 to 3:04 p.m.)
24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
25	3:04 p.m. We are back on the record. This will

work environment in the Executive Chamber?

Α.

No.

24

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. When did you first become aware that
3	Ms. Boylan was making any allegations about the
4	work environment in the Executive Chamber?
5	A. In early December of 2020.
6	Q. And how did you learn about it?
7	A. I it was either on a phone call or
8	an e-mail with Melissa and/or Linda Lacewell and
9	I don't remember. But one of the two of them, if
10	not both of them brought it to my attention.
11	Q. And what did they say to you?
12	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Objection.
13	[INSTRUCTION] We're going to assert the
14	Executive Chamber has instructed us to assert
15	privilege on those communications.
16	Q. And were they seeking legal advice
17	from you in bringing this to your attention?
18	A. Can I consult with my Counsel
19	Q. Sure.
20	A for a moment?
21	(Sidebar discussion held off the
22	record.)
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're still on the
24	record.
25	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: We can go off the

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	record.
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Stand by. The
4	time is 3:06 p.m. We are going off the record.
5	(Recess taken 3:06 to 3:12 p.m.)
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
7	3:12 p.m. We are back on the record.
8	MS. CLARK: Back on the record.
9	A. Okay. The question was, I'm sorry,
10	were they asking me for legal advice?
11	Q. Yes.
12	A. There was legal advice sought during
13	those calls.
<b>14</b>	Q. And did you see Ms. Boylan's tweets?
15	A. I saw well, the actual tweets
16	themselves, only a couple.
17	Q. And did you see them only after other
18	people brought them to your attention?
19	A. The initial ones were brought to my
20	attention. I briefly tried to figure out how to
21	see them myself and I'm not a Twitter
22	sophisticate and I found it very difficult to
23	follow it and so I had others help me.
24	Q. If you can turn to Tab 10.
25	(Deposition Exhibit 7. Boylan Tweets

HIGH	HLY CO	NFIDEN	ITIAI	L - WIT	INESS	6/22/	/21	VOL.	I
dated	12/5,	12/8	and	12/13/	/2020,	was	mar	ked	for
identi	ificati	ion.)							

A. Uh-huh.

Q. And these are tweets that start on December 5th, continue on the 8th and thereafter.

Have you seen all of these before?

- A. Yes, I think so.
- Q. And seeing the dates of the tweets, can you place in time when you first became aware of her tweets?
- A. Well, it wasn't before December 5th.

  I can't tell you whether it was December 5th or

  6th or possibly even the 7th.
- Q. Other than the call just described with Ms. DesRosiers and Ms. Lacewell, did you speak to anyone else in the Executive -- current employees in the Executive Chamber about Ms. Boylan's tweets in the December time period?
- A. There were a series of calls in the December time period. And I can't give you a complete list of everybody I talked to. But I know I spoke with some frequency with Melissa DeRosa, Linda Lacewell and at some point also with Steve Cohen and I did speak with Alfonso

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I David.

- Q. And were the discussions with Alfonso David related to any legal advice?
- A. Yes. I also spoke with one of my colleagues who works with me, Julia Kupiec, who had some firsthand knowledge of some of the events.
- Q. And what did you discuss with Ms. Kupiec?
- A. I think I she had sat in on a counseling session that Alfonso David had had with Ms. Boylan in September 2018 and she talked with me about that as well as her own -- her own interactions and observations with Lindsey and I believe at some point in -- I think it was 2019 Ms. Boylan had -- there had been a couple of instances where Ms. Boylan had contact with members of the Executive Chamber that were violations of the ethics rules about contacting -- you know, having post-employment contact with the Chamber and she discussed those with me.
- Q. What did you she tell you were the contacts that Ms. Boylan had in 2019 that violated the ethics rule?

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I

MR. SPIRO: Objection, privileged.

[INSTRUCTION] We've been directed by the Chamber to assert privilege with regard to those communications.

- Q. What is your understanding as to what the rules are with regard to post-employment contact with the Chambers?
- Well, I will say Julia is the lead expert on them. But with limited exceptions, there is a two-year bar on having work-related contact with the Chamber. So you can wish people happen birthday. You can congratulate them on, you know, a birth of a child. You can have social contact. But you cannot conduct work-related business with the Chamber within two years of departure. One exception is for what I referred to before, which is transitional, if it has to do with something that you working on in the Chamber and there is a need to understand, you know, sort of for transition purpose and that transitional period can be quite lengthy. And then, additionally, it would never be appropriate to use an Executive Chamber e-mail for political purposes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HTCHLY	CONFIDENTIAL -	WITHNESS	6/	22/21	VOT.	т

- Q. Are you aware of whether the Executive Chamber took any actions in 2019 about any of the contact Ms. Boylan had with the Chamber in 2019?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And what action did they take in 2019?
- A. I just want to make sure that I'm allowed to that answer that.

MR. ABRAMOWITZ: I think --

MR. SPIRO: Yeah.

- A. There was a report made to JCOPE about Ms. Boylan's making a fairly broad announcement related to her candidacy for the democratic nomination for Congress for when she was running -- when she was challenging Gerald Nadler's seat. I don't remember the exact substance of her outreach to Chamber, but it was judged to be a violation of the ethics laws and there was a report made to JCOPE concerning that outreach.
- Q. And do you know what, if anything, JCOPE did as a result of that report?
  - A. No.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. In your conversations with Alfonso
3	David about Ms. Boylan, was anyone else on the
4	call?
5	A. I don't believe so.
6	Q. How many calls did you have with
7	Steve Cohen about Lindsey Boylan?
8	A. It could have been several, I don't
9	remember, but I think it was several calls.
10	Q. And what did you discuss with
11	Mr. Cohen?
12	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: [INSTRUCTION]
13	Executive Chamber has directed us not to answer
14	those questions.
15	Q. Were you seeking legal advice from
16	Mr. Cohen?
17	A. I was seeking Steve's judgment, which
18	had a component could be said to be legal.
19	Q. Was any forgive me if I asked
20	this.
21	Was anyone else on your calls with
22	Mr. Cohen?
23	A. Informed speculation I'm thinking
24	that some of those calls may have included Linda
25	and/or Melissa.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. And am I correct that Mr. Cohen was
3	not working for the Executive Chamber at this
4	time; is that correct?
5	A. That is correct. He had done some
6	work with the Chamber during COVID, but I think
7	at this point he was mostly at ESD.
8	Q. And did the
9	A. Let me let me rephrase that. I
10	think he still had some residual work on some of
11	the COVID-related reopening, but this was not
12	related to that.
13	Q. To your knowledge, did the Executive
14	Chamber pay Mr. Cohen for any consultations he
15	participated in regarding Lindsey Boylan?
16	A. I do not believe they did.
17	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: One more question,
18	Anne.
19	Were the conversations with Mr. Cohen
20	in any way related to his responsibilities from
21	his time in the Chamber?
22	THE WITNESS: No.
23	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: So the
24	conversations were not appropriately
25	characterized as "transition" conversations?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	THE WITNESS: I would not
3	characterize those as "transitional."
4	Q. After the Chamber became aware of Ms.
5	Boylan's tweets, were you involved in any aspect
6	of responding to those tweets?
7	A. Can you be more specific about which
8	tweets and what aspects?
9	Q. Sure. In December when she started
10	tweeting about the environment in Executive
11	Chamber, were you involved in taking any action
12	in response on behalf of the Chamber in
13	response to the tweets?
L <b>4</b>	A. Not when she started. Not when she
15	started tweeting, no.
16	Q. At some point were you involved in
17	any aspect of responding to either the tweets or
18	Ms. Boylan's allegations?
19	A. There came a point on December 13th
20	where I was asked to transmit some memos memos
21	that had either been written by the Executive
22	Chamber or by ESD to Linda Lacewell and Melissa
23	DeRosa, which I did. And I was asked to
24	participate in a telephone call with Mike

Volforte from GOER to determine whether there was

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	any legal prohibition on releasing those memos.
3	Q. And do you recall who the authors of
4	those memos were?
5	A. I think there were multiple authors
6	of the memos that that I was asked to send. I
7	think Julia Kupiec authored one. I think it's
8	possible that Camille Varlack authored one. And
9	I think one or more were authored by somebody
10	within ESD.
11	Q. And the memos, where did you get them
12	from in order to transmit them?
13	A. I got them from Julia.
14	Q. And did anyone tell you the purpose
15	of you transmitting the memos about Ms. Boylan?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. What were you told?
18	MR. SPIRO: [INSTRUCTION] Objection,
19	privilege.
20	Q. Did some of those memos bear the
21	label "privileged and confidential"?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Who was on the call to with GOER's
24	other than you?
25	A. Me, Linda Lacewell and Mike Volforte.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. And what was discussed in that
3	conversation?
4	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: [INSTRUCTION] We've
5	been instructed to assert privilege to that
6	conversation.
7	Q. Were you part of any conversations in
8	December of 2020 in which anyone raised any
9	concerns that releasing memos about Ms. Boylan
10	could be considered retaliation?
11	A. I was not.
12	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Wait. Well,
13	alright.
14	You were not?
15	THE WITNESS: I was not.
16	Q. Do you have an understanding of what
17	was eventually done concerning the memos
18	concerning Ms. Boylan?
19	A. I understand that they some of
20	them were released to members of the press.
21	Q. And do you believe that it might
22	dissuade somebody from coming forward with
23	allegations of harassment if they thought
24	confidential memos about their employment would
25	be released to the press in response?

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL.
--

- A. If you're asking about the memos that were released related to Lindsey, I think, they are not fairly characterized as confidential.

  So, if the answer is whether -- I mean, I think it doesn't apply to this situation.
- Q. You said you don't think they were confidential.

Were they publically available prior to the release to the press?

- A. They were -- had they been FOIL'ed, they may well have had to be released. So, in answer to your question, were they publically available, I believe, upon an appropriate FOIL request, those memos could have been released.
- Q. Had there been any FOIL requests up to that point regarding Ms. Boylan's personnel records?
- A. I'm not -- I do not know the answer to that. But I would not characterize those records as personnel records.
- Q. Had there been any FOIL request regarding records relating to Ms. Boylan's employment up to that point?
  - A. I do not know the answer to that.

- Q. Was it your understanding that the reason that they were being released to the press was in response to a FOIL request from any media entity?
- A. It was my understanding that they were being released to the press in response to press inquiries but not FOIL.
- Q. Was it your understanding that anything in those memos related to the allegations of harassment that Ms. Boylan was making?
- A. I'm sorry. The question was whether the memos related to the allegations of harassment?
  - O. Correct.
- A. What I would say is the memos spoke to Ms. Boylan's characterization of the workplace as being a "toxic workplace," which I think were her words that she was somehow -- which -- where she cast herself as being a victim of a toxic work environment. And I think those memo spoke to her role in what, you know, in the environment and her contribution to an unhealthy work environment for some of the people that she

	Tuge 101
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	worked with.
3	Q. And do you have any understanding as
4	to what inquiries the press were making that led
5	to the release of the memos?
6	A. I do not. I mean, generally, I had
7	an idea that her tweets were creating press
8	inquiries. Particularly, on the morning of the
9	13th, there was a surge in press inquiries.
10	Q. And, to your knowledge, did any of
11	the press people ask for any documents about Ms.
12	Boylan's conduct in the workplace?
13	A. I don't know what the press was
14	asking.
15	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: And in your
16	experience in the Executive Chamber, has the
17	Executive Chamber ever resisted responding to a
18	FOIL request on the grounds that the information
19	sought was protected from disclosure by the
20	attorney-client privilege?
21	THE WITNESS: Many times.
22	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: And the memos that
23	we're talking about were labeled "attorney-client
24	<pre>privileged"; is that right?</pre>

They had a header that

THE WITNESS:

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	said "attorney-client privilege," but I do not
3	believe that there was any legal advice being
4	sought or received in those memos or that they
5	were privileged.
6	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Did you review the
7	memos for that purpose?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: And you offered
10	that advice?
11	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: [INSTRUCTION] I
12	won't let her answer that based on the direction
13	from the Executive Chamber.
14	(Sidebar discussion held off the
15	record.)
16	THE WITNESS: We also discussed that
17	particular issue with Alfonso David, who
18	supervised the writing of, at least, one of those
19	memos, if not more.
20	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: You discussed with
21	Mr. David the question as to whether he viewed
22	the memos as protected from disclosure by the
23	attorney-client privilege?
24	THE WITNESS: Correct.
25	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: And what did he

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	say.
3	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: [INSTRUCTION] Same
4	objection, cannot tell you exactly what he said.
5	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: But the memos
6	themselves were labeled "attorney-client
7	privileged"?
8	THE WITNESS: The memos themselves
9	were labeled "attorney-client privileged" and I
10	concluded that they were not.
11	Q. It your understanding that before the
12	memos were released to the press that the names
13	of other individuals were redacted?
14	A. On the grounds of personal privacy.
15	Q. And what was the basis for
16	determining that those persons were entitled to
17	privacy but Ms. Boylan was not?
18	(Sidebar discussion held off the
19	record.)
20	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: [INSTRUCTION] We've
21	been directed not to answer that question by
22	Executive Chamber.
23	A. It was advice, advice given on that
24	ground.
25	Q. Who gave that advice?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: No, you can say who.
3	A. Michael Volforte.
4	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Strike the word that
5	advice and if you just say "advice," the answer
6	can stand.
7	A. I don't want to
8	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: We don't want
9	THE WITNESS: I don't want to waive
10	any privileges.
11	Let's just say I spoke to Michael
12	Volforte and after the conversation I spoke to
13	Michael Volforte, those redactions were in my
14	understand, were a applied. I didn't apply the
15	redactions, but they were applied.
16	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: And we want to make
17	it clear on the record there was no waiver of the
18	attorney-client privilege.
19	MS. CLARK: I will not assert waiver
20	based on the word "that."
21	A. Thank you.
22	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Thank you.
23	MS. CLARK: We may seek other
24	privilege, but we won't on that one.
25	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Okay.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. Were you involved in any other
3	conversations about how to respond to the press
4	regarding Ms. Boylan?
5	A. Non-legal conversations?
6	(Side-bar discussion held off the
7	record.)
8	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: You're allowed to
9	talk about this. The press, go ahead.
10	MR. SPIRO: Can we step outside for a
11	moment?
12	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Let me hear the
13	question, though? Can I hear the question?
14	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Anne, can you
15	repeat the question?
16	MS. CLARK: Sorry. Silvia, can you
17	read the question back.
18	(Whereupon, the question is read back
19	as follows:
20	"Question: Were you involved in any
21	other conversations about how to respond to the
22	press regarding Ms. Boylan?")
23	MS. CLARK: Discussions about how to
24	respond to the press regarding Ms. Boylan.
25	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Okay.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: We can go off the
3	record.
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Stand by. The
5	time is 3:34 p.m. We're going off the record.
6	(Recess taken 3:34 to 3:35 p.m.)
7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:35.
8	We are back on the record.
9	Q. So the question was were you involved
10	in any discussions on how to respond to the press
11	inquiries regarding Lindsey Boylan?
12	A. I offered a nonlegal opinion that I
13	was opposed, strongly opposed, to releasing
14	memos, any memos related to Lindsey Boylan's
15	employment.
16	Q. To whom did you express that opinion?
17	A. Melissa, Linda, I believe, Steve,
18	although I'm not positive, and possibly others.
19	Q. And why were you opposed to
20	"strongly opposed to releasing the memos"?
21	A. Because as I read Ms. Boylan's
22	tweets, she was accusing us of being mean and
23	saying that I think she was saying that they
24	would find some way to be mean to her and I

thought we should not be mean and not -- I

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I thought she was trying to bait a reaction out of the Executive Chamber and I thought the Chamber should not react.

- Q. Putting aside the legality of it, who was in favor of releasing the records?
- A. Well, at a certain point, I was overruled and I know that Melissa thought that my strategy of sort of starving the story of oxygen was not working.
- Q. To your knowledge, was the Governor aware of the discussion about whether to release these memos concerning Ms. Boylan?
- A. I have no knowledge that the Governor was aware one way or the other of these conversations.
- Q. In general, are you aware of whether

  Ms. DeRosa, typically, consults with the Governor
  about press strategy?
- A. I have a belief that Ms. DeRosa makes some decisions on her own without consultation with the Governor and there are other matters on which she consults with him and I don't know how she decides what to discuss with him and what not to.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	But I will say that she has a great
3	deal of authority to make decisions on her own.
4	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: In the
5	conversations that the nonlegal conversations
6	about the release of Ms. Boylan's personnel
7	information, was Rich Azzopardi part of those
8	conversations?
9	THE WITNESS: First of all, again,
10	I'm not going to call these "personnel records."
11	I don't, specifically, recall whether Rich was
12	involved in those conversations or not.
13	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: And what about
14	Peter Ajemian?
15	THE WITNESS: I don't think that
16	Peter was involved.
17	Q. If you can turn to Tab 11.
18	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Before we do
19	that
20	Was anyone from the firm Kivvit
21	involved?
22	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Kivvit?
23	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Kivvit.
24	THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar with
25	that law firm.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Okay. Was John
3	Vlasto involved?
4	THE WITNESS: Josh Vlasto?
5	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Josh Vlasto,
6	sorry.
7	THE WITNESS: I believe at a later
8	point considerably later. I mean, time was
9	compressed. But on maybe December 18th I was on
10	I think around the 18th, it was the first time
11	I ever spoke to Josh Vlasto and he was on a call.
12	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Okay. And before
13	the 18th, what about Rich Bamberger, was he
14	involved?
15	THE WITNESS: I think, again, I
16	believe the first time I ever spoke to Rich
17	Bamberger was on a call later in December.
18	Q. Tab 11 and, again, these are in an
19	unusual format, but my understanding is these
20	were reproduced by your attorneys and represent
21	text conversations between you and Alfonso David
22	on December 7th.
23	(Deposition Exhibit 8, JM_NB_00000136
24	- JM_NB_00000151 text conversation between
25	Witness and Alfonso David dated 12/7, was marked

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	for identification.)
3	A. Okay.
4	Q. Do you recognize again, it prints
5	out as one line per page.
6	A. I do. I recognize that that is a
7	text I sent to Alfonso.
8	Q. And was it your text of, "can we
9	spoke briefly about the Twitter troll"?
10	A. That was my text.
11	Q. And who were you referring to?
12	A. I was referring to Melissa [sic]
13	Boylan.
14	Q. Had you spoken to Alfonso David
15	before that?
16	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: About?
17	Q. About Ms. Boylan.
18	A. I am deducing that I must have
19	because this seems to me like a reference to
20	somebody that I had recently spoke that he
21	would know who I was talking about suggests to me
22	that we had, in fact, spoken about Lindsey prior
23	to that text.
24	Q. And what did you mean by referring to

her as the "Twitter troll"?

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I

- So I'm not great with, like, the lingo. But I thought this was an example of trolling, as I understood it, that she was doing things to make sure that we noticed that the Chamber -- she was doing things to make sure that the Chamber would notice that she was saying nasty things about them in a way that I thought was transparently designed to get quite a rise out of us and I thought likely to drive Twitter followers to her and, you know, she had recently announced her campaign for Borough President. She had previously many months earlier announced that she was going to find a way to get even with the Governor for something that he had done. this just struck me as pretty classic trolling, if I am using the word properly.
- Q. And when you said several months earlier she had announced her intention "to get even with the Governor," when did you become aware of that indication?
- A. Around this time. Well, let me just say I have some vague recollection that I may have seen a text that was sent to Dani Lever earlier in the spring because it included a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	picture of herself and wearing some
3	face paint and when I saw that picture around
4	this time, it looked familiar to me, which leads
5	me to think that I may have seen it earlier. But
6	I just recognize the picture. But I, certainly,
7	was shown or, at least, told about very specific
8	text messages sent to both Dani Lever and Robert
9	Mujica that said in words or substance, I will
10	find a way to get back at him.

- Q. When you say it included a picture of her and with face point, that was

  Ms. Boylan and ?
  - A. (No response.)
  - Q. You have to say yes or no.
  - A. Yes. I'm sorry.
- I've given that instruction so many times. I'm a little embarrassed that I need to...
- Q. Oh, I had my deposition taken once.

  It's very different on the other side.
  - A. Yeah.
  - Q. If you can turn to Tab 12 please.

    (Deposition Exhibit 9,
  - Chamber\_AG\_00011486 undated notes about

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Lindsey Boylan, was marked for identification.)
3	Q. Are these notes of yours?
4	A. They are.
5	Q. And what are these notes about?
6	A. I believe that these are partial
7	notes of a phone call that I had with Jill
8	DesRosiers at some point in December, but I can't
9	I mean, after the 13th of December, but I
10	can't tell you when.
11	Q. And it starts by saying, "She got
12	along well with "; is that what that says?
13	A. Uh-huh.
<b>L 4</b>	Q. You have to say yes or no.
15	A. Yes, that's what it says.
16	Q. And who is ?
17	A. I think the name is and
18	I cannot tell you who I can't even I think
19	I can tell you that was a man, but I don't
20	know what his role was when he worked at the
21	Chamber or anything else about him.
22	Q. Then there is some discussion about
23	some you know named . What's that
24	A
25	Q

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	A. That would be
3	believe was a briefer same role that
4	Charlotte was hired into. I can't tell you what
5	"he would have interacted" meant.
6	"Jill reported that he hates us, that
7	he hated the place, that he thought it was
8	horribly run, that it was bad environment, that
9	he found it chaotic, that" I wrote, "putting
10	things up and cancelling," which refers to the
11	recurrent problem of events being planned in
12	excruciating detail and then never happening.
13	"That he came from the White House structure,
14	that he had very little interaction with the
15	Governor."
16	She said, "if was in a meeting,
17	it was probably" and I don't know what the end
18	of that sentence was. "She does not remember the
19	Governor beating up on Lindsey. She said he was
20	more playful with her. Lindsey was an outlier.
21	She was sort of friendly and then became nuts.
22	She was friendly with John Majori,
23	and " I've forgotten's last name.
24	I'm sorry, .
25	" was always miserable and

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	he probably got along with ."
3	Q. Do you recall why you were discussing
4	with Ms. DesRosiers?
5	A. I think that may also
6	have been sending very negative tweets either in
7	that moment or over time. But he was a vocal
8	critique of the administrations.
9	Q. Okay. And where it says, "he was
10	more playful with her," is that a reference to
11	Ms. DesRosiers saying that the Governor was more
12	playful with Lindsey?
13	A. Yeah, that he didn't she didn't
14	remember the Governor sort of beating up on
15	Lindsey. In fact, he was more playful with her
16	than, you know, beating up on her.
17	Q. And did Ms. DesRosiers describe any
18	of the interactions that she characterized as
19	"playful"?
20	A. No.
21	Q. And when you had this conversation
22	with Ms. DesRosiers, was she out on leave?
23	A. She was. I think this was not long
24	after .
25	Q. And did you call her, specifically,

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	to ask for information about Ms. Boylan?
3	A. No, I think I actually called to ask
4	her a series of questions and Ms. Boylan was only
5	one of those questions.
6	Q. Did the others relate to work in the
7	Chambers?
8	A. What I discussed with her all related
9	to work in the well, I'm sure we talked about
10	. But the reason for the phone call
11	would have been professional.
12	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: What were the
13	other topics that you discussed on the call?
14	THE WITNESS: Well, I think we
15	MR. SPIRO: Just provide a very high
16	level description. Don't get into the substance
17	of any confidential communication you may have
18	had with Ms. DesRosiers.
19	THE WITNESS: Well, I would have
20	thought that this was confidential, so I'm flying
21	a little blind here.
22	But I will say we talked about
23	, obviously. And we talked about I
24	believe we talked about Kaitlin

Q. So your discussion with Jill

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	DesRosiers about Kaitlin ?
3	A. I think I did.
4	Q. Okay. We'll get to the Kaitlin
5	section and see if those appear there.
6	A. Yeah, I believe it was actually part
7	of the same set of notes. And if I'm not
8	mistaken, I dropped some water on them, so
9	there's some pretty distinctive blotches. So
10	you'll recognize them when you see them.
11	Q. Now, did you ever see any drafts of
12	letters that were being contemplated being
13	released responding to Ms. Boylan's allegations?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And when did you first hear about
16	that?
17	A. It would have been within a few days.
18	I'm going to approximate December on or around
19	December 15th.
20	Q. And who drafted the first draft of
21	the letter?
22	A. I'm not aware who drafted it.
23	Q. Were you involved in drafting the
24	letter?
25	A. No.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. Were you involved in any edits to the
3	letter?
4	A. At one point I was asked to fact
5	check the letter and I undertook to establish
6	whether there was documentary support for some of
7	the assertions in the letter and I did do that.
8	Q. If you turn to Tab 15.
9	(Deposition Exhibit 10,
10	Chamber_AG_00001020 - Chamber_AG_00001021 e-mail
11	from DeRosa to Witness, Lacewell and Steve Cohen
12	dated 12/15/20, was marked for identification.)
13	Q. There is an e-mail from Melissa
L <b>4</b>	DeRosa to Steven Cohen, Linda Lacewell and you on
15	December 15th, 2020 and there is an attachment
16	that relates to Ms. Boylan.
17	Do you recognize this as one of the
18	versions of the draft letter in response to Ms.
19	Boylan's allegations?
20	A. Yes. And this may very well have
21	been the first draft that I saw.
22	Q. Do you know if this was the one that
23	you fact checked?
24	A. I think I fact checked a somewhat

later draft of the letter.

- Q. What allegations or what statements that were in a draft letter do you recall fact checking?
- Α. I recall as I sit here today, I think -- again, I don't think it was this precise But I think I corrected the amount of time she had been at the Chamber. I checked to -- there were some adjectives that were used to describe how she had been described and I wanted to make sure that each one of those adjectives was in one of the memos that had been written either by ESD or by a member of the Chamber. remember looking at some e-mails that were attached to some of those memos. That's generally what I did. But I can't tell you right now, like, where the specific facts and there were some changes that I suggested.

I think there was some reference to some legal advice in here and I suggested that they reword it so that it didn't reveal the contents of legal advice that had been given.

Q. Did you fact check any version of the letter that said that Ms. Boylan had called the Governor "handsome" or words to that effect?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Excuse me?
3	A. I did see that language.
4	Q. Did you fact check that language?
5	A. I was unable to fact check that.
6	Q. When you say you were unable to fact
7	check it, do you mean you undertook to fact check
8	it and you couldn't confirm it or something else?
9	A. There was no document that I could
10	look to fact check that.
11	Q. Did you speak to anyone who claimed
12	they had heard her make that comment?
13	A. No.
14	Q. In this version it talks about and
15	the page that's stamped 1022, "Ms. Boylan's
16	inappropriate behavior was not limited to female
17	colleagues. As professional women, we observed
18	her interactions with male colleague and it was
19	often unprofessional as well, sitting on people's
20	laps and kissing them in public. Indeed, Ms.
21	Boylan at one point had to apologize to one male
22	staff member who was highly offended by her
23	behavior."
24	Did you fact check any of those
25	statements?

HIGHLY CONF	IDENTIAL -	WITNESS	6	/22	/21	VOL.	I
-------------	------------	---------	---	-----	-----	------	---

- A. There was no document that I recall seeing that confirmed that. But there were people that I spoke to who told me that they had heard that this happened. But I did not consider that something I could substantiate or get comfortable with.
- Q. Who told you they had heard these things happened?
- A. I believe told me that she had heard that it happened and I am thinking that I heard something along these lines from , who is the General Counsel of ESD, but I am not certain of that. I think I heard it from either Melissa or Linda as well. But it was rumor and I was very uncomfortable with that.
- Q. Did anyone tell you that they had personally observed Ms. Boylan kissing or sitting on the lap of any male employees?
  - A. I'm not sure.
- Q. And did anyone tell you who was the man or men with whom Ms. Boylan kissed in public or sat on their laps?
- A. I was told a name and I do not remember the name.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. And were you told the name of the
3	male staff member who was supposedly highly
4	offended by her behavior?
5	A. Oh, I understood that to be the same
6	person. Maybe I'm misreading it, but that was my
7	understanding.
8	Q. Did you speak to the man in question
9	about what happened?
10	A. I did not.
11	Q. Was he still an employee of New York
12	State?
13	A. I don't know.
14	Q. Do you know if anyone else spoke to
15	that man?
16	A. I don't know.
17	Q. Were you aware of anyone any
18	current or former employees of the Chamber who
19	were asking people to sign on to this letter
20	before you did your fact checking?
21	A. I am aware that there was what I
22	understood to be some limited outreach to current
23	and former members including I think, the
24	letter itself talks about they were all

former, as I understood it, Kathy Calhoun who

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	left before I got there, Dani Lever who and
3	Annabelle I don't know I know Annabelle was
4	approached and Alfonso David, all of whom were
5	former employees. So I was aware that there was
6	some discussion with them. I think I later
7	became aware that there was discussion with, you
8	know, with some additional former senior staff.
9	But I can't tell you whether I learned about that
10	before or after I was engaged in the fact
11	checking.

- Q. Were you involved in any discussions about whether it was a good idea to release a letter like this?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And who were you involved in such discussions with?
  - A. Steve, Linda and Melissa.
- Q. And when did you first start having discussions about whether this was a good idea?
  - A. The first time I saw the letter.
  - Q. And what was your opinion?
  - A. I thought it was a horrible idea.
- Q. Who was in favor of releasing a letter like this?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	A. I am not sure that any of the three
3	individuals that I mentioned Steve, Linda or
4	Melissa thought it was a good idea.
5	Q. Did you ask anyone to sign on to this
6	letter?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Did you ask anyone to sign on to any
9	sort of statement in support of the Governor?
10	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: And the question?
11	Q. Any sort of statement in support of
12	the Governor?
13	A. No, I did not.
14	Q. Do you know whether the Governor saw
15	any drafts of this letter?
16	A. I don't know.
17	Q. Do you know if the Governor was
18	involved in any discussions about whether a
19	letter like this should be sent out?
20	A. I am aware that he was involved in
21	such discussions, yes.
22	Q. Do you know whether the Governor was
23	in favor of releasing a letter like this?
24	A. I have a belief that he was, but I
25	don't have personal knowledge.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. Who told you
3	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: And what is the
4	basis for that belief?
5	THE WITNESS: I'm having a hard time
6	recalling exactly who said what when and what I
7	heard. But I think when you say, "a letter like
8	this," there was a second shorter letter that was
9	more flattering to the Governor and less negative
10	about Ms. Boylan. And I believe that the
11	Governor thought that was a good idea.
12	Q. Did anyone tell you that the Governor
13	was in favor of releasing a letter that was
<b>14</b>	critical of Ms. Boylan along the lines of the one
15	that's at Tab 15?
16	A. No one told me that.
17	Q. And do you remember who told you that
18	the Governor was in favor of a shorter version
19	that was more flattering to the Governor and less
20	critical of Ms. Boylan?
21	A. As I said, I don't I don't
22	remember exactly who told me that. But I I
23	was sufficiently opposed to it that I actually
24	suggested that we engage Elkan to have a

conversation with the Governor to dissuade him

	-
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	from sending the letter.
3	Q. And did the Chamber or the Governor
4	retain Elkan in connection with this letter?
5	A. I don't believe there was any
6	official retention, but we did enlist I
7	enlisted him in that regard.
8	Q. Were you part of any conversations in
9	which anyone expressed any concern that a letter
10	along the lines of the one in Tab 15 could be
11	considered retaliation?
12	A. I was not.
13	Q. And if you turn to Tab 16, it looks
14	like another version of this letter.
15	(Deposition Exhibit 11,
16	Chamber_AG_00001024 e-mail from DeRosa
17	to Lacewell & Witness dated 12/16/20 at 11:21,
18	was marked for identification.)
19	Q. Did you have any role in the changes
20	from Exhibit 15 to Exhibit 16?
21	A. Again, I think this may have been an
22	earlier draft than the one I fact checked.
23	Q. On this one, the second to last
24	paragraph states, "The Governor always treated us

and every woman with whom we saw him interact

	rage 207
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	with respect."
3	Did you have any discussions about
4	your knowledge of the Governor's interactions
5	with Charlotte Bennett when you saw language like
6	that?
7	A. No.
8	Q. At any point in December of 2020
9	after Ms. Boylan starting tweeting, did you raise
10	what you knew about the Governor's interactions
11	with Ms. Bennett?
12	A. Did I raise this with Ms. Bennett?
13	Q. No. Did you raise with anyone in the
14	Executive Chamber, you know, while we're talking
15	about the Governor's treatment of women, here is
16	something that you should know about or words to
17	that effect?
18	MR. SPIRO: You can just answer this
19	question yes or no.
20	A. And the question is at any point
21	after she started tweeting?
22	Q. Yes, but in this rough time period,
23	like December the early days

Early December, no.

Mid to late December?

A.

Q.

24

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And with whom did you have such
4	discussions?
5	A. With Melissa DeRosa, Linda Lacewell
6	and I believe with Steven Cohen at some point in
7	December.
8	Q. And was this the first time you had
9	discussions with Steven Cohen about Charlotte
10	Bennett?
11	A. To the best of my recollection,
12	that's correct.
13	Q. And in December of 2020, did you
14	discuss any other women who interacted with the
15	Governor with anyone?
16	A. I just want to go back for one minute
17	and just amend my previous answer. I also
18	discussed Charlotte Bennett for the first time
19	with outside Counsel in December. But I'm sorry
20	you had moved on.
21	Q. Which outside Counsel was that?
22	A. Elkan Abramowitz and Cathy Foti.
23	Q. And were they retained by the Chamber
24	at that point?
25	A. There was discussion about retaining

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	them. But, ultimately, we did not do a formal
3	engagement.
4	Q. And in December of 2020, other than
5	Ms. Boylan and Ms. Bennett, were there other
6	women that you spoke about with anyone else who
7	is a current or former Executive Chamber employee
8	regarding interactions between the Governor and
9	women?
10	MR. SPIRO: Again, just answer yes or
11	no.
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And with which women did you discuss?
14	A. Kaitlin .
15	Q. Anyone else?
16	A. Not no, I don't think so.
17	I'm sorry, I'm just going to ask you
18	to repeat the question again because I want to
19	make sure I'm giving you a correct response.
20	Q. Sure. In December of 2020 after Ms.
21	Boylan started tweeting, did you discuss any
22	women's interaction with the Governor other than
23	Ms. Boylan, Ms. Bennett and now you've mentioned
24	Kaitlin ?

Yes.

A.

And I think it would go beyond

	-
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Kaitlin .
3	Q. Who else what other women did you
4	discuss?
5	A. I just want to make sure I'm
6	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: We can go off the
7	record.
8	(Sidebar discussion held off the
9	record.)
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Stand by. The
11	time is 4:04 p.m. We are going off the record.
12	This will end Media Unit No. 4.
13	(Recess taken 4:04 to 4:06 p.m.)
<b>L 4</b>	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 4:06.
15	We're back on the record. This will be start the
16	Media Unit No. 5.
17	Q. So I won't read back the exact
18	question, but I'll repeat it generally.
19	In December of 2020, you described or
20	said you had conversations about Lindsey Boylan.
21	You said you had conversations about Charlotte
22	Bennett. You had conversations about Kaitlin
23	
24	Are there any other women's
25	interactions with the Governor that you had

E
Ē
ze
we
7
t.
nole
id
_
9
9

conversations in which the topic of other women

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	came up?
3	A. I don't know who instigated it.
4	Q. And what was the purpose of those
5	discussions?
6	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: [INSTRUCTION] I
7	think that we would object to an answer to that
8	question.
9	Q. Is there anything in particular that
10	prompted a series of discussions with all those
11	people about other women's interactions with the
12	Governor?
13	A. In part outreach from Lindsey Boylan
14	and her attorneys to certain former employees of
15	the Chamber.
16	Q. And how did you learn about such
17	alleged outreach?
18	A. I learned about those from internal
19	conversations with members of the Chamber.
20	Q. And how did they learn of alleged
21	outreach?
22	MR. SPIRO: Objection.
23	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: [INSTRUCTION] We'll
24	have to object to that.
25	THE WITNESS: Okay.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. Separate time period.
3	When you reached out to
4	Mr. Abramowitz to talk to the Governor about the
5	letter, we're talking about the letter that made
6	negative comments about Lindsey Boylan?
7	A. No, it was actually a subsequent much
8	shorter letter that I never saw but that was read
9	to me over the telephone.
10	Q. And was it relating to Ms. Boylan's
11	allegations?
12	A. It was relating much more to the I
13	mean, indirectly, yes, it was designed to be a
14	sort of positive affirmation of the Governor's
15	treatment of women and respect for women.
16	Q. Was it before Ms. Bennett's
17	allegations had become public?
18	A. Oh, yes. It was December in
19	December 18th or 19th.
20	Q. And
21	A. 18th.
22	Q what role, if any, did you have in
23	responding to the issue of Ms. Boylan or her
24	attorneys reaching out to other women?
25	A. Again, I had a series of

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	conversations with people within the Executive
3	Chamber, as well as with recently departed
4	individuals to
5	MR. SPIRO: Ms. Witness, I think you
6	can stop there
7	THE WITNESS: Okay.
8	MR. SPIRO: without further
9	explanation.
10	THE WITNESS: Alright.
11	Q. Was there a series of conversations
12	happening because there was a concern of any
13	pending or impending litigation?
14	A. In part, yes.
15	Q. Was the series of conversations to
16	deal with press inquiries?
17	A. I think there was an aspect. The
18	conversations I had were not to deal with press
19	inquiries but there were some conversations to
20	deal with press inquiries.
21	Q. Were your conversations you were
22	involved with to deal with anything other than
23	the possibility of impending litigation?
24	A. I would say that I was gathering
25	facts but definitely within an eye toward whether

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	there was a risk of potential litigation.
3	Q. And you said that you spoke to
4	Executive Chamber employees.
5	Did you speak to any former employees
6	of the Executive Chamber about other women in the
7	December time period?
8	A. Other women in addition to the three
9	that I've identified?
10	Q. Yes.
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Which Executive Chamber employees did
13	you speak with?
14	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: [INSTRUCTION] I
15	think we are going to reiterate that all of Ms.
16	Witness's outreach with respect to the questions
17	you're asking are privileged.
18	MS. CLARK: The identity of the
19	people as well that she spoke with?
20	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Yes, part of the
21	work product.
22	Q. Were you speaking to women that you
23	understood might have had potentially
24	inappropriate interactions with the Governor?
25	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Objection.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	A. No.
3	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Objection.
4	THE WITNESS: Okay.
5	Q. Okay. Were you speaking with people
6	who might have had information about other women
7	that had
8	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: [INSTRUCTION] Same
9	objections same objection.
10	Q. And has the Executive Chamber
11	directed you to object to the identity of people
12	she spoke with who are themselves not potential
13	complainants?
<b>14</b>	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: In connection with
15	this investigation that you're asking her about,
16	yes.
17	MS. CLARK: Okay. Well, the word
18	"investigation" was just used.
19	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Inquiry, inquiry.
20	Q. Were you doing anything to determine
21	the validity of any allegations made by Ms.
22	Boylan against the Governor?
23	A. No, no.
24	Q. Were you involved in going back and
25	doing any investigation of the allegations that

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Ms. Bennett had told you earlier about earlier
3	regarding her
4	A. Not investigating.
5	Q. Were you involved in determining
6	whether any other women actually had any
7	inappropriate contact with the Governor?
8	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: [INSTRUCTION] It's
9	part of the same question that you're asking.
10	Other than the women who have been named, we've
11	been instructed that she cannot answer questions
12	about any inquiries because of the work product
13	doctrine.
14	A. Can I can I try and let's go
15	off for one second.
16	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Go off the record.
17	(Side-bar discussion held off the
18	record.)
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Stand by. The
20	time is 4:14. We're going off the record.
21	(Recess taken 4:14 to 4:21 p.m.)
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
23	4:21 p.m. We are back on the record.
24	Q. During the break we had some
25	discussion about what you've felt comfortable you

Are you okay with this?

HIGH	ILY CO	NFIDENTI2	AL -	WITNESS	6/22	/21	VOL.	Ι
could	share	without	us	asserting	any	wai	lver.	

Can you state what you feel comfortable sharing and keeping with the parameters established by the Executive Chamber?

THE WITNESS:

MR. SPIRO: We're okay with the understanding that the Attorney General's Office will not argue waiver.

- A. Okay. So I had a series of conversations with a series of individuals in order to try and ascertain whether there might be potential additional claimants/complainants concerning sexual harassment by the Governor and concluded after those inquiries that the only person that I needed to make additional inquiry about was Kaitlin
- Q. Is it your understanding based on our off-the-record discussion was that you applied certain factors, but you're not allowed to disclose what those factors are, correct?
  - A. Applied certain factors?
- Q. Factors in deciding whether someone would be require further followup.
  - A. No, that's not what I meant; applied

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	certain factors in determining who in addition I
3	should make inquiry about.
4	Q. And are you able to share with us the
5	number of you know, what the size of the
6	universe was before you narrowed it down to
7	Kaitlin ?
8	A. Yeah, I would say, roughly, 10 to 12
9	give or take.
10	Q. And can you tell us how many people
11	you spoke with before narrowing it down to
12	Kaitlin ?
13	A. So leaving Kaitlin aside, I probably
<b>L 4</b>	spoke with four or five individuals.
15	Q. Now, you mentioned that there was a
16	shorter letter
17	MR. KIM: Can I ask a question?
18	Whose idea was it to do this inquiry?
19	THE WITNESS: I don't know whether it
20	originated with me. But I, certainly, was part
21	of the process of deciding that those inquiries
22	were called for.
23	MR. KIM: Was the Governor aware that
24	you were doing that?

THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	MR. KIM: Melissa DeRosa?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
4	Q. Was Linda Lacewell part of the
5	discussion about whether you should engage in
6	this inquiry?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Was Steve Cohen part of that
9	discussion?
10	A. I don't think so.
11	Q. Was Alfonso David part of that
12	discussion?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Was Stephanie Benton part of that
15	discussion about whether you should have the
16	inquiry?
17	A. It's possible that she was on phone
18	calls in which we discussed it. But I don't have
19	a specific recollection of Stephanie's
20	involvement.
21	Q. So you talked about in addition to
22	the various versions of the detailed letter that
23	made negative comments about let's stick with
24	the longer letter for a minute.
25	You said you fact checked it.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	After you determined that you could
3	not find support in documents or otherwise for
4	certain statements, were there still people in
5	the Chambers advocating for releasing such a
6	letter?
7	A. I did not speak to anyone who
8	conveyed to me that they thought it was a good
9	idea that we proceed with that letter.
10	Q. Do you know who was drafting the
11	various iterations of the letter?
12	A. I don't.
13	Q. Do you recall anything Melissa DeRosa
14	said about whether the letter was a good idea or
15	not?
16	A. I don't recall anything specific that
17	Melissa said. But my general recollection is
18	that she had trepidation about the letter.
19	Q. Were you part of any conversations
20	with any outside press advisors about the letter
21	such as Josh Vlasto, Rich Bamberger?
22	A. Not about this longer version of the
23	letter.
24	Q. Were you part of discussion about
25	outside press people about the shorter version we

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I were talking about?

- A. I was part of one discussion.
- Q. And who was on that -- in that discussion?
- I am -- I don't know if this is a Α. complete list and I could even be adding somebody that wasn't on the call. But as far as I recall, Elkan was on the call. I was on the call. pretty sure Linda was on the call. Steve Cohen may or may not have been on the call. don't remember. I know Josh Vlasto was on the call. I believe Rich Bamberger was also on the Rich Azzopardi I believe was on the call. I don't know if I mentioned Melissa, but she was certainly on the call. And there may have been others. It was a fairly large call and I was not familiar. I think there was a woman who was on the call, but I can't tell you whether it was Liz Smith or possibly someone else.
- Q. How long was this call with the large cast of characters?
- A. I don't remember, but I would say, at least, 15 minutes is my guess.
  - MR. ABRAMOWITZ: 15 did you say?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I

  THE WITNESS: I think so. That's a

  guess.
  - Q. And when was this call, was it still December?
  - A. It -- I believe that it was the night of December 18th.
  - Q. And what makes you think that that's the date?
  - A. Because I had a text exchange with Elkan following the call.
  - Q. And what do you recall was discussed during this call?
  - A. Generally, that it was just an all around bad idea to try and get people to sign on any type of letter like this, that it was not going to resonate or be useful. I was very much of the view and I may have expressed it that the story had, essentially, died at that point and that we would literally be like, you know, resurrecting the story. There -- I remember Josh Vlasto speaking very eloquently against it and it was, as I said, it was the first time I had ever been on the phone with Josh and I was just very impressed with him and how cogent he was. He

HIGHL	Y CONFID	ENTIAL -	WITNESS	6/22/21	. VOL. I
managed	to say	sort of	what I w	as think	ing, but
in half	the wor	ds and t	wice as	well as	I could
have sa	id it.				

I do not remember anybody on that call advocating that the letter was a good idea.

- Q. Do you recall who drafted or came up with the idea for the shorter letter?
- A. I don't. Also, I think I left out, I believe, the Governor was on that call.
- Q. Did the Governor say anything about whether he thought the letter was a good idea?
- A. I don't remember the Governor -- I have a vague recollection that the Governor asked some questions. But, as I said, I don't think there was a strong advocate for the letter amongst the people on the call.
- Q. Did anyone on the call refer to anyone else who had been a strong advocate of the letter?
  - A. Not that I remember.
- Q. And do you know whether prior to this call that you think was on December 18th, anyone had reached out to current or former employees to ask them to sign on to such a letter?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And what do you know about that?
4	A. I received a phone call where the
5	letter was read to me and I was asked to sign it.
6	Q. Who called you?
7	A. Linda Lacewell.
8	Q. And what was your response to Linda
9	Lacewell?
10	A. I told her that I would sign the
11	letter.
12	Q. And do you know who else was
13	contacted?
<b>14</b>	A. The only other person I know that was
15	contacted was
16	Q. And how do you know she was
17	contacted?
18	A. Because she called me afterwards very
19	unhappy about having been asked to sign the
20	letter and I also heard from Linda that
21	had expressed reluctance.
22	MR. KIM: I'm sorry. Did you say you
23	would sign the letter or you would not?
24	THE WITNESS: I said I told Linda
25	I would sign the letter.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	MR. KIM: You would, even though you
3	thought it was a bad idea?
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.
5	MR. KIM: Why?
6	THE WITNESS: Because that letter as
7	read to me was completely accurate and consistent
8	with my experience and observation of the
9	Governor. There was nothing in the letter that I
10	thought was untrue or inaccurate at all and so
11	did not feel like I was in a position to say that
12	I wouldn't sign it. But I thought that in was
13	not a good idea.
14	MR. KIM: And for the earlier letter,
15	you said no one was advocating for it.
16	Who drafted it then?
17	THE WITNESS: I don't know who
18	drafted it. And I don't know if anyone
19	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: This is about the
20	fourth time it's been asked and answered. We
21	don't know.
22	THE WITNESS: I don't know who
23	drafted it. And I don't know if anyone was
24	advocating for it. I did not hear anyone
25	advocating for it and I was finally against it

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	and made my views very clear.
3	Q. You said Linda Lacewell read you a
4	version of the shorter letter.
5	Did you ever see any versions of that
6	in writing?
7	A. No, it was read to me once.
8	Q. Are you did anyone ask you to
9	reach out to any current or former employees?
10	A. About this letter?
11	Q. About this shorter letter.
12	A. No.
13	Q. Do you know whether the outreach that
14	went on was limited to senior people or whether
15	it also included more junior level Executive
16	Chamber employees?
17	A. I don't think I ever knew the extent
18	of the outreach. But, as I sit here today, I
19	have no memory or knowledge.
20	Q. Did anyone have any discussion with
21	you about trying to reach a certain number of
22	signatories for the letter?
23	A. No. Let me just say, not that I
24	recall. I may have discussed with Linda what she

was asked to do, but I don't -- I don't have a

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	clear recollection.
3	Q. Did Linda tell you anything about
4	anyone else she was reaching out to?
5	A. No, just her conversation with
6	
7	Q. Did Stephanie Benton tell you
8	anything that she was doing to reach out to
9	people to get people to sign on?
10	A. I don't think so.
11	Q. And at some point turn to Tab 22.
12	(Deposition Exhibit 12,
13	JM_NB_00000056 - JM_NB_00000059 text
14	conversation among Witness, Stephanie
15	Benton, Alfonso David and Linda Lacewell
16	dated 12/18, was marked for identification.)
17	Q. It's more text messages that you
18	produced.
19	Do you recognize these?
20	A. I don't believe that I wrote this
21	text message. I may have received it.
22	Q. And the information we have from
23	Counsel is that these were text conversations
24	amongst you, Stephanie Benton, Alfonso David and
25	Linda Lacewell on December 18th.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	A. Okay.
3	Q. Does that refresh your recollection
4	at all as to who wrote any of these?
5	A. We're on December 18th?
6	Q. Yes.
7	A. It doesn't refresh my recollection
8	about who wrote it. But I am I'm confident it
9	was not me.
10	Q. The first page says, " and and
11	raised why no men on sign on."
12	Do you know who " and " are
13	referring to.
14	A. would be would be who was at
15	the time sort of senior communications person in
16	the Chamber. And your Court Reporter is
17	going to have their head explode
18	, who we just call " ." But she's
19	the Inspector General for the State and you can
20	look up the spelling of her name. I'm not going
21	to try it.
22	Q. We can provide that.
23	A. Yeah.
24	Q. Did anyone tell you if or had
25	agreed to sign on to the letter?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	A. It is possible that I spoke with one
3	or both of them about it. But I don't I don't
4	recall. If I I may have spoken to, but I
5	don't have a clear recollection.
6	Q. And I know you said you didn't make
7	phone calls.
8	Did anyone ask you to make phone
9	calls?
10	A. No.
11	Q. If you look at the there is
12	another page that says, "Okay."
13	And then there is one that says, "Who
14	can help make these calls really hard for me
15	while with him?"
16	Do you recall who wrote that?
17	A. That sounds to me like it came from
18	Stephanie. But I have to say if I received this,
19	which I obviously did, I did not take it as a
20	request for me to make a phone call.
21	Q. When it says, "really hard for me
22	while with him," do you believe the reference to
23	"him" is the Governor?
24	A. I did understand it to be. I mean,
25	that's how I understand the reference.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. Did anyone tell you whether the
3	Governor was aware of outreach being done to get
4	people to sign on?
5	A. I have no unprivileged information in
6	that regard.
7	Q. If you can turn to Tab 23.
8	(Deposition Exhibit 13,
9	JM_NB_00000061 - JM_NB_00000114 text
10	conversation among Witness, Steve Cohen,
11	Melissa DeRosa and Linda Lacewell from
12	12/31/20 to 2/26/21, was marked for
13	identification.)
14	Q. And just so you know, this represents
15	a text conversation amongst you, Steve Cohen and
16	Melissa DeRosa and Linda Lacewell from
17	December 31st to February 26th, 2021.
18	A. The text conversation started on
19	December 31st?
20	Q. That is what I have in my notes here.
21	A. It's my understanding that the
22	message relayed here was conveyed at an earlier
23	date.
24	Q. Okay. And when it says, "This is the
25	latest," do you recall who sent this message?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	A. I don't see where it says, "this is
3	the latest."
4	Q. On the first page of Tab 23.
5	A. No.
6	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: It doesn't say that.
7	Q. What's on the first page of your
8	Tab 23?
9	A. Yeah, I see it says, "this is the
10	latest," bu I don't recall
11	Q. Oh, you don't recall I thought you
12	didn't see it. I thought, oh, no, we had some
13	technical issue.
14	A. No, no, no. I'm sorry. I thought
15	you asked if I recalled who sent it. And the
16	answer is, no, I don't.
17	Q. On the next page, there is something
18	from saying, " , I'm hoping
19	we can have strictly confidential conversation
20	about your brief work in 2016."
21	What do you understand this to be?
22	A. I understood that
23	who we believed I was told was a lawyer
24	working with Lindsey Boylan, had reached out to a
25	couple of former Chamber employees but much

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
earlier in December, December 13th, 14th, 15th,
in that time frame, and that one of the people to
whom she reached out was somebody named
. And as I read this, I understood it to
be that outreach.
Q. And do you know how this "outreach"
came to be in the possession of either you,
Mr. Cohen, Ms. DeRosa or Ms. Lacewell?
A. I believe that had a
close relationship with Steve Cohen and so I'm
summarizing she sent it to Steve, but I don't
know that.
Q. Did you have any conversations with
directly about her experience in
Chambers?
A. I never spoke with
Q. If you go back unfortunately,
these aren't Bate stamp. If you keep going,
there is a picture of Ms. Boylan and then there
is a page that says, "She's been going after me
all day."
Do you know who wrote that?
A. I'm sorry. Where does it say, "She's

been going to me all day"?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: After the picture.
3	Keep going.
4	THE WITNESS: Keep going?
5	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Next page.
6	THE WITNESS: That's Lindsey Boylan.
7	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Next page, next page
8	there.
9	Q. The fourth piece of paper on the back
10	side.
11	A. Yeah, okay. "She's been going after
12	me all day and did tweet with in it."
13	I believe that that is from Melissa
14	referring to Lindsey Boylan. And, again, I
15	believe it's from an earlier point in time than
16	you identified it as or, possibly, it was a
17	mistake on the firm's side. But I could be
18	wrong. But I do think that this is from Melissa
19	and referring to .
20	Q. Keep going.
21	At some point there's some pictures
22	it looks like people dancing. It says, "Rough
23	and rowdy ways."
24	Do you know what that is?
25	A. "Dancing in some rough and rowdy

	luge 233
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	ways"?
3	Q. It's a big picture we're still in
4	the same tab.
5	A. Okay.
6	Q. Unfortunately, there's no
7	A. Here we go.
8	Q Bates numbers.
9	A. Yes, "rough and rowdy ways," I see
10	that, yeah.
11	Q. Do you know what that is?
12	A. No. I would guess that it is related
13	to the music, you know, URL on the facing page
L <b>4</b>	and I am highly confident I did not open the URL.
15	Q. If you keep going a few pages,
16	there's a page on the left-hand side says, "We
17	are tracking/saving all of these tweets, yes."
18	And someone writes responds, "yes," and then
19	somebody says, "Judy says it's done daily."
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Were you or were you aware of anyone
22	tracking Ms. Boylan's tweets daily?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And who was tracking her tweets
25	dailv?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	A. I asked a member of my team to do so
3	because as I said, I
4	MR. SPIRO: No need to give the
5	explanation as to why.
6	Q. Who on your team
7	A. Alright. It just has to do with my
8	Twitter confidence. It's not a legal issue.
9	Q. Who on your team did you ask to track
10	it?
11	A
12	Q. And in December of 2020, did you have
13	or anyone else tracking anyone
14	else's tweets?
15	A. I think that I asked to see Kaitlin
16	tweets, but I think Kaitlin had taken
17	her Twitter down. And I also asked to have
18	somebody follow Charlotte Bennett's tweets.
19	Q. Anyone else's tweets?
20	A. Not that I recall. It's possible,
21	but I just don't remember.
22	Q. In January of 2021, were you involved
23	in any discussions or activity relating to any
24	allegations regarding the Governor's behavior?
25	A. I continued to have some I had

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
started some discussions related to Kaitlin
in December and I continued those discussions
into January.

- Q. Other than with respect to Kaitlin

  , who we're going to come to, anyone else

  you were talking about or involved in discussions

  about in January of 2021?
- A. I was involved in discussions relating to Lindsey Boylan also in January 2021.
- Q. And had Ms. Boylan posted any additional information on Twitter in January 2021?
- A. She may well have, I just am not sure. I suspect she had continued to tweet.
- Q. And in January 2021 with regard to Ms. Boylan, were you looking into potential litigation or dealing with press responses or something else?
  - A. I would say something else.
- Q. And what was your involvement with Ms. Boylan in 2021, January 2021?
- A. Yes, I asked certain members of my team to do some legal analysis related to Ms. Boylan.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. Did the Chambers retain any outside
3	Counsel to conduct any analysis regarding Lindsey
4	Boylan in the January 2021 time period?
5	A. No, no outside Counsel.
6	Q. And in February, prior to Ms. Boylan
7	posting her blog post on Medium, were you
8	involved in any other activity regarding Ms.
9	Boylan in that February time period?
10	A. I don't think so.
11	Q. Were you involved in any discussions
12	about the Governor's conduct with women in
13	February 2021 before Ms. Boylan's blog post
14	appeared?
15	A. Not that I recall.
16	Q. How did you first become aware of her
17	blog post?
18	A. Ms. Boylan's blog post?
19	Q. Ms. Boylan's blog post.
20	A. I think I said before Melissa or
21	Linda or both of them reached out to me by either
22	phone or by e-mail.
23	Q. I thought that was about the tweets.
24	A. Oh, oh, you're talking about, sorry,
25	about the Medium post.

about the Medium post.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: The Medium
3	Q. The Medium post, sorry.
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. How did you first become aware of
6	that?
7	A. I was in a meeting with a number of
8	people on a completely unrelated issue when it
9	posted and, I think, I sat at a table and it was
10	read out loud to me.
11	Q. Who were you with when it was read
12	out loud to you?
13	A. I was with the Governor, Steve Cohen,
14	I believe, Linda Lacewell, Melissa and there may
15	there may have been other people in the room
16	at the time. I just don't recall.
17	Q. And where was this meeting?
18	A. It was at the mansion.
19	Q. And were all of were people
20	already assembled?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. What was the meeting about when this
23	
24	A. Nursing homes. Sorry, I don't mean
25	to say it. But, yes, it was an completely

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	unrelated meeting.
3	Q. And who read it to this assembled
4	group of people?
5	A. I believe Melissa did.
6	Q. And do you know how Melissa became
7	aware of it?
8	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: I didn't hear the
9	question.
10	MS. SPIRO: How did Melissa become
11	aware of the post.
12	Q. How did Melissa become aware of the
13	post?
14	A. I don't know.
15	Q. After it was read, was there
16	discussion about it?
17	MR. SPIRO: Just answer yes or no.
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And what was the discussion in?
20	MR. SPIRO: [INSTRUCTION] At the
21	direction of the Chamber, we are not going to be
22	answering that question on the grounds of
23	privilege.
24	Q. Were you involved in any effort
25	let me back up.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
answer the question with the understanding that
by providing your answer you're not waiving any
applicable privilege.
MS. CLARK: That is fine.

- A. Would you just ask me the question again?
- Q. Sure. I had asked if you had any involvement in determining the truth or not of any of the -- excuse me, specific allegations in Ms. Boylan's Medium post and you said, yes, and I asked what your role was.
- A. I had a conversation with the Governor and I was present when Melissa DeRosa had a series of additional telephone conversations.
- Q. You said "Melissa DeRosa had a series of" other -- "additional telephone conversations."

Were those with the Governor as well or just you and Melissa DeRosa?

A. I -- there were a number of people in the room. Melissa was on the phone. The conversations that she was having were not with me or the Governor. They were with other people.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	And I think I also was part of a conversation
3	that involved Stephanie Benton.
4	Q. And in any of those conversations,
5	was anyone present who was not either a lawyer or
6	a current member of the Executive Chamber?
7	A. Steve Cohen was in the room for part
8	of the time and out of the room for most of the
9	time in my recollection. And I don't remember
10	anybody being present other than members
11	current members of the Chamber with possibly,
12	Linda Lacewell was also possibly in and out of
13	the room, but I don't remember her being there
14	for much of the time.
15	Q. And you say, in the room.
16	Where did this take place?
17	A. In the mansion.
18	Q. And was this the same day that the
19	blog post occurred or some later day?
20	A. Same day.
21	MR. KIM: Now, you're allowing
22	substance of those questions or no? Just what
23	did you do?
24	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: [INSTRUCTION] No.
25	MR. KIM: Not just

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: It's not us.
3	MS. CLARK: That's what everybody
4	says.
5	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: What?
6	MS. CLARK: I said, that's what
7	everybody is saying.
8	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: No, well, no. I
9	don't care what everybody is saying.
10	Go ahead.
11	Q. Were you part of any conversations
12	about Lindsey Boylan's Medium post that included
13	anyone such as Josh Vlasto, Liz Smith, Chris
14	Cuomo, any other Cuomo family members?
15	A. I think I was on some telephone
16	conversations that included those individuals.
17	Q. And how many conversations were you
18	on that included some or all of those people?
19	A. Three or four. I5 could have been as
20	many as six. I really don't remember.
21	Q. And what was discussed on the
22	limiting ourselves with the privilege
23	instruction, let me ask the substance of the
24	conversations where there were people who were
25	not part of the privileged protection part of the

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I conversation what was discussed?

A. I have very very little recollection other than that the same thing was kind of said over and over and over again. And I couldn't even begin to tell you, I'm sorry, what it was.

There was concern about might there be somebody else that comes forward, what is the best way to deal with. There were varying opinions offered by varying people. Sometimes Melissa would convene the call and get off the call and we would all talk about what we thought the plan should be and then there would be agreement and then Melissa would get on the call and we'd have the same conversation over again. But it -- they were very time consuming very nonproductive calls, in my personal view.

- Q. And can you place them in -- and these are calls that took place after the Medium post, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And over what period of time did they take place?
- A. I would say they took place between -- and this is really a rough guesstimate on my

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
part, between the time of Lindsey's Medium post
and the day that the Governor made his first
statement to the press.

Q. And in any of those discussions where there were people who were not employees of the Chamber present who were not lawyers, was there any discussion as to whether any of the allegations that had been made public were true or not?

MR. ABRAMOWITZ: These would be the discussions where non-privilege?

MS. CLARK: The non-privileged discussions.

- A. I think there was a general understanding that the Governor had forcefully and strenuously denied that the allegations that Lindsey made, specifically, related to kissing her but perhaps some of the other allegations were untrue.
- Q. And on any of these non-privileged calls, was there any discussion about Ms.

  Bennett's allegations, if any, that happened after her story became public?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And was there any discussion about whether the Governor agreed or disagreed with the allegations that Ms. Bennett was making?
- A. There was no discussion that I recall in which Ms. Bennett's -- the substance of Ms. Bennett's allegations were discussed. I can't say it didn't happen. But it was not the major focus of discussion and I don't have a specific recollection.

off limits is, essentially -- and I'm not saying that she wouldn't have been within limits if I wasn't on the call. But while I was present, there was no discussion that would have involved trying to refute the Charlotte Bennett allegations.

- Q. In any of the non-privileged discussions, did you share any of your conversations with Ms. Bennett?
  - A. I did.
- Q. And what did you tell people on those calls about your conversations with Ms. Bennett?
- A. I actually read my notes to a large group of the people who were on those calls.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. Do you recall who was on the phone
3	when you read your notes?
4	A. I don't. I mean, it was a lot of
5	people.
6	Q. Do you recall any of the reaction
7	when you read your notes to the large group of
8	people?
9	A. No.
10	Q. Did you tell people on any of these
11	calls that you found Ms. Bennett to be credible?
12	A. I did.
13	Q. And did anyone react to that?
14	A. No.
15	Q. On any of these calls where Ms.
16	Bennett came up, did anyone come forward with any
17	reasons to disbelieve Ms. Bennett?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Was there any discussion about
20	looking for
21	A. Let me just be clear. I'm talking
22	now about my notes and what Ms. Bennett told me.
23	Q. Were you on any non-privileged calls
24	where there was discussion of any allegations
25	that Ms. Bennett made that were not contained

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I within your notes?

- A. I may very well have been on a call after the New York Times article came out. But I don't remember, you know, a conversation in which we went through and did a comparison and talked about the differences. But the call -- the calls that I remember were prior to the New York Times story coming out and that is the call in which I was very clear that I found her to be credible and that I knew Jill did as well.
- Q. And that was a call before her story came out in the New York Times?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And on that call, was there anyone that didn't already know about possible allegations from Ms. Bennett before you shared your conversations or read your notes?
- A. I believe that there was a call a couple of days earlier where I shared the substance of what Charlotte had said to me. But the call where I read my notes was on -- I believe this -- I think her story -- the New York Times story came out on Sunday, if I'm not mistaken. And I think that I read my notes to

	_
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	this fairly large group of people on the Saturday
3	before the story came out.
4	Q. And anyone who heard you read your
5	notes, did any of them say that they hadn't heard
6	anything about this, this was sort of news to
7	them?
8	A. Nobody expressed that on the call
9	with me.
10	Q. And were you on any non-privileged
11	calls where anyone came forward with any
12	information or threw out any information that
13	they used could be used to discredit Ms. Bennett?
14	A. Absolutely not.
15	Q. Were you on any non-privileged calls
16	where there was any discussion about what could
17	be done to obtain information to possibly
18	discredit Ms. Bennett?
19	A. No.
20	Q. And during these non-privileged
21	calls, was there conversation about Kaitlin?
22	MR. KIM: Can I ask one follow-up
23	question?

Bennett, she asked about the conversation with

Before Anne went into Charlotte

24

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Lindsey Boylan and you said you got the
3	impression that the Governor had forcefully
4	denied the kissing allegation, correct?
5	THE WITNESS: I don't remember if I
6	said I got "the impression" but, yes, yeah.
7	MR. KIM: Or well, did he say
8	did he deny it in your presence?
9	THE WITNESS: On these well, I'm
10	not going to talk about conversations that the
11	Governor and I had where there were no
12	non-Chamber/non-lawyers present.
13	On these phone calls, that was
14	certainly the understanding.
14 15	MR. KIM: How about the other
	_
15	MR. KIM: How about the other
15 16	MR. KIM: How about the other allegations that Lindsey Boylan had made?
15 16 17	MR. KIM: How about the other allegations that Lindsey Boylan had made?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall the
15 16 17 18	MR. KIM: How about the other  allegations that Lindsey Boylan had made?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall the  focus of these phone calls relating to the other
15 16 17 18	MR. KIM: How about the other  allegations that Lindsey Boylan had made?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall the  focus of these phone calls relating to the other  allegations. I think that there was a real focus
15 16 17 18 19	MR. KIM: How about the other  allegations that Lindsey Boylan had made?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall the  focus of these phone calls relating to the other  allegations. I think that there was a real focus on whether he had kissed her against her will. I
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. KIM: How about the other allegations that Lindsey Boylan had made?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall the focus of these phone calls relating to the other allegations. I think that there was a real focus on whether he had kissed her against her will. I think that there were I wouldn't be surprised

Okay.

MR. KIM:

- Q. In the non-privileged calls when you were talking about Charlotte Bennett was there any discussion about whether any action had been taken other than transferring Ms. Bennett in response to raising those concerns?
- MR. SPIRO: We're in the pre-New York Times story period?
- MS. CLARK: Pre-New York Times time period, yes.
- A. I don't recall whether there was any discussion along those lines.
- Q. To your knowledge, other than transferring Ms. Bennett, was anything or any changes made in Chambers as a result of the conversations that you and Ms. DesRosiers had with Ms. Bennett?
- A. I understood that there were going to be some changes in the way that he was staffed. And I asked my colleague Julia Kupiec who did exit counselling on the ethic rules to also conduct informal exit interviews to try and ascertain whether there were women -- you know, when people were leaving, generally, whether there were concerns that we ought to know about.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	But, in particular, I wanted to know if there
3	were any women who might have concerns.
4	Q. When did you ask Ms. Kupiec to do
5	that?
6	A. I believe starting in December. I'm
7	not positive, but I think it was around that time
8	period.
9	Q. And did she thereafter engage in what
10	you call "informal exit interviews" with any
11	women departing the Chamber?
12	A. She did. I, actually, participated
13	in, at least, one such interview.
14	Q. And who was the employee who you
15	participated in?
16	A. Her name was
17	Q. And did she express any concern about
18	anything that happened in the Chambers?
19	A. None at all.
20	Q. Did Ms. Kupiec tell you whether any
21	other women who left Chambers raised any concerns
22	about their treatment or the environment or
23	anything along those lines?
24	A. I'm smiling when you say "the
25	environment," because somebody did raise a

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
concern about the fact that we didn't recycle as
well as we should have. So "the environment,"
yes. But no, no no concerns about their
treatment as women.

- Q. When you said you understood there were changes in staffing, what were those changes?
- A. I understood that if there were materials that were going to be dropped at the mansion, that they would be left at the guard post and that there would be, you know -- care would be taken so that really more for the Governor's protection that there would be -- that they would avoid situations where the Governor might be seen as being in a compromising situation with any woman.
- Q. And when did these changes go into effect?
- A. I understood that they were in a -- would be going into effect in, you know, after I spoke with Charlotte Bennett.
- Q. After you spoke with her in early June or July?
  - A. Yes.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. And do you know if after that fact
3	there were occasions where any women were working
4	alone with the Governor?
5	A. I was not aware of any such
6	circumstance, except I did hear that
7	had been working alone with him, which
8	is one of the reasons that I wanted to talk to
9	her before she left.
10	Q. When did you hear that she was
11	working alone with him?
12	A. Sometime in the recall.
13	Q. Did you ask her about it in the fall?
<b>14</b>	A. I heard about it very shortly before
15	I heard that she was leaving the Chamber.
16	Q. Who told you about her working alone
17	with the Governor?
18	A. Melissa.
19	Q. And what did Melissa say about it?
20	A. She said that she had heard that
21	had been in a meeting alone with the
22	Governor and that she had objected to that.
23	Q. And do you know where this meeting
24	took place?

A. I don't know.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. And did you ask anyone else whether
3	had a meeting alone with the
4	Governor?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Was there any effort made to make
7	sure that the Governor didn't meet alone with any
8	young men?
9	A. Not that I'm aware of.
10	Q. Did you talk to anyone to see if
11	there were any other women who were meeting or
12	working alone with the Governor after July 1st,
13	2020?
14	A. No.
15	MR. KIM: You mentioned that in your
16	mind the purpose of the rule was to protect the
17	Governor?
18	THE WITNESS: In my mind, I yes, I
19	thought the purpose of the rule was so, for
20	instance, when the Governor travels, he never has
21	a women staying in the room next to him. And in
22	my mind, it was a similar prophylactic rule so
23	that there could be no allegation made that the
24	Governor had made inappropriate contact. I

thought it was an important prophylactic measure.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	MR. KIM: And was any part of the
3	purpose to protect the women from inappropriate
4	conversations of the type that you had heard from
5	Charlotte Bennett?
6	THE WITNESS: That's not how I would
7	characterize it.
8	MR. KIM: So how would you
9	characterize it?
10	THE WITNESS: And I need to be clear
11	that it wasn't that there should be no women
12	along with him, but that young staffers, that the
13	sort of dropping off of packages should not
14	become an opportunity for long in-depth personal
15	conversations.
16	MR. KIM: A risk that the allegations
17	may be made against him, that was the concern to
18	protect him from the allegations?
19	THE WITNESS: To protect him from the
20	possibility that somebody may consider his
21	conversations with them well, just what I
22	would say is that I wanted to make sure that the
23	possibilities were minimized.
24	As I told Counsel before you came

into the room, I thought that the Governor had

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I been inappropriate when he engaged in these personal conversations with Charlotte. And I wanted to avoid future such conversations.

MR. KIM: And so part of that would be to protect the female staffers being -- from being subjected to inappropriate conversations, correct?

THE WITNESS: I would say that my primary concern here was to avoid a situation where there could be any room for any misunderstanding.

MR. KIM: Is that what you concluded had happened, that there had been an "misunderstanding" between Charlotte Bennett and the Governor?

way or another what happened between Charlotte and the Governor other than listening to Charlotte's account of what I considered deeply personal conversations, which Charlotte herself told me thought were appropriate but which I personally did not think were appropriate and I thought there was risk there.

MR. KIM: So the reason I ask about

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
the purpose being to protect the Governor, that
sort of presumes -- from allegations that sort of
presumes that the allegations are false. At
least, that's how I would interpret protecting
someone from allegations of an inappropriate
conduct, because if they're true, then they would
-- it's not protecting the person who says the
inappropriate things. It's protecting the person
who is subjected to those -- the inappropriate
conduct.

So I was trying to understand how keeping him away from female staffers is to protect him, unless the assumption is that the allegations are going to be false.

THE WITNESS: Well, first of all, I never suggested that he was going to be kept away from female staffers.

MR. KIM: Or not have more than -- or not be staffed with one female staff.

THE WITNESS: I wanted to minimize
the opportunities for personal conversations
between the Governor and young female staffers.
I -- in no way, shape or form am suggesting that
Charlotte Bennett wasn't telling the truth. I

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	think I've been very very clear on that point.
3	But I thought that it would be a good
4	idea to create an environment where similar
5	conversations did not take place.
6	MR. KIM: In part to protect people
7	like Charlotte Bennett, that was my question.
8	THE WITNESS: Well, you're talking
9	about "protecting." I don't think that Charlotte
10	needed "protecting." I think that Charlotte was
11	a by her own account a willing participant
12	in those conversations.
13	But I thought there was risk to the
14	Governor in engaging in personal conversations
15	with female staffers and I wanted to minimize
16	that risk.
17	MR. KIM: I use the word "protect,"
18	because you said the purpose was to protect the
19	Governor.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, from risk.
21	MR. KIM: But not Charlotte Bennett,
22	people like Charlotte Bennett?
23	THE WITNESS: No.
24	MR. KIM: She did not need the
25	protection, the Governor needed the protection?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I thought
3	your conversation your question was did I need
4	to protect the Governor from people like
5	Charlotte Bennett.
6	MR. KIM: Well, from allegations you
7	said, that was the purpose of the rule.
8	THE WITNESS: From any suggestion
9	that he had engaged in any improper conduct.
10	MR. KIM: Okay.
11	THE WITNESS: But I didn't think the
12	Governor needed protection from Charlotte and I
13	didn't think that Charlotte needed protection
<b>L 4</b>	from the Governor.
15	Q. You mentioned that this was similar
16	to a rule that the Governor had when traveling.
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. Have you ever traveled with the
19	Governor?
20	A. I have not traveled with the
21	Governor.
22	Q. And you said that the rule was the
23	Governor not have a hotel room adjacent to
24	another women to a woman; is that correct?

That's my understanding, yeah.

A.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	Q. And where did you get your
3	understanding from?
4	A. I think from several sources. It's
5	just something that I was aware of.
6	Q. Did anyone ever tell you there were
7	occasions when the Governor had a room next a
8	female staff?
9	A. No. In fact, I've been told that
10	that did not happen.
11	Q. Who told you that that did not
12	happen?
13	A. There was a newspaper had inquiry
14	several months ago and I about a trip to
15	Israel and I spoke to the head of his advance
16	team who told me that he had actually the
17	hotel assigned a room to Senior Staffer #1 next to the
18	Governor and that he had taken that room and
19	given Senior Staffer #1 a different room.
20	Q. And who was that that you spoke to?
21	A. The name is .
22	MR. SPIRO: It's about 5:15. We've
23	been going for quite a while. And I know we
24	talked before about coming back tomorrow. So, at
25	some point, if we can maybe break for the

1	HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL	- WITNESS	6/22/21 V	OL. I
2	evening,	I think, that	would mak	ce.	
3		MS. CLARK:	Yeah, not	quite bu	ıt

MR. SPIRO: Good.

getting there.

- Q. Since we've been talking about this series of non-privileged conversations that you had between the Medium post and the Charlotte New York Times article, I think, you said there was some discussion of Kaitlin in those conversations?
- A. I don't actually remember in those conversations talking about Kaitlin.
- Q. In any of those non-privileged communications between the Medium post and the New York Times article, was there discussion about any other women that might come forward with claims?
  - A. No, I don't think so.
- Q. Was there any discussion in any of those non-privileged conversations about anyone speaking to women who worked with the Governor to see if anyone else had any concerns about their interactions with the Governor?
  - A. I'm sorry. I need to ask you to

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
2	repeat that question.
3	Q. Sure. During the non-privileged
4	conversations, did anyone talk about doing any
5	sort of investigation or survey to talk to
6	potentially junior female staffers in the
7	Executive Chamber to see if anyone else had any
8	interactions with the Governor that were
9	concerning?
10	A. No.
11	MS. CLARK: We can probably take a
12	break here now.
13	A. For the evening?
14	Q. For the evening, yes.
15	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: So 10 o'clock
16	tomorrow.
17	MS. CLARK: We can go off the record.
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yeah. Give me a
19	second. Stand by. Wait.
20	Stand by. The time is 5:16 p.m.
21	This concludes today's deposition of witness
22	06-22-21. The number of Media Units used is
23	five. They will be retained by Veritext Legal
24	Solutions. We are off the record at 5:16 p.m.
25	(Time noted: 5:16 p.m.)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/22/21 VOL. I
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, SILVIA P. WAGE, a Certified
Shorthand Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter
and Registered Reporter, herby certify that the
witness in the foregoing investigation was by me
duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth,
and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled
cause; that said investigation was taken down in
shorthand by me, a disinterested person, at the
time and place therein stated, and that the
testimony of the said witness was thereafter
reduced to typewriting, by computer, under my
direction and supervision

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to the said investigation, nor in any way interested in the event of this cause, and that I am not related to any of the parties thereto.

SILVIA P. WAGE

24 dated June 28, 2021

25 License No. 30X100182700