	Page 1
1	
2	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
3	
	x
4	The Matter of Independent Investigation
	Under New York State Executive Law
5	Section 63(8)
	x
6	
7	VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION VIA ZOOM OF:
8	WITNESS 6/29/21
9	THURSDAY, JUNE 29, 2021
10	10:01 a.m.
11	
12	VIRTUAL ZOOM INVESTIGATION before
13	ERICA L. RUGGIERI, a Certified Shorthand
14	Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter,
15	Registered Professional Reporter, and
16	Notary Public for the States of New Jersey
17	and New York.
18	
19	
2 0	
21	
2 2	REPORTED BY:
23	ERICA RUGGIERI: CCR, CRR, RPR
2 4	JOB NO. 4673692
2 5	

	Page 2
1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	VLADECK, RASKIN & CLARK, P.C.
5	Special Deputy to the First Deputy
6	Attorney General of the State of New York
7	565 Fifth Avenue
8	New York, New York 10017
9	(212) 403-7300
10	aclark@vladeck.com
11	emiller@vladeck.com
12	BY: ANNE L. CLARK, ESQ.
13	EMILY G. MILLER, ESQ.
L 4	- and -
15	CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP
16	One Liberty Plaza
17	New York New York 10006
18	(212) 225-2628
19	jkpark@cgsh.com
2 0	jkim@cgsh.com
21	BY: JENNIFER KENNEDY-PARK, ESQ.
22	JOON H. KIM, ESQ.
23	
2 4	
2.5	

		Page 3
1		
2	APPEARANCES: (CONT'D)	
3		
4	FREEMAN NOOTER & GINSBERG	
5	Attorneys for Witness 6/29/21	
6	75 Maiden Lane, Ste 503	
7	New York, New York 10038-4810	
8	(212) 608-0808	
9	BY: LOUIS M. FREEMAN, ESQ.	
10	NADJIA LIMANI, ESQ.	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16	ALSO PRESENT:	
17	MARC FRIEDMAN, Videographer	
18		
19		
2 0		
21		
22		
23		
2 4		
25		

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good

morning. We are going on record at

10:01 a.m. on Tuesday, June 29,

2021. Please silence your cell

phone, computer tones or any other

electronic devices you have near

you. Audio and video recording

will continue to take place unless

all parties agree to go off the

record.

This is media unit number one of the video recorded deposition of Witness 06/29/2021 in The Matter of Independent Investigation Under New York State Executive Law 63(8).

My name is Marc Friedman and I am the certified video legal specialist. Your court reporter today is Erica Ruggieri and we're from the firm Veritext Legal Solutions.

This deposition is being held via remote video conference. All counsel consent to this remote

video arrangement and waive any objections to this manner of recording. If there are any objections to this court reporter swearing in the witness remotely and this remote video arrangement, please state them now.

Hearing no objection would counsel now state on the record their appearances and affiliations beginning with the noticing attorney.

MS. CLARK: Hi, this is Anne Clark. I'm with the law firm of Vladeck, Raskin & Clark appearing today as Special Deputy to the First Deputy Attorney General.

MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Good
morning, Ms. Witness. I'm Jennifer
Kennedy-Park from the law firm
Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton,
but for today's deposition I'm also
appearing as a Special Deputy to
First Deputy Attorney General.

HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL	_	WITNESS	6 /	/29/	/21
--------	--------------	---	---------	-----	------	-----

Q. Good morning, Ms. Witness.

Thank you for being with us today.

I'll introduce myself again. I'm

Anne Clark, one of the people

appointed as a Special Deputy to the

First Deputy Attorney General and I

just have to run through a few

things before we get started.

The New York Attorney General has appointed -- the New York Attorney General has appointed the law firms of Vladeck, Raskin & Clark and Cleary Gottlieb to conduct an independent investigation under New York Executive Law Section 63(8) into allegations sexual harassment brought Governor Andrew Cuomo as well as the surrounding circumstances. And you are here today pursuant to a subpoena in connection with this investigation.

As you saw we are being video recorded today and you are also under oath, which as an attorney I'm

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 sure you know means that you must testify fully and truthfully just as if you were in a court of law sitting before a judge and jury.

At the end I'll remind you that if you want to make any brief sworn statement, you can do so but we will ask you to hold off till the end of today to do so.

This is a civil investigation but we have to let you know that the New York Attorney General's office also has criminal enforcement powers. Therefore, you have the right to refuse to answer a question if answering the question would incriminate you. If you fail to answer a question on that basis, it can be used against you in a court of law, in any civil but not any criminal proceeding.

You are appearing today with your attorney and you can certainly consult with your attorney about any

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 privilege issues.

We have a court reporter

present in addition to the

videographer so it is important that

we do what we can to make her life

easier. Such as if we are reading

from something, as I was just doing,

try not to do it so fast.

Even more important is that we not talk at the same time, it's hard for her to take down two people speaking at once so I will do my very best to wait until you are finished speaking before I ask my next question and I'll ask that you let me get my whole question out even if you know where it's heading. I know it's even harder during this video deposition to not step on each other.

I also ask that you give a verbal response. If you nod or shake your head or say uh-huh, that doesn't reflect well on the record.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21

If you don't understand one of my questions let me know and I will try to rephrase it in a way that makes sense.

If at any time while we are speaking you want to clarify an earlier answer, either you remember something or you remember you got something wrong, just let me know and we can clarify that on the spot.

If you need breaks at any point, let me know, I might want to get to a certain point in the questioning before we take a break but as long as there's no question pending we can certainly take breaks.

Now I need to confirm that -I know you are in an office with
Mr. Freeman. Is there anyone else
in the office with the two of you?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Who else is present?
- A. Nadjia Limani.

	y
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. Who is that?
3	A. She's an associate with my
4	attorney.
5	Q. And I need you and your
6	counsel to confirm that you are not
7	doing anything to record this
8	deposition. The only recording
9	that's taking place is the one that
10	Veritext is doing. Is that
11	accurate?
12	MR. FREEMAN: It's accurate as
13	far as I'm concerned. I don't know
14	if you need the witness to answer.
15	Q. Ms. Witness, are you
16	recording this in any fashion?
17	A. I am not.
18	Q. We also want to direct you
19	that you are not to allow anyone
20	else to listen in via phone line or
21	some other manner during today's
22	proceedings and that during breaks
23	you are not to communicate with
24	anyone other than your counsel about

what is happening in this room.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Under New York Executive Law
3	Section 63(8) the provision under
4	which we are doing the investigation
5	it prohibits you and your counsel
6	from revealing anything about what
7	we ask you or what you have shared
8	with us to anyone. So if anyone
9	asks you to disclose what was
10	covered during today, let your
11	attorney know and Mr. Freeman will
12	let us know but you should not share
13	that information.
14	Are you taking any medication
15	that would affect your ability to
16	testify accurately and truthfully
17	today?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Are you aware of any other
2 0	reason why you can't give accurate
21	and truthful testimony today?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Have you had any alcohol
2 4	today?

Α.

No.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. I always smile when I ask
3	that this early in the morning. But
4	you never know.
5	Could you please state your
6	name, date of birth and current home
7	and business addresses for the
8	record?
9	A. Sure. Witness 6/29/2021,
10	date of birth $\overline{\text{Trooper #1}}$. My home
11	address is Tooler Tooler
12	Trooper #1 , that's
13	Trooper #1
14	My business address is
15	Executive Chamber, New York State
16	Capitol, room 214, Albany, New York
17	12224.
18	Q. Have you ever given
19	testimony before today?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. In what sort of proceedings
22	have you given testimony?
23	A. I have given testimony in a
24	criminal proceeding.
25	Q. And what was your were

	_
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. I did once testify in a
3	deposition also in my role at the
4	New York State Senate. This would
5	have been 2005 related to a
6	copyright dispute.
7	Q. And other than
8	conversations with your attorney,
9	did you do anything to prepare for
10	today's testimony?
11	A. No.
12	Q. And have you spoken to
13	anyone other than your attorney
L 4	about testifying today?
15	A. I have spoken with Chamber
16	counsel, Paul Fishman, Mitra
17	Hormozy.
18	Q. Have you discussed the
19	substance of your testimony with
2 0	them?
21	A. No.
22	Q. What did you discuss with
2 3	them?
2 4	A. The fact of my testifying
2 5	today.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. Now, you were sent some
3	binders which should be in an
4	envelope. Do you have that handy?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Can you take that out of
7	its envelope now?
8	A. I'm going to need to use a
9	blow torch.
10	Q. I was about to say that the
11	folks at Cleary okay, don't cut
12	yourself?
13	A. Ceremonial scissors.
L 4	MS. MILLER: Marc or Erica,
15	could you please share host
16	privileges with me so that I can
17	screen share the exhibits as well?
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sure.
19	Screen share enabled.
2 0	Q. I think there's one set for
21	you and one for your attorney.
22	MR. FREEMAN: That was going
23	to be my question.
2 4	Q. I would ask you to look at
2 5	the document behind tab 1.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	gathered all the documents that were
3	sent to us by your counsel?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. What did you do to gather
6	documents?
7	A. I reviewed my personal
8	documents, so the papers and
9	notebooks that were within my
10	control and my personal cell phone I
11	searched for any relevant e-mails, I
12	gave my counsel access to my private
13	e-mail accounts and I gave them
14	access to all text messages as well.
15	Q. Do you have any home
16	computers that you ever do anything
17	work related on?
18	A. No.
19	Q. If you could turn to tab 3.
20	(Exhibit 3, Preservation
21	Notice, marked for identification,
22	as of this date.)
23	Q. And do you recognize this
24	document, Ms. Witness?
25	A. Yes.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
Q. What is this?
A. This is a Preservation
Notice that we distributed to all
Executive Chamber employees.
Q. And how did it come about
that you drafted this document?
A. We had made a referral to
the Attorney General pursuant to
Section 63(8) and it was I
actually can't recall whether or not
it was at the request of the
Attorney General's office or whether
we did it on our own at this point.
Q. And prior to March 1st,
2021, did you or were you aware of
anyone else taking any steps to
preserve documents relating to
sexual harassment allegations
against the Governor?
A. There was not a formal
preservation order or any ongoing

related to the potential for certain

investigation but certainly as it

complaints I think there were

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
individuals undertaking the
preservation of any relevant records
in the context of potential
litigation.

- Q. And what, if anything, did you do to preserve your own documents at that point?
- e-mails, notes. I do not have a habit of deleting any e-mails or any notes. My e-mail retention for the Chamber has not had any automatic deletion rules applied. So I was, as far as I was concerned, preserving all relevant documents at all times all along.
- Q. Did you have any auto delete settings on your personal folder?
 - A. No.
- Q. At any point did you learn that any of the devices you used were automatically deleting any electronic data?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. When did you learn that?
4	A. I believe it was at some
5	point early in March we became aware
6	from Harold Moore that the
7	Chamber-issued iPhones had been
8	delivered to us with an automatic
9	deletion of text messages after
10	30 days.
11	Q. And at that point did you
12	or did Mr. Moore do something to
13	change that setting?
14	A. Since we did not have
15	access to all of the devices
16	ourselves there's no ability for us
17	to remotely apply a different
18	setting. It required that we
19	instruct employees to themselves
20	change the setting on their iPhone.
21	Q. And at that point did you
22	change the setting on your iPhone?
23	A. I did not.
24	Q. You did not?
25	A. I did not.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. Did Mr. Moore change or
3	somebody else change the setting on
4	your iPhone at that point?
5	A. No.
6	Q. So did your device, your
7	iPhone, continue to delete text
8	messages more than 30 days old?
9	A. I believe that it did.
10	Q. And why didn't you change
11	the setting on your iPhone when
12	Mr. Moore came to you with this
13	information?
14	A. I am issued a Chamber
15	iPhone. I find it very difficult to
16	use and rarely use it. At the time
17	that I sent out the notification to
18	all other employees my iPhone was
19	dead in a pocket of a winter coat
20	that was in my car where it remained
21	for I think several weeks.
22	Q. At any point at some
23	later point did you or did somebody
24	change the settings on your work
25	iPhone?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. When did that happen?
4	A. I cleaned out my car after
5	budget so about April 1st, April
6	2nd, located the phone, charged it
7	and changed the setting at that
8	point.
9	Q. And your personal phone,
10	what kind of phone is that?
11	A. It's also an iPhone.
12	Q. And why did you find the
13	work iPhone more difficult to deal
14	with than your personal iPhone?
15	A. The iPhone that is issued
16	by Chamber is an iPhone 8. It takes
17	on average an hour every morning to
18	refresh the inbox where you have to
19	constantly refresh the inbox to get
20	to that day's messages. It's very
21	cumbersome to use. And so I relied
22	more heavily on the Chamber-issued
23	BlackBerry that gives me my e-mails
24	in real-time.
25	Q. So you have a Chamber

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	iPhone and a Chamber BlackBerry?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Do you ever use your
5	personal phone for work-related
6	e-mails or texts?
7	A. Never, you know,
8	intentionally for work-related
9	e-mails. Occasionally someone will
10	send something work related to my
11	personal Yahoo or gmail account
12	which I loop back to my Executive
13	Chamber e-mail. I do use the
14	personal cell phone for sometimes
15	work-related text messages.
16	MS. CLARK: Emily, you can
17	take this exhibit down.
18	Q. And did you check your
19	personal iPhone to make sure it
20	wasn't auto deleting text messages?
21	A. Yes. It has never had any
22	auto delete for any messages.
23	Q. And for your BlackBerry,
24	those text messages or text system
25	you referred to as PINs?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. Correct. There is no SMS
3	text messaging on the BlackBerries.
4	Q. And do you know for how
5	long, if at all, the PINs were
6	preserved on your BlackBerry. Did
7	they have an auto delete function?
8	A. No. Only if you apply an
9	auto delete yourself which I had
10	never applied on my device.
11	Q. So how far back did your
12	PINs going on your work issued
13	BlackBerry?
14	A. I believe they did not go
15	back to the beginning of when I
16	started with the Chamber. I was
17	issued a new BlackBerry as the
18	device stopped holding a charge
19	maybe September or so of 2020 and I
2 0	was issued a new BlackBerry at that
21	point. But I believe that I had
22	every PIN sent or received from the
23	date that I had been issued the new
2 4	device.

I'm going to step back.

Q.

25

Ιf

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 you could just describe for us your post high school educational history, please.

- A. Sure. I received a bachelor of arts from Mary Baldwin College in Stanton, Virginia. A JD from Albany Law School. And I have worked ever since either for the state or in private practice as an attorney.
- Q. What year did you get your JD?
 - A. 2004.
- Q. And from 2004 until when you joined the Executive Chamber if you could just briefly tell us what each job was that you had.
- A. Sure. Starting in

 September of 2004 I worked for the

 New York State Senate. I held

 various roles within the Majority

 Counsel's Office. I also for a

 brief period in 2009-2010 worked in

 the Minority Counsel's office as

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 there had been a leadership change in the Senate.

I was an associate at Wilson
Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker for
about 15 months. And then I
rejoined the Senate. So
February 2011 I came back to work
for the Senate as first assistant
counsel. I became counsel to the
Senate in 2013, June of 2013 and
stayed there as counsel until late
December 2017.

At that point I joined State
University of New York as their
general counsel. So January of 2018
until I joined the Executive Chamber
in September of 2019 I served as
general counsel for SUNY.

- Q. And in any of those roles before you joined the Chamber did you ever deal with discrimination or harassment-related issues?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. In what roles did you deal

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	with discrimination or
3	harassment-related issues?
4	A. Well, certainly there were
5	from time to time various laws
6	proposed, more legislation proposed
7	related to the discrimination or
8	harassment. So I would have
9	reviewed those prior to passage in
10	the Senate. We also had certain
11	obligations as a university under
12	Title 9. So discrimination,
13	particularly on the basis of sex,
1 4	you know, had a significant role in
15	my work at SUNY.
16	Q. And who did you report to
17	when you worked at SUNY?
18	A. To the Board of Trustees as
19	well as to the chancellor.
2 0	Q. And who was chancellor at
21	the time?
22	A
23	Q. How did you come to work as
2 4	GC at SUNY?
2 5	A I had board that thou work

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
looking potentially to make some
changes in their counsel's office
and reached out to
me to see if I might be interested
and started the process directly
with her.

- Q. And why did you decide to leave SUNY to join the Executive Board?
- A. It seemed at the time that it would be good, a good fit for my skill set dealing with legislation again other than, you know, tangentially seemed like a shift that I wanted to make at that time in my career.
- Q. And how did you come to get the role in the Executive Chamber?
- A. Alphonso David, who had been counsel to the Governor, recommended me.
- Q. And what had been your interactions with Mr. David prior to that point, if any?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. We worked very closely when
3	I was with the State Senate. When
4	matters of great importance are
5	negotiated it's typically done with
6	the counsel to the Governor, counsel
7	to the Senate and, you know, counsel
8	or the equivalent policy or physical
9	person for the assembly as well. So
10	we worked very closely on the budget
11	and on major initiatives that were
12	enacted from the time that he
13	started there like 20 2014, 2015.
1 4	Q. At the time that Mr. David
15	recommended you for a role in the
1 6	Executive Chamber was there an open
17	position you were looking to fill?
18	A. Yes. He was about to
19	depart to go to the Human Rights
2 0	Campaign. And so it was his role
21	that they were looking to fill.
2 2	Q. And what was his role at
2 3	the time?
2 4	A. Counsel to the Governor.

And when was Mr. David

Q.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	going to be leaving to join the
3	Human Rights Campaign?
4	A. When we first spoke it was
5	June and he was looking to leave in
6	August.
7	Q. And when did he actually
8	leave?
9	A. I believe it was sometime
10	around August of 2019.
11	Q. And you started, I think
12	you said, September of 2019?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Did you interview with
15	anyone before being offered the
16	role?
17	A. I wouldn't say I
18	interviewed per se. I did meet with
19	Melissa Derosa.
20	Q. And what did the two of you
21	discuss?
22	A. We discussed the role and
23	also my thoughts for the office as
24	well as, you know, the way that the
25	position was going to be split. At

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	the time, you know, Alphonso was
3	counsel to the Governor, they wanted
4	to split the role into two different
5	positions. So there would be a
6	counsel to the Governor because that
7	is a constitutional office and there
8	would be, you know, some other role
9	which was the role that I was
10	positioned to take that would relate
11	mostly to the legislation and policy
12	initiatives that the Governor wanted
13	to pursue.

- Q. And at the time you spoke with Ms. Derosa did you know who was going to fill the position of counsel to the Governor?
- A. When we spoke it was not clear yet who was going to be in that position.
- Q. And who ultimately was placed in that position?
 - A.
- Q. And what was your title when you joined?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. Special counsel to the
3	Governor and senior advisor.
4	Q. And I know you said that
5	Ms. Derosa spoke to you about the
6	role. Was it focused on legislation
7	and policy when you started?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And to whom did you report
10	when you were special counsel and
11	senior advisor?
12	A. To Melissa.
13	Q. Did also report
14	to Ms. Derosa?
15	A. I don't know specifically
16	what her conversations were with
17	Melissa. I was led to believe that
18	she was reporting also to Melissa.
19	Q. And what areas did she
20	cover if you were handling
21	legislation and policy?
22	A. She dealt with litigation,
23	any of the sort of FOIL, regulatory
24	matters within the agencies and
25	appointments.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. And did you have anyone who
3	reported up to you when you were
4	special counsel?
5	A. Yes. So the idea was that
6	the counsel's office would have dual
7	reporting responsibilities to both
8	and I and would report to
9	on those matters within her
10	portfolio and would report to me on
11	legislation and policy initiatives.
12	Q. And were there any how
13	many people were reporting to the
14	two of you directly?
15	A. It was about 14 attorneys
16	and six or so support staff.
17	Q. And were any of the
18	attorneys assigned to just your area
19	or just her area or did they all
20	report to both of you?
21	A. Eventually we brought, we
22	each brought in or each selected a
23	deputy that would assist just, you
24	know, one or the other of us.
25	Q. Who was your deputy?

	lage 33
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A
3	Q. And what was her role
4	before she became your deputy?
5	A. She was general counsel to
6	the Trial Lawyers Association.
7	Q. Did you work with her
8	before?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Where had you worked with
11	her?
12	A. In the State Senate.
13	Q. And who was
L 4	deputy?
15	A.
16	Q. And where did when you
17	joined the Chamber, was there any
18	talk about how long you were
19	expected to stay or you any sort
2 0	of commitment you had to make,
21	anything along those lines?
22	A. No. I do think that
2 3	there's obviously an expectation
2 4	when you start a session that you
2 5	will finish it. But beyond that

	
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	there was no commitment.
3	Q. And when you were special
4	counsel and senior advisor where
5	were you located was your office
6	located?
7	A. Room 214 of the capital.
8	Q. That's your current office
9	as well?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And where is that in
12	relation to where the Governor's
13	office is?
1 4	A. It's in the same corridor
15	but not in the same suite of
16	offices. The Governor's suite is
17	secure. And a trooper is required
18	to buzz you in to where he sits and
19	where Melissa sits. I'm in a sort
20	of connected string of offices that
21	includes state operations director,
22	Kelly Cummings. At one time, you
23	know, Jill DesRosiers and and
2 4	offices.

And you said you were

Q.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
responsible for legislation and
policy. You know, what was sort of
your day-to-day responsibilities
during the time that you were
special counsel?

- A. Day-to-day review bills, make recommendations about signing or reviewing bills, negotiating chapter amendments, drafting new initiatives, you know, whatever research was required of me as far as what existing initiatives were, you know, were occurring at different state agencies and what other states were doing on various topics.
- Q. And at some point did your role change?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. When did your role within the Chambers change?
- A. When departed in March I became acting counsel to the Governor.

	
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. And do you know whose
3	decision it was that you would
4	become acting counsel?
5	A. No.
6	Q. And did you have discussion
7	with anyone as to why you were being
8	appointed acting counsel rather than
9	counsel to the Governor?
10	A. I had a conversation with
11	Melissa and I requested to be named
12	acting counsel.
13	Q. Why did you request to be
L 4	made acting counsel?
15	A. I had previously expressed
16	to Melissa that I did not want to
17	necessarily continue with the
18	Chamber after the conclusion of
19	session in June and I thought it was
2 0	best to not be named counsel and
21	necessitate selection of a new
22	counsel that quickly.
23	Q. Did you tell Ms. Derosa why
2 4	you were not necessarily planning on

staying past the end of the session

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	in June?
3	A. That was a continuation of
4	a conversation we had in December.
5	Q. So let's go back to
6	December. What conversation did you
7	have with Ms. Derosa in December
8	about how long you wanted to stick
9	around?
10	MR. FREEMAN: I'm going to
11	object to relevancy here.
12	MS. CLARK: Mr. Freeman, it's
13	done like a deposition so you can
14	raise any privilege issues but
15	otherwise we are going to ask the
16	questions we are going to ask and
17	you get to make objections.
18	MR. FREEMAN: I understood.
19	However, I wanted you to know what
20	I was thinking.
21	Q. Ms. Witness, what was your
22	conversation with Ms. Derosa in
23	December? And this is December of
24	2020, isn't it?
25	A. Correct. The conversation

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	was that the job special counsel was
3	very demanding and that I would be
4	looking for other opportunities at
5	the conclusion of session.
6	Q. And in what way was the job
7	demanding?
8	A. The time commitment.
9	Q. In any other way?
10	A. That's enough.
11	Q. Well, even if that is
12	enough, were there any other ways in
13	which you found the job of special
14	counsel to be demanding?
15	A. Certainly it's very
16	intellectually stimulating. I don't
17	find that to be too taxing.
18	
19	Eventually I would like to have
20	alive as well. It was mostly the,
21	you know, the time commitment.
22	Q. And what, if anything, did
23	Ms. Derosa say to you in December
24	when you told her that because of
25	the demands of the job you did not

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	want to stay past June?
3	A. That she understood.
4	Q. So when left you
5	had another conversation with
6	Ms. Derosa about that?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And what did Ms. Derosa say
9	when you only said you only
10	wanted to remain acting counsel at
11	that point?
12	A. She said fine, whatever you
13	want.
14	Q. And when you became acting
15	counsel, how did your
16	responsibilities change, if at all?
17	A. I assumed responsibility
18	for all of the litigation, FOIL,
19	appointments, agency regulatory
20	matters that had previously been
21	going to either or for
22	resolution.
23	Q. And was anyone brought in
2 4	to assume your responsibilities for
25	legislation or policy?

staying past the end of this session

in June?

24

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. No.
3	Q. What date do you currently
4	have in mind for your departure?
5	A. I don't at this moment have
6	a specific date in mind.
7	Q. And is there a reason why
8	you are not leaving at the end of
9	the session as you originally
10	planned?
11	A. Numerous other events
12	occurred from March on and we are
13	honestly looking at this one day at
L 4	a time.
15	Q. And when you refer to the
16	various other events, are you
17	referring to the various
18	investigations?
19	A. Yes.
2 0	Q. And has Ms. Derosa ever
21	spoken to you about the need for you
22	to stay on past the end of June?
2 3	A. No.
2 4	Q. Has anyone else from the
2 5	Chamber spoken to you about that?

Again it varied widely.

him in person?

Α.

24

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. If you were communicating
3	with him and you weren't in person
4	with him what means of communication
5	did the Governor use?
6	A. He used PINs, he used text
7	messages or he used phone calls.
8	Q. And in terms of your
9	meetings with the Governor did you
10	ever meet with him one-on-one during
11	the time you were special counsel?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. How often did you meet with
L 4	him one-on-one?
15	A. I would say it was fairly
16	rare to meet with him one-on-one.
17	Maybe ten, maybe slightly less than
18	ten times one-on-one.
19	Q. During the times that you
2 0	met one-on-one with the Governor how
21	did he behave in a professional
22	manner, did he ever do anything that
23	you thought was not typical for an
2 4	office setting?

Α.

No.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. When you met with him not
3	one-on-one who were the other people
4	you would be meeting with the
5	Governor?
6	A. Again that varied widely.
7	Q. During the time that you
8	have been acting counsel has the
9	frequency of your interactions with
10	the Governor changed?
11	A. I would say no. I don't
12	believe that the frequency has
13	necessarily changed. I think, you
14	know, we still go, you know, periods
15	of time without speaking or meeting
16	but we, you know, communicate fairly
17	regularly.
18	Q. Since December of 2020 had
19	you met with the Governor one-on-one
20	at any point?
21	A. No.
22	Q. When you joined the
23	Chambers did you receive any
24	training on policies and procedures?
25	A. I received an orientation

	rage 47
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	binder.
3	Q. And what was in that did
4	anyone go over the binder with you
5	or did they just hand you the binder
6	or something else?
7	A. There was a training. I
8	was required to complete ethics
9	training when I joined which I did.
10	The orientation took a period of
11	about three hours and I believe that
12	I attended some of that orientation,
13	maybe ten, 15 minutes and then got
14	called away for a meeting and did
15	not resume the in-person training.
16	Q. Did you ever complete the
17	in-person training?
18	A. I never completed the
19	in-person training.
20	Q. When you joined was any of
21	the training you received related to
22	sexual harassment?
23	A. Can you repeat that. You
24	broke up a little bit.

Yeah, I'm sorry. One of us

Q.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	froze. I'm not sure which it was.
3	When you joined, was any of the
4	training you received relating to
5	sexual harassment?
6	A. I did not complete the
7	in-person training. I believe that
8	the orientation binder contained
9	materials related to sexual
10	harassment.
11	Q. Did you review the
12	materials in the binder that you
13	were given?
1 4	A. Not in September, no.
15	Q. Did you at some later
16	point?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. When did you review them?
19	A. I reviewed them maybe
2 0	November but I completed the
21	required trainings in December of
22	2019.
23	Q. So when you say you
2 4	reviewed them in November, November
2 5	of 2019?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. And then you said you
4	completed the required training in
5	December. That's the required
6	sexual harassment training?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. And in what format was that
9	training?
10	A. It was a printed out
11	PowerPoint presentation.
12	Q. And who gave you the
13	printed out PowerPoint presentation?
1 4	A. It was given to me by my
15	assistant.
16	Q. And what did you have to do
17	to complete that training?
18	A. Review the materials and
19	sign an accompanying attestation
2 0	form.
21	Q. And did you have to give
22	the attestation form to anyone?
2 3	A. Yes. I gave it back to my
2 4	assistant.
2 5	Q. Do you know what, if

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	management system.
3	Q. And did you have to do any
4	attestation after doing the

A. No.

web-based training?

- Q. Did you have to click on any buttons or anything while you were going through the training so that anyone could be tracking whether you did it or anything along those lines?
- A. You have to advance -- you have to advance the screen so you are clicking through the training but I don't believe that there were any, you know, check this box here required.
- Q. Were you given any notices about the need to complete training in 2020?
 - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. And in the trainings you took in 2019 and a week ago, did those trainings cover state law on

	rage 32
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	sexual harassment?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And did those trainings
5	cover policies that applied within
6	the Executive Chamber?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And what is your
9	understanding from the training as
10	to what sort of conduct violates the
11	Executive Chamber policy?
12	A. Harassment or
13	discrimination of any kind violates
1 4	the policy.
15	Q. And do you have any
16	understanding from the training as
17	to what sort of conduct would
18	constitute harassment that would
19	violate the policy?
2 0	A. Subjecting anyone to
21	inferior terms or conditions of
22	employment based on any protected
23	status.
2 4	Q. And did you from the
2 5	training or otherwise de you have

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	any understanding as to what the
3	process is for reporting any issues
4	of harassment or discrimination?
5	A. Yes. It is required to be
6	reported either to a supervisor or
7	to GOER, the Governor's Office of
8	Employee Relations.
9	Q. And do supervisors have any
10	particular reporting
11	responsibilities with respect to
12	harassment or discrimination?
13	A. Yes, supervisors are
L 4	required to report to GOER.
15	Q. And did the training cover
16	retaliation?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And what is your
19	understanding of what the policy is
2 0	regarding retaliation?
21	A. That retaliation or that
2 2	retaliation is not tolerated and
2 3	retaliation is any adverse
2 4	job-related action, whether or not

job related for any complainant.

HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL	_	WITNESS	6	/29	/21
--------	--------------	---	---------	---	-----	-----

- Q. During your time in Chambers have you had any responsibilities either as acting counsel or as special counsel for handling complaints of sexual harassment?
- A. As a supervisor, as special counsel, I did have a number of employees who reported to me. I had an obligation to report but at no point were any complaints made to me.
- Q. And as either special counsel or acting counsel would your sort of area of responsibility include dealing with any sexual harassment complaints made by somebody who is not part of your team?
- A. Yes. As acting counsel certainly I would expect that if there was a concern and if it were brought to me, that I would provide advice as counsel as to the

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS	6/29/21
2	appropriate steps to take and	
3	undertake those directly, if	
4	necessary.	
5	O. And am I understandir	ng vou

- Q. And am I understanding you correct that if a complaint is made to GOER, that GOER then investigates?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Is there anyone in -within the Chambers who is
 responsible for investigating
 complaints of sexual harassment?
 - A. No.
- Q. Have you been responsible for addressing any sexual harassment complaints as either special counsel or acting counsel?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And how many times?
- A. Twice. Once with respect to the complaint by Brittany
 Commisso and separately with respect to the complaint from Alyssa
 McGrath.

	_
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	after it was created?
3	A. I don't believe that it was
4	circulated. I don't recall it being
5	circulated. I know that it is
6	posted on the Intranet.
7	Q. And did you ever review any
8	prior versions of the EEO handbook?
9	A. Yes. This was in the
10	orientation binder from, you know,
11	2019.
12	Q. I ask you to turn to page
13	11 and just read to yourself, we
1 4	don't need it read out loud, the
15	sexual harassment section that
16	continues through to page 13.
17	(Witness reviews document.)
18	A. Okay.
19	Q. Okay. Thank you. By the
2 0	way, do you know who drafted this
21	policy?
22	A. The Governor's Office of
23	Employee Relations.
2 4	Q. And the section you just
25	read, pages 11 through 13, is that

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	your understanding of what the
3	governing policy is within the
4	Executive Chamber?
5	A. Yes.

- Q. And is it your understanding that it encompasses conduct that is not necessarily of an explicitly sexual nature?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And is it your understanding that it encompasses conduct that does not have to be severe or pervasive to be unlawful?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And is it your understanding that a person subject to such harassment does not have to tell the person engaging in the conduct that the conduct is unwelcome?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Has this been your understanding of what the policy is throughout your time in Chamber?

HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL	-	WITNESS	6 /	′29/	21
--------	--------------	---	---------	-----	------	----

- A. The statutory change eliminating severe and pervasive from the statute took effect I believe sometime in 2020.
- Q. Other than the severe or pervasive, did the rest of what is on page 11 to 13 comport with what you understood to be the policy throughout your time?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And on page 13 it talks about the complaint procedure. And were you aware of this reporting obligation, which you testified to a few minutes ago as well, as being the policy throughout the time that you were within the Chamber?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. On page 13 it also talks about sexual harassment of nonemployees. And it says that people who are performing work under contract as well as interns, clients, vendors are all protected

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 from sexual harassment. Was that your understanding as well?

A. Yes.

Q. If you could turn to page
41, at the bottom of the page,
continuing onto 42. It says in
part, "A supervisor who has received
a report of workplace discrimination
has a duty to report it to GOER or
in accordance with the employee
agency's policy even if the
individual who complained requests
that it not be reported."

Was that your understanding of the policy throughout your time in the Chamber?

A. Yes.

Q. And this says to report to GOER or in accordance with the employee agency's policy. Did the Executive Chamber have any policy for reporting it to some entity or some person other than GOER?

A. No.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. If you can flip back to
3	page 39 and read to yourself 39 to
4	40, the retaliation section to
5	yourself and just let me know when
6	you are done.
7	(Witness reviews document.)
8	A. Okay.
9	Q. And has this been your
10	understanding of the policy
11	regarding retaliation throughout
12	your time in Chamber?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And I believe you testified
15	before we looked at the policy it's
16	your understanding that it goes
17	beyond just employment-related
18	actions, correct?
19	A. Yes.
2 0	Q. And the definition states
21	in part, "Retaliation can be any
22	action more than trivial that would

have the effect of dissuading a

supporting an allegation of

reasonable person from making or

23

24

	y
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	discrimination."
3	Has that been your
4	understanding of the definition of
5	retaliation?
6	A. Yes. That's the definition
7	in the policy.
8	Q. And it also states on page
9	39 that actionable retaliation by an
10	employer can occur after the
11	individual is no longer employed by
12	that employer. This can include
13	giving an unwarranted negative
14	reference for a former employee.
15	Was that your understanding
16	throughout your time in Chamber of
17	something that can constitute
18	retaliation?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. You can put that aside.
21	MS. CLARK: Emily, you can
22	take that down.
23	Q. Prior to December of 2020,
2 4	were you aware of any allegations of

potential sexual harassment against

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Governor Cuomo?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Now from the timing I'm
5	assuming that did you ever work
6	in Chambers with Lindsey Boylan?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Did you ever work with her
9	in any other place?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Have you ever met Lindsey
12	Boylan?
13	A. Not that I recall.
14	Q. Prior to December of 2020,
15	had you ever heard any Chambers
16	employees talking about Ms. Boylan?
17	A. Prior to December of 2020,
18	no.
19	Q. When was the first time
2 0	anyone spoke to you at all about
21	Ms. Boylan?
22	A. In maybe 2018 I had a
23	conversation with Jim Malatras based
2 4	on Lindsey Boylan tweeting about a
25	toxic work environment based on an

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 inability to have children in the Chamber and Jim had given some tweets, not referencing Lindsey by name, but referring back to that, you know, he was a parent also in Chamber and it was fine from his perspective.

Q. Α. Q. Α.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 1 2 3 4 5 Q. And in which category do you place the Executive Chamber? 6 7 I'd place it in the 8 category of a demanding job. 9 Other than Mr. Malatras ο. 10 saying that he had been a parent in 11 the Chamber and hadn't had issue, 12 did the two of you say anything else 13 about Ms. Boylan at the time she 14 tweeted about difficulty of having children in Chamber? 15 16 No. Α. 17 Do you know hold ol Q. Mr. Malatras's children were when he 18 19 worked in Chamber? 20 Α. I believe roughly around 21 22 23 24 25

Did you have any other

Q.

Α.

Yes.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. At some point there's a
3	reference to Lindsey, the Trump
4	shout out. Do you understand that
5	was a reference to Lindsey Boylan.
6	That's on page 1071?
7	A. It appears to be.
8	Q. And then the next page
9	actually references her name. And
10	at the bottom it looks like you
11	wrote, "Where is her kid? Why is
12	she out at a party? Isn't she a
13	mom?" Is that something that you
L 4	wrote?
15	A. I don't remember it but it
16	certainly appears I did.
17	Q. Do you have any
18	recollection of what you meant by
19	that?
2 0	A. I don't.
21	Q. Do you recall any instances
22	where you criticized any fathers for
23	being out at parties?
2 4	A. I certainly don't.
2 5	MS. CLARK: You can take that

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	down, Emily.
3	Q. Prior to December 2020 did
4	you ever hear anyone talk about
5	whether any employees had ever made
6	any complaints about Lindsey Boylan?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Prior to December of 2020
9	were you part of any conversations
10	in which anyone talked about
11	releasing any personnel records
12	related to Ms. Boylan or any other
13	records relating to her employment?
1 4	A. No.
15	Q. Prior to December of 2020
16	had you ever heard that Lindsey
17	Boylan believed that the Governor
18	had engaged in sexually harassing
19	conduct toward her?
2 0	A. No.
21	Q. When did you first learn
22	that Ms. Boylan was alleging that
23	the Governor had engaged in
2 4	harassing or discriminatory conduct?

When I saw the tweets.

Α.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. And which tweets which
3	is the first tweet that you saw?
4	A. I don't recall
5	specifically.
6	Q. Why don't we look at tab 6.
7	(Exhibit 6, Lindsey Boylan
8	tweets, marked for identification,
9	as of this date.)
L 0	Q. And these are some tweets
11	by Ms. Boylan that start on
12	December 5th, then there's several
13	on December 8th and finally some on
L 4	December 13th.
15	So why don't you read them to
16	yourself and let me know which were
17	the first ones you became aware of.
18	(Witness complies.)
19	A. Okay.
2 0	Q. Which of the tweets that
21	you first recall becoming aware of?
22	A. I think the toxic team
23	environment starting on December
2 4	5th.
2 5	Q. How did you did you see

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	11:27 a.m. We are back on the
3	record. This will be the start of
4	media unit number two.
5	Q. Before the break you said
6	that you saw Ms. Boylan's tweet
7	probably re-tweeted by an attorney
8	by a reporter. Did you discuss
9	the tweets with anyone when you saw
10	them?
11	A. I don't recall specifically
12	discussing them, no.
13	Q. Were you involved in
14	December of 2020 at all in
15	responding to tweets that Ms. Boylan
16	was sending out?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Were you involved at all in
19	any investigation to determine if
20	anything that Ms. Boylan was saying

- A. No.
- Q. Were you part of any discussions with anyone about whether any investigations should be

in December of 2020 was accurate?

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
undertaken to determine whether

Ms. Boylan's tweets were accurate or
needed further inquiry?

A. No.

- Q. Did you talk to anyone to determine whether anyone else felt that they had been harassed by the Governor in December of 2020?
 - A. No.
- Q. In December of 2020, did you learn about any interactions -- strike that.

Before December of 2020 were you aware of any interactions between Charlotte Bennett and the Governor?

- A. Could you clarify any interactions?
- Q. Sure. Let me do a better question. Prior to December of 2020, were you aware that Charlotte Bennett had raised any concerns about any interactions with the Governor?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. No.
3	Q. In December of 2020 did you
4	learn that Charlotte Bennett had
5	raised concerns about her
6	interactions with the Governor?
7	A. Not that I recall.
8	Q. When were you aware of
9	Charlotte Bennett raising any
10	concerns about the Governor's
11	conduct prior to the story in The
12	New York Times about featuring
13	Ms. Bennett?
L 4	A. I became aware immediately
15	prior to the publication of the
16	story in The New York Times.
17	Q. And who told you about it?
18	A. Peter Ajemian asked me to
19	get on a phone call with Jesse
2 0	McKinley from The New York Times
21	based on Charlotte's concerns but
22	Jesse McKinley in that conversation
23	outlined the specifics.
2 4	Q. And prior to hearing about
2 5	it from Jesse McKinley had you heard

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. I don't know that she used
3	those specific words but it was my
4	impression and understanding that
5	she found her account credible.
6	Q. We will come back to
7	Ms. Bennett and that call.
8	In December of 2020, did you
9	hear anything more about any details
10	regarding Ms. Boylan's allegations?
11	A. No. In December of 2020
12	more I think detail came out in
13	subsequent tweets.
L 4	Q. And when more detail came
15	out did you talk with anyone in
16	Chambers about the detail of
17	Ms. Boylan's allegations?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Did you talk to anyone
2 0	outside Chambers about the
21	additional detail about Ms. Boylan's
22	allegations?
2 3	A. No.
2 4	Q. And did there come a time
2 5	when you were aware of Ms. Boylan

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	posting a more detailed account on
3	Medium?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. How did you learn of that?
6	A. From Melissa Derosa.
7	Q. And what did Ms. Derosa say
8	to you?
9	A. She had relayed that in the
10	course of another meeting that was
11	currently in progress she
12	interrupted and said that there was
13	a bigger issue to deal with.
14	Q. And what did she how did
15	she describe the issue?
16	A. She said that Lindsey
17	Boylan has published a post on
18	Medium outlining her harassment by
19	the Governor.
20	Q. And who was in this meeting
21	other than you and Ms. Derosa in
22	which Ms. Derosa said something
23	bigger came out?
24	A. The Governor, Steve Cohen,
25	myself, Judy Mogul, Robert Mujica.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	I believe that Linda Lacewell was on
3	the phone, and I think that's it.
4	Q. What was the original topic
5	for the meeting?
6	A. Nursing homes.
7	Q. And when Ms. Derosa said
8	that Ms. Boylan had published a
9	detailed account, did she have a
10	copy of the piece?
11	A. She had a copy on her
12	laptop.
13	Q. And did she share the
14	contents in some fashion?
15	A. I believe she shared it
16	she shared that laptop with the
17	Governor and I and several others
18	pulled up the article ourselves on
19	our own devices.
20	Q. So am I correct in saying
21	that everybody sort of took a few
22	minutes to read it right then and
23	there?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Where did this meeting take

believe that she went through

several of the points while I was

present.

23

24

- HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL WITNESS 6/29/21
- Q. And why weren't you present for the entire conversation?
 - A. I was in the other room.
- Q. Let me back up. In the Executive Mansion who was -- who was where in the mansion when this meeting was taking place?
- Α. We had been having a meeting that the Governor, Melissa had been present for part of the meeting. Steve, Linda, Judy, Robert, myself related to responding to nursing home inquiries and Melissa came -- had left the room at some point, came back into the room. I believe that Judy and the Governor and Melissa left the room for a period of time. We remained stationary to see if we were going to resume the other meeting. it became apparent we were not going to resume other meeting the individuals remaining at the table migrated into the other room.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. And which room did the
3	meeting start in and which room did
4	you migrate into?
5	A. From the dining room to
6	what they call the library. They
7	are adjoining but they have pocket
8	doors.
9	Q. And when you migrated to
10	the library what conversation were
11	you present for?
12	A. Where Judy was discussing
13	with the Governor the article and
14	whether or not there were any
15	factual inaccuracies in the article.
16	Q. And do you recall any
17	particular allegations that
18	Ms. Mogul asked the Governor about?
19	MR. FREEMAN: I'm going to
20	object based on privilege.
21	Q. Do you recall anything else
22	that was said after you rejoined the
23	meeting in the library?
2 4	MR. FREEMAN: Same objection.
25	Q. How long did the meeting

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	last from the time Ms. Derosa
3	advised everybody of the Medium
4	article?
5	A. Maybe a half hour.
6	Q. After the meeting ended did
7	you speak with anyone else about it?
8	A. I did speak with
9	when I returned to the capital.
10	Q. And what did the two of you
11	discuss?
12	A. We made sure she had seen
13	the Medium article so that she would
14	know what else was happening in the,
15	you know, our environment.
16	Q. Were there any
17	conversations with about
18	it?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Did you speak to anyone
21	else after meeting about the
22	article?
23	A. I don't recall specifically
24	discussing the article, no.
25	Q. Did you discuss

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
Ms. Boylan's allegations with anyone
after the article came out other
than what you've already testified
to or been directed not to testify.

- A. At several points later the article continued to come up in various press inquiries. So, you know, from time to time we would have to address concerns with -- that had been raised again in the Medium article as it related to press responses.
- Q. And were you involved with press responses to Ms. Boylan's allegations while you were still in the role of special counsel?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. When did you first become involved in dealing with press responses with regard to Ms. Boylan's allegations?
- A. With respect to the initial posting and the initial meeting at the mansion a press response was

	_
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	discussed.
3	Q. And so when was the meeting
4	in the mansion about the initial
5	posting?
6	MR. FREEMAN: I'm sorry, I
7	didn't hear. Initial what?
8	Q. When you say the initial
9	postings, do you mean the initial
10	tweets back in December?
11	A. No. The public
12	Q. The Medium?
13	A. The Medium piece.
14	Q. Prior to the article were
15	you involved in any press responses
16	to Ms. Boylan's allegations?
17	A. No, not that I recall.
18	Q. In December of 2020 were
19	you involved at all in any
2 0	discussions anyone in the Executive
21	Chamber about releasing any
22	documents relating to Ms. Boylan's
23	employment?
2 4	A. With respect to releasing
2 5	documents, no.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. Were you part of any
3	discussion about Ms. Boylan's
4	personnel file or documents relating
5	to her employment back in December
6	of 2020?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. What discussions were you
9	involved with back in December of
10	2020?
11	A. I was asked whether I could
12	locate some of Alphonso David's
13	files by Linda Lacewell.
1 4	Q. By Linda Lacewell?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And did she tell you what
17	the purpose was?
18	A. I believe she did.
19	Q. What did she say to you?
2 0	A. I believe that let me
21	back up. My recollection is she
2 2	asked for Alphonso David's files and
2 3	through the course of that
2 4	conversation revealed she was
2 5	looking for a personnel file related

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	to Lindsey Boylan.
3	Q. And did she tell you what
4	information she thought was in that
5	file?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Did she tell you what, if
8	anything, she planned to do with the
9	file if you could locate it?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Did you ask her?
12	A. No.
13	Q. And at that time did
14	Ms. Lacewell work for the Executive
15	Chamber?
16	A. She did not work for the
17	Executive Chamber but she had been
18	deployed. We were dealing with
19	another COVID surge and she was back
20	present in Albany and working on,
21	you know, various matters to bring
22	additional bandwidth into Chamber.
23	Q. And was she working on
24	anything other than COVID response
25	when she was brought back into

when she was brought back into

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Chamber?
3	A. Yes. I believe that she
4	was also involved in interviewing
5	and hiring for various positions
6	within Chamber.
7	Q. And when she asked you to
8	try to locate Alphonso David's file
9	on Lindsey Boylan, prior to that
10	were you aware of her having any
11	involvement in addressing Lindsey
12	Boylan's tweets or anything along
13	those lines?
14	A. I don't believe I was
15	specifically aware, no.
16	Q. Were you able to locate
17	Mr. David's file?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Where did you find them?
20	A. They were in a storage room
21	that we have on that first floor
22	or second floor of the Capitol.
23	Q. And do you recall when
2 4	Ms. Lacewell made this request of

you in relation to Ms. Boylan's

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	various tweets of December 5th, 8th,
3	13th?
4	A. I believe it was on the
5	13th.
6	Q. And I'd ask you to turn to
7	tab 8.
8	(Exhibit 8, Personnel records
9	re: Alphonso David, marked for
10	identification, as of this date.)
11	Q. And do you recognize these
12	documents?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. What are these?
15	A. These are personnel records
16	that were in a file that was
17	contained in Alfonso's confidential
18	file box.
19	Q. On some of these such as
20	the first page it says, I spoke with
21	ESD, looks like official 2 and ESD
22	official 2 and various things were
23	handwritten. Did it look like that
24	when you found it in Mr. David's
25	office?

1	HIGH	ILY	СО	ΝF	ΙD	ΕN	T	ΙA	L	-	V	1	Tl	ΝE	s	s	(6 /	2	9/2	1	
2		A.		I	di	d	n	οt		s e	e	t	h	9	f	i.	1	е	а	t		
3	the	tim	ıe	th	аt	I		in	it	Ξi	a]	L 1	У	1	0	C	a ·	te	d	L		
4	the	box	b	u t	i	n	m	У	sι	ıb	se	e q	u	e n	t		r	e v	'ni	ew		
5	it r	ela	te	s	to	t	h	is	n	na	tt	tе	r			T]	h	е				
6	docu	men	t	th	аt	I		νi	еv	v e	d	d	lio	d	n	o [.]	t	h	ıа	ve		
7	thes	se m	ar	kі	ng	s	0	n	it	٥.												
8		Q.		Υo	u	sa	i	d	у	ou	1	Ēο	uı	n d		a]	bc	X			
9	You	for	nd	. a	b	οx	: (οf	ľ	1r		D	a	γi	d	٠,	s					
10	conf	ide	nt	ia	1	do	C	um	er	ıt	s	,	i	s	t	h a	a ·	t	W	hat		
11	you	for	nd	?																		
12		A.		Υe	s.																	
13		Q.		Αn	d	di	d	У	οι	1	g	>	t]	hr	0	u	g]	h	t	he		
L 4	box	or	di	d	уо	u	j,	us	t	g	i١	<i>7</i> e	. 1	th	e]	b	K O		to		
15	some	bod	ly	el	se	?																
۱6		A.		I	10	o k	e	d	qι	ıi	сŀ	c 1	У	t	h	r	01	u g	ŗh	L		
17	the	box	: t	0	se	е	i	f	tŀ	ıе	re)	wa	a s		a	:	fi	. 1	e		
18	labe	led	l L	in	ds	e y	•	Во	y]	Lα	n	0	r	L	i	n (d:	s e	y	or		
19	воуј	an	bu	t	di	d	n	οt	1	Ēi	nc	i	01	n e	•]	Βυ	ιt			
2 0	some	one	e e	ls	e	wa	s	a	b]	Lе	1	.0	, :	lo	C	a ·	t	е	i	t.		
21		Q.		Wh	0			so	c	li	d	У	01	ı	i	n,	v :	it	:e	!		
22	some	bod	lу	el	se	i	n	t	0	g	0	t	h	ro	u	g]	h	t	: h	e		
23	box	or	di	d	уо	u	h	an	d	t	h e)	bo	o x		t	0					
2 4	some	bod	ly?		Wh	at	.]	h a	pr	рe	n e	e d	۱?									

Linda was with me.

Α.

25

Wе

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	looked in the box. I didn't see it.
3	I said I don't think it's in here.
4	Melissa Derosa came in my office and
5	she located a blank file that I
6	think was the one she was looking
7	for.
8	Q. And when Ms. Derosa came in
9	to locate the file, did she say
10	what, if anything, she was going to
11	do with the file?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Did she then take the file?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. When is the first time you
16	saw the, these documents or some
17	version of them that didn't have the
18	handwriting on them?
19	A. I believe later, you know,
20	March at some point as we were
21	involved in document production.
22	Q. At any point did you learn
23	strike that.
24	Did you speak to did you

ever speak to Alphonso David about

in Chambers about that issue?

At any time?

Α.

24

	_
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. At any time.
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Who in Chambers did you
5	speak with?
6	A. I spoke with Judy and Linda
7	and I believe some of our press
8	folks as well related to later media
9	inquiries that we received.
10	Q. Who were the press people
11	you spoke with?
12	A. I think Peter Ajemian and
13	possibly Rich Azzopardi.
14	Q. Did you speak to anyone who
15	was not in the Chambers about these
16	memos?
17	A. Are you considering Linda
18	part of Chamber for purposes of this
19	conversation?
2 0	Q. You already mentioned her
21	but did you speak to, for example,
22	Steve Cohen about any of these
23	documents?
2 4	A. I believe that there were
2 5	there were several conversations

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
about larger press stories that
discussed further the release of
these documents and it's possible a
larger group was part of that call.

- Q. Did you speak to anyone else outside of Chambers that would have been part of either a specific conversation or one of these larger conversations?
 - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Did anyone tell you how it came to be that these memos in some form or another got to people in the press?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Who told you and what were you told?
- A. Judy Mogul, she outlined for me the procedure that she had been part of with Linda and Melissa and Rich related to immediately prior to releasing these records.
- Q. And what did she tell you about that?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. That she had consulted with
3	Michael Volforte from GOER and I
4	believe she had also consulted
5	Alphonso David.
6	Q. And did either Ms. Mogul or
7	anyone else tell you why the memos
8	were provided to members of the
9	press?
10	A. No.
11	Q. And is it your
12	understanding strike that.
13	Did anyone tell you whether
14	the Governor was consulted as part
15	of the process of determining
16	whether these memos would be
17	provided to members of the press?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Did anyone tell you whether
20	any members of the press had
21	requested documents such as these?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. What were you told?
24	A. I recall Judy telling me
25	that reporters had requested the

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
documents.
Q. And did she tell you how
the reporters knew to request the
documents?
A. No. I don't think she
specifically told me that.
Q. Did she tell you did she
or anyone else tell you whether any
reporters had made a formal FOIL
request for the documents?
A. I believe that there was
not a formal FOIL. I don't know if
I knew that from Judy or from
another from one of our press
folks.
Q. What were you told Michael
Volforte of GOER was consulted about
with regard to these documents?
A. Volforte.
Q. Volforte, sorry.
A. Whether there was any
confidentiality that attaches to a

Do you know whether he was

Q.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	asked shown the documents or
3	asked about these specific
4	documents?
5	A. I don't.

- Q. And what was your understanding as to whether there was any confidentiality that attaches to personnel records?
- A. A personnel record is not statutorily confidential or private.
- Q. And do you know if this -these memos were part of
 Ms. Boylan's personnel file or
 something else?
- A. The memos were in the counsel to the Governor's confidential file box. I do not believe that Alphonso was in the practice of maintaining personnel records typically so I know they were in a file.
- Q. And the memos say on them attorney-client privileged communications, some of them say

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	intra-agency communication. Did you
3	have any discussion with anyone as
4	to whether as to why documents
5	that were labeled attorney-client
6	privileged communication were shared
7	with members of the press?

- A. No.
- Q. Do you know if anyone spoke to Mr. Volforte as to whether releasing these documents might be considered retaliation under the policy or the law?
 - A. I don't.
- Q. Did you have any discussion when you learned about the disclosure of these records as to whether it could be considered retaliation?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Who did you discuss that with?
 - A. Linda and Judy.
- Q. When did you first have that discussion?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. In response to later press
3	inquiries.
4	Q. And that was in March?
5	A. At some point in March I
6	believe, yes.
7	Q. Did Ms. Mogul or anyone
8	else tell you that as part of the
9	process of determining to release
10	these records to the press that
11	anyone had considered whether it
12	could be considered to be
13	retaliation?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. What were you told?
16	MR. FREEMAN: I'm going to
17	object on privilege.
18	Q. Did anyone tell you whether
19	anyone considered any of the alleged
20	complaints made about Ms. Boylan
21	related at all to any form of
22	discrimination or harassment?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Do you know whether the EEO
25	policy that we went over has any

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	guidelines about keeping complaints
3	of discrimination or harassment
4	confidential?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And what does the policy
7	say with regard to that?
8	A. That complaints should be
9	kept confidential.
10	Q. In fact, if you turn back
11	to Exhibit 4. If you look at page
12	41. Under Confidentiality it says,
13	"Breaches of confidentiality may
L 4	constitute retaliation which is a
15	separate and distinct category of
16	discrimination."
17	Do you know whether anyone
18	spoke to Mr. Volforte about that
19	part of the policy before
2 0	determining to release these records
21	regarding Ms. Boylan?
2 2	A. I don't.
2 3	Q. Turning back to the to
2 4	tab 8 of the documents. Do you know

who redacted certain names and wrote

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	in descriptions in their place?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Did anyone tell you why the
5	names of other individuals were
6	redacted from this document?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Who told you?
9	A. Judy Mogul.
10	Q. What did she say to you?
11	A. That there were redactions
12	made to protect privacy of
13	complaining individuals.
1 4	Q. And did you have any
15	discussion with Ms. Mogul or anyone
16	else as to why these names were
17	redacted but records from
18	Ms. Boylan's file were released to
19	the press?
2 0	MR. FREEMAN: Objection,
21	privilege.
22	Q. Prior to anyone from
23	Chambers providing these documents
2 4	to the press in December of 2020,
2 5	were these documents publicly

	y
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	available?
3	A. Can you clarify publicly
4	available?
5	Q. Let me frame it a different
6	way. Prior to mid-December 2020 do
7	you know if there were copies of
8	these documents anywhere other than
9	the file in Mr. David's office?
10	A. I believe there were.
11	Q. Where were the other
12	copies?
13	A. I believe that Julia had
14	her own copies of her memo.
15	Q. Were you aware as to
16	whether Ms. Kupiec had shared her
17	memos with anyone outside of her
18	office, outside the counsel's
19	office?
20	A. Had I heard or was I aware
21	that she had?
22	Q. Were you aware do you
23	know whether she had kept her files,
2 4	copies of her memos in the file in
25	har affice or whather she had shared

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	them outside of the counsel's
3	office?
4	A. I believe she had shared
5	outside of the counsel's office.
6	Q. And do you know with whom
7	she had shared them?
8	A. With Rich Azzopardi.
9	Q. And do you know when she
1 0	first shared her copies with Rich
11	Azzopardi?
12	A. My understanding is it was
13	at the same time as we located the
1 4	other hard copy file.
15	Q. So is it your understanding
16	that prior to mid-December of 2020
17	Ms. Kupiec had not shared her copies
18	outside of counsel's office?
19	A. I have no personal
2 0	knowledge one way or another.
21	Q. If you could look at tab 9.
22	(Exhibit 9, Wall Street
2 3	Journal article 3/11/2021, marked
2 4	for identification, as of this
2 5	date.)

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. This is a Wall Street
3	Journal article dated March 11th of
4	2021. Were you involved in
5	responding to any press inquiries
6	prior to this article.
7	(Witness reviews document.)
8	A. I don't believe so but the
9	order that inquiries come in and the
10	order they are published is not
11	necessarily consecutive.
12	Q. Was addressing this issue
13	of the release of Ms. Boylan's
14	personnel records the first time you
15	got involved in responding to a
16	press inquiry regarding any of these
17	harassment allegations?
18	A. No.
19	O. Oh, that's right, you

Q. Oh, that's right, you talked about Ms. Bennett before that so we will come back to that.

On the last page there's a statement attributed to you regarding the personnel records that starts with "Certain limited

20

21

22

23

24

	y =
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	exceptions."
3	Do you see that?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Did you draft that
6	statement?
7	A. Yes. In consultation with
8	several other individuals.
9	Q. That was going to be my
10	next question. Who else was
11	involved in drafting this statement?
12	A. Peter Ajemian, Linda
13	Lacewell, Judy Mogul, Melissa Derosa
14	and I think Rich Azzopardi.
15	Q. And it starts, "With
16	certain limited exceptions as a
17	general matter it is within a
18	government entity's discretion to
19	share redacted employment records."
20	What were the limited
21	exceptions you were referring to?
22	A. There are statutory
23	confidentiality provisions applied
24	in certain employment contexts and
25	in certain personnel files. An

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 example, you know, which recently repealed was Section 50A of the Civil Rights law that protected law enforcement personnel records for instance.

- Q. And what did you mean by saying that it's within a government entity's discretion to share redacted employment records?
- A. The FOIL statute has many permissive disclosure provisions.

 So there are -- the general premise of FOIL is that records are generally available unless an exemption protects them. There is an exemption for the protection of personal privacy, which can be interpreted by an agency in a discretionary manner. You can release redacted records or you may withhold the entire record. It's within the entity's discretion.
- Q. And do you know what factors, if any, are considered by

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6	/29/21
the Chambers in deciding how to	ı
exercise that discretion?	

- A. It's a very fact-specific inquiry. You know, what is the requester's purpose and what is the record and what is the personal privacy interest impacted by sharing either, you know, a full record versus a redacted record versus no record.
- Q. And do you know what factors were considered in exercising the discretion to release Ms. Boylan's documents?
 - A. No.
- Q. Can you name any members of the media who specifically asked for the records?
 - A. No.
- Q. So in your statement you referred to including instances when members of the media asked for such public information. Did anyone working on this statement tell you

	_
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	that any members of the media had
3	specifically asked for this
4	information?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Who told you that they
7	have?
8	A. I was told by Judy, you
9	know, with the understanding that
10	she had personal knowledge of that.
11	Q. And it refers to the
12	statement when the media asked for
13	such public information. What did
1 4	you mean by public information?
15	A. Employment records are
16	records of a government entity, and
17	the presumption is that all of a
18	government entity's records are
19	available under FOIL.
2 0	Q. And during the time you've
21	been in Chambers were you aware of
22	any other instances when an
23	employee's personnel records were
2.4	released?

I am not.

Α.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. Did anyone tell you of any
3	instances prior to your arrival when
4	the Chambers exercised their
5	discretion to release any personnel
6	records?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Do you know whether the
9	Chambers never opposed any media
10	requests or denied any media
11	requests for personnel records?
12	A. I don't.
13	Q. Your statement also refers
14	to for the purpose of correcting
15	inaccurate or misleading statements.
16	What was that a reference to?
17	A. To Ms. Boylan's tweets.
18	Q. What did you believe were
19	inaccurate or misleading in her
20	statements?
21	A. Can you please tell me
22	which tab were her tweets.
23	Q. It's tab 6.
24	A. I think there were a couple
25	particularly concerning tweets that

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	she had issued, one of which would
3	be on December 5th where she
4	indicated that she did not sign
5	whatever they told me to sign when I
6	left. And then also on
7	December 13th she talks about her
8	work being very good. And then also
9	on December 5th she talks about that
10	she tried to quit three times before
11	it stuck.

- Q. Is that it?
- A. Yes.
- Q. So where she wrote she didn't sign whatever they told her to sign when she left, how, if at all, did the memos that were released to the press address that point?
- A. There was no nondisclosure agreements signed or unsigned or no acknowledgment signed or unsigned in the records released.
- Q. Correct me if I'm wrong.

 Didn't you testify that Mr. David

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 did not maintain the personnel file, he had a file with these memos. Do you know whether there were any other files maintained by anyone in the Chambers of other documents relating to Ms. Boylan?

- A. I don't.
- Q. So how did releasing these memos about Ms. Boylan disprove that she signed any nondisclosure or other agreement when she left?
- A. I don't think we were attempting to prove it to a degree that you are looking for. I think that we were attempting to demonstrate that there was not an unsigned nondisclosure agreement in the file.
- Q. And did you do anything to determine whether there was any personnel file or other files that might have any paperwork relating to Ms. Boylan that did or didn't have a nondisclosure agreement in it?

1	HIGHLY	CONFI	DEI	NTIAL	-	WITNI	ESS	6/29/	21
2	Α.	Did	I	inves	st:	igate	my:	self,	
3	no.								

- Q. Do you know if anyone else did?
- A. I don't believe that at the time that there were attempts to secure any other files.
- Q. And since you were part of the process under which the files were released, who told you that one of the supposedly inaccurate and misleading statements that the release was designed to counteract was this one about not signing anything?
- A. I believe that was relayed to me by either Judy or Linda.
- Q. And then you said another supposedly inaccurate or misleading tweet that the release was designed to address was on December 13th talking about her work being very good. Who told you that one of the reasons for releasing the file

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	related to the tweets on
3	December 13th?
4	A. It was part of that same
5	conversation I believe that was
6	either Linda or maybe Melissa.
7	Q. And do you know whether
8	anything in the memos that were
9	released talked about Ms. Boylan's
10	substantive job performance?
11	A. Not in those records, no.
12	Q. Were there any other
13	records that had anything like
14	performance evaluations or anything
15	along those lines?
16	A. I'm not certain.
17	Q. Did anyone explain to you
18	how, if at all, the memos that were
19	released addressed Ms. Boylan's
2 0	tweet that talked about her work
21	being very good?
22	A. Could you repeat that
23	question?
2 4	Q. Did anyone who was involved
2 5	in the process leading to the

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 release of the records explain to you how they believed that the release of the records addressed Ms. Boylan's tweet about her work being very good?

A. No.

- Q. And then the third one you mentioned is on December 5th where it says, "I tried to quit three times before it stuck." Who told you that that was one of the statements that the Chamber was seeking to address in releasing Ms. Boylan's records?
- A. Again, I don't believe I have a specific recollection of who made that statement but it was in a conversation with Melissa, Linda, Judy.
- Q. And to your knowledge, is there anything in those memos that talks about whether or not

 Ms. Boylan had talked about or tried to quit on any prior occasions?

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
building announcing loudly to
everyone in the vicinity that she
was quitting but that would she
would always show up the next day.

- Q. And did Ms. Derosa tell you whether she had ever asked
 Ms. Boylan to stay when Ms. Boylan announced that she was quitting?
- A. Yes. I believe that she had in one instance asked her to continue with -- I think she had either -- either a reporter had obtained the text message or Melissa had -- Melissa had relayed her recollection of the text message.
- Q. What text message are you referring to?
- A. A text message where she said something to the effect of please, you know, you are a great member of the team or something similar, in an effort to, you know, assuage whatever issue was ongoing.
 - Q. And did Ms. Derosa tell you

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21

what had led to any communications

that Ms. Boylan announced when she

was quitting?

A. No.

- Q. Did she tell you that one of them followed any sort of arguments with Ms. Derosa?
- A. I don't know that that was the specific genesis of her quitting, no. I don't recall.
- Q. When you spoke to

 Ms. Derosa and she talked about the

 times that Ms. Boylan stormed out

 and said she was quitting, did you

 ask Ms. Derosa why, at that point

 why personnel records were released

 to counteract a statement about her

 quitting before that was apparently

 true?
- A. I don't recall specifically what I said.
- Q. Did Ms. Derosa offer any justification for using that tweet on December 5th as a justification

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
to release Ms. Boylan's records if
she knew that, in fact, Ms. Boylan
had tried to quit before or had
announced she was quitting before?

- A. She reiterated her understanding that it's a very different fact scenario for a candidate for public office to act as if they were forced out by whether it's a hostile work environment or unlawful discrimination versus resigning upon being confronted with complaints of their own harassment of subordinates.
- Q. Did Ms. Derosa then justify the release of the file in part because Ms. Boylan was complaining that she had been subjected to harassment or discrimination?
 - A. No.
- Q. At any point did Ms. Derosa say that the release of the records was in part because -- to counteract

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Ms. Boylan's claim that the Governor
3	had engaged in harassing behavior
4	toward her?
5	A. No.
6	Q. In any of these later

- Q. In any of these later conversations did Ms. Derosa tell you whether she had spoken to the Governor about releasing Ms. Boylan's files before it was done?
 - A. No.
- Q. In your work with

 Ms. Derosa since you've been in

 Chambers, do you know whether it's

 her practice to consult with the

 Governor before issuing any

 significant information to the

 press?
 - A. I don't.
- Q. Prior to the Lindsey Boylan allegations were you involved in dealing with responses to the press on other matters with Ms. Derosa?
- A. Yes.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. And on what sorts of
3	issues?
4	A. Any legislative issues, any
5	policy issue, since, you know,
6	coming into Chamber in August of
7	2019, I have worked on thousands of
8	different press responses and
9	statements.
10	Q. If you could turn to tab
11	10.
12	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Anne,
13	before you do that may I ask a
14	question?
15	MS. CLARK: Absolutely.
16	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Ms.
17	Witness, you told Ms. Clark that
18	you didn't specifically recall what
19	you said to Ms. Derosa in the
20	conversation that related to the
21	release of Ms. Boylan's personnel
22	file. Do you remember generally
23	what you said in that conversation?
24	THE WITNESS: I think I I
25	think I made her clarify her

explanation and then you, I think

MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Thank you.

Q. If you turn to tab 10.

(Exhibit 10, E-mails, marked

for identification, as of this

multiple prior occasions.

date.)

20

21

22

23

HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL	_	WITNESS	6	/29	/21
--------	--------------	---	---------	---	-----	-----

- Q. If you go down to the page that's stamped 634, there is an e-mail from Peter Ajemian that has a slightly different version of the statement but talking about it's within the government entity's discretion to share redacted personnel records. And above that Mr. Ajemian writes, "My recommendation is to break it up and have the first part for Mike Volforte if he would be willing in the second part from Chamber," and then he forwards it again and says, "Here's a tweaked version. will Volforte agree this is accurate should these statements start coming from Mitra?"
- You mentioned Mr. Volforte was with GOERs. What was his position with GOERs?
- A. He's director of the Governor's Office of Employee Relations.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL	-	WITNESS	6 /	′29/	21
--------	--------------	---	---------	-----	------	----

- Q. So you didn't respond regarding the question about Volforte. Did you speak with Mr. Volforte as to whether he agreed that statement was accurate?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And what did you say to him and what did he say to you?
- A. I read the statement to him. He concurred with the statement. I think the rest of our conversation related to whether or not he thought it was advisable for GOER to speak on behalf of the administration or --
- Q. What did you and he say about that?
- A. He felt that the office should continue to be neutral and that objectively his office is not independent of the Governor and would not provide any real independence from our office in speaking publicly about the matter

	_
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	even if he were to do so.
3	Q. And what did you understand
4	him to mean when he said that his
5	office is not independent of the
6	Governor?
7	A. He's appointed by the
8	Governor and serves at the pleasure
9	of the Governor.
10	Q. Do you know who
11	Mr. Volforte reports directly to?
12	A. All agency heads report to
13	the director of state operations.
L 4	Q. And who is that?
15	A. Kelly Cummings.
16	Q. And who does Ms. Cummings
17	report directly to?
18	A. Melissa Derosa.
19	Q. And does Ms. Derosa report
2 0	directly to the Governor?
21	A. Yes.
2 2	Q. Did you speak to
2 3	Mr. Ajemian about your conversation
2 4	with Mr. Bill Volforte?
2 5	A. I believe I did.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. And what did you say, what
3	did Mr. Ajemian say?
4	A. I don't recall specifically
5	the conversation.
6	Q. Do you recall generally
7	what was said between you and
8	Mr. Ajemian?
9	A. I think I relayed some of
10	Mike's concerns and said that I
11	would be fine issuing the statement.
12	Q. And what were the concerns
13	that you expressed?
1 4	A. I was relaying Mike's
15	concerns
16	Q. Oh, Mike's concerns. I
17	thought you said my concerns.
18	Sorry.
19	A. Right, sorry.
2 0	Q. And do you recall what
21	Mr. Ajemian said in response to the
22	concerns that Mr. Volforte
23	expressed?
2 4	A. I don't think he had any
2 5	specific reaction, no.

	Tage 123
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. Did you speak with
3	Mr. Volforte as to whether the
4	release of the file could have been
5	considered retaliatory?
6	A. At that time, no.
7	Q. At any later point, did
8	you?
9	A. No.
10	Q. If you could turn to tab
11	12.
12	(Exhibit 12, E-mails, marked
13	for identification, as of this
14	date.)
15	Q. Another series of e-mails.
16	This one is on March 14, 2021. On
17	the first page toward the bottom
18	there's an e-mail from Melissa
19	Derosa to Peter Ajemian, Linda
20	Lacewell, Jef Pollock, copying you,
21	Stephanie Benton and various other
22	people. Who is Jefrey Pollock?
23	A. He's a political
24	consultant.
25	Q. One of the people cc'd in

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 addition to you is Lis Smith. Who is she?

- A. She's also a political consultant, you know, more focused on communications.
- Q. Also right after her is Josh Vlasto. Who is he?
- A. He also works for a consulting firm and focuses primarily on communications.
- Q. And a few paragraphs below that it says -- there's a paragraph that starts from Rich Azzopardi, senior advisor to the Governor and talks about Ms. Boylan and her lawyers and members of the press reaching out to former members of the Chamber and saying those former members of the Chamber called to let various staff people know and convey they were upset by the outreach.

Back in December of 2020 were you aware of any alleged outreach by Ms. Boylan or anyone else to former

	Tage 127
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	members of Chamber?
3	A. Not in December, no.
4	Q. When did you first learn
5	about any such alleged outreach?
6	A. Sometime sort of in this
7	window of, you know, early to
8	mid-March.
9	Q. Who told you about the
10	alleged outreach?
11	A. I don't recall
12	specifically.
13	Q. Was Mr. Azzopardi one of
1 4	them?
15	A. I really don't have a
16	specific recollection of when or how
17	I came to know that.
18	Q. Did anyone do you recall
19	anyone telling you which former
2 0	members of Chambers supposedly
21	called the Chamber saying that they
22	were upset?
23	A. Not specifically, no.
2 4	Q. In December 2020 were you
2 5	aware of any members of Chamber

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	reaching out to current or former
3	employees in regard to Ms. Boylan's
4	tweets?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. What were you aware of in
7	December of 2020?
8	A. I was aware that there was
9	concern about a number of
10	individuals who were also, you know,
11	former employees or formerly
12	affiliated with Chamber who were,
13	you know, liking or re-tweeting
14	Q. I'm sorry, you broke up for
15	a second. You said who were liking
16	or re-tweeting?
17	A. Lindsey's series of tweets
18	in December.
19	Q. And do you recall who any
20	of the people were who were liking
21	or re-tweeting her tweets in
22	December?
23	A. I remember hearing the name
24	Kaitlin .
25	Q. Any other names?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. No, I don't think so.
3	Q. And did you discuss with
4	anyone as to what, if anything,
5	should or would be done in response
6	to Kaitlin or anyone else
7	re-tweeting or liking Ms. Boylan's
8	tweets?
9	A. I did at some point
10	subsequent to that have a
11	conversation with Judy Mogul.
12	Q. And what did the two of you
13	discuss?
14	A. We I think we were
15	talking about a number of different
16	issues that were ongoing in the
17	office and the topic had come up
18	that she was communicating with
19	, which was her current
20	employer.
21	Q. We will come back to that.
22	Did Ms. Mogul share with you any
23	other former employees that there
2 4	was any concern about any statements
25	they were making or might make in

	Tuge 130
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	the future?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Turning back to tab 12. On
5	the first page you write in response
6	to Melissa Derosa's e-mail, "We
7	don't have to make news with that
8	answer. It can be spun as
9	retaliatory."
10	What were you referring to?
11	A. I don't know. This thread
12	is hard to follow.
13	Okay, I think I have the
L 4	thread now.
15	Q. Okay. So what were you
16	referring to when you said we don't
17	have to make news with that answer,
18	it can be spun as retaliatory?
19	A. So if you go to the very
2 0	last page of this attachment is the
21	first draft of the answer on the
22	subject of Melissa. The very last
23	page. Yeah.
2 4	So this answer said, would
2 5	have us being on the record in

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21

July 2018 talking about behavior

that Lindsey engaged in that while

factual was not documented in any of

those records and would be at this

juncture in March in my view

furthering Lindsey's complaints that

she had already made to this

reporter that weaken the personnel

file in the first instance was

retaliatory.

- Q. And was this sort of mid-March time period the first time you started talking to people about whether the way Chambers responded to allegations could be considered retaliatory?
- A. I don't know whether or not this was the first but we were by this point clearly in a different posture with respect to Lindsey.
- Q. And why were you in a different posture at this point?
- A. The Attorney General inquiry had commenced, had been

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 appointed. She had further outlined her complaints from December and clearly outlined a pattern of conduct which put her more squarely in the shoes of being a complainant as opposed to where in December she had a series of tweets that started with general negative statements about the workplace, general statements about the Governor, the lack of diversity in his office, his sort of genuineness and truthfulness, right. So those series of tweets progressed.

The Medium piece crystallized her complaints publicly at which point our responses to her by law needed to shift and that's what this e-mail is reflecting.

Q. At the time the personnel files were released in December of 2020 it was after Ms. Boylan had used the phrase sexual harassment or something along those lines,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	rage 133
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	correct?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. Had anyone asked you back
5	in 2020, would you have raised any
6	concerns back then about
7	retaliation?
8	A. I honestly can't say.
9	Q. On tab 13.
10	(Exhibit 13, E-mails, marked
11	for identification, as of this
12	date.)
13	Q. A little later but it looks
1 4	like it's still on how to respond to
15	press at this time. There's an
16	e-mail from Peter Ajemian to Melissa
17	Derosa, et al. on March 14th, at
18	9:55 p.m. Says, "Yes on W Beth -
19	two min."
2 0	Do you recall having a
21	conversation with Peter Ajemian that
22	evening?
23	A. Not specifically, no.
2 4	Q. Do you recall anything
2 5	about your conversation with him

HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL	-	WITNESS	6/29/21
that ev	vening?			

A. No.

Q. And you respond a few minutes later saying in part, "Anyway we slice this we can make the retaliation claim here and we should just leave it alone."

What was your concern here?

- A. So based on this e-mail I believe Melissa still wanted to send her original -- based on -- based on my e-mail here I believe what was happening was Melissa wanted to submit her original answer.
- Q. And did you have any discussions with Ms. Derosa about your concerns that issuing her version would be considered retaliation?
- A. It's possible that, you know, in between this series of e-mails that we were all on the phone. It's clear from Peter's e-mail that we were discussing and I

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 may have relayed my concerns to Peter, we may have discussed them as a bigger group. I can't -- I can't recall specifically.

- Q. Do you recall any conversations that Ms. Derosa was part of in which she explained why she wanted to release her version despite your concerns about retaliation?
- A. Melissa believed that

 Lindsey's continued sort of reliance
 on her narrative, which was that she
 left the Chamber, you know, without
 any misgivings about her work were
 mere, you know, factual inaccuracies
 that could be corrected. And that
 this was any other press inquiry or
 any other press story where there is
 inaccurate information or
 information that's not perfectly
 clear or doesn't, you know, portray
 the administration in the best
 light, the normal protocol is to get

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	that corrected, get the true facts
3	into the news story. And it was
4	clear she viewed this as any other
5	news story.
6	Q. Did you explain to her how
7	actions that might be perfectly
8	lawful or normal in some
9	circumstances can become retaliatory
10	in other circumstances?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Did she accept your
13	explanation?
14	A. To be quite honest, I don't
15	recall where the story actually
16	landed and what the statement was.
17	I'd need to see the final.
18	Q. If you turn to tab 14.
19	(Exhibit 14, E-mails, marked
20	for identification, as of this
21	date.)
22	Q. There's a reference at the
23	top in an e-mail from you to a large
24	group of people that you spoke to

Melissa earlier. I think she agrees

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	we shouldn't give news to this story
3	if there isn't any and this
4	statement keeping it to prior
5	statements can create a new exposure
6	re: retaliation. And you write,
7	"Note her tweets re: civil suits."
8	What was the reference to her
9	tweets re: civil suits?
10	A. I don't I don't know
11	looking at it now.
12	Q. If you turn to tab 15.
13	(Exhibit 15, E-mails, marked
14	for identification, as of this
15	date.)
16	Q. This is the next day.
17	Another chain of people. If you
18	could turn to page 3094, which is a
19	text an e-mail from you back on
20	March 14th. You start by saying
21	this is new, we haven't said it and
22	I'm not sure our records reflect
23	this.
2 4	Is that referring again to the
25	statement Ms. Derosa wanted to make

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 about Ms. Boylan supposedly bypassing senior staff, et cetera?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And you write, "It's completely relevant but can also look like we are inventing facts to suit us."

What were you concerned about there?

Α. Look, this is a very fact-specific type of claim and inquiry. And because we have certain records that we had already released that didn't reflect this to come out now and make new assertions about her poor performance, failure to follow protocols, et cetera, all 100 percent accurate and true could look as if they were invented to suit the moment and discredit Lindsey. This is why, you know, personnel records and performance evaluations are important to be kept contemporaneously. We did not have

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	contemporaneous records and
3	therefore my recommendation was we
4	not use this new piece of
5	information.
6	Q. And in the next paragraph
7	you write in part, "We are just
8	pushing back on an anecdote you
9	acknowledged to all of us earlier is
10	true."
11	What were you referring to
12	there?
13	A. Let's see, if we go back to
14	the last page of tab 14 00AG03213.
15	Q. That's the part about the
16	allegation that a convo in which
17	Melissa screamed at her on the
18	phone?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And so Ms. Derosa had
21	admitted to you and others that that
22	anecdote was true?
23	A. Yes.
2 4	Q. You then continue, "We are

just creating new liability for a

1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 2 retaliation claim that is ultimately 3 Gov's personally." What did you mean there? 4 5 Α. In 2018 the legislature 6 enacted Section 17(a) of the public 7 officers law. That provision is an 8 exception to the general rule of 9 indemnification for state officers 10 or employees when the claim relates 11 to sexual harassment in a judgment, 12 final judgment for sexual 13 harassment, which as I understand 14 it, could include retaliation 15 related to sexual harassment. 16 You continue, "So his 17 lawyers should weigh in." Who are 18 you referring to when you say his 19 lawyers? 20 His personal attorneys.

- 21 Rita Glavin and Sharon Nelles.
- 22 Q. And do you know if anyone 23 ever shared this issue with his 24 personal lawyers?
- 25 I did from time to time Α.

HIGHL	Y C	ONFIDE	NTIA	AL -	WITN	IESS	6/29/	21
have	conv	versat	ions	wit	h hi	s p	ersona	1
attor	ney	about	mу	conc	cern	on ·	this.	

- Q. And so you were keeping them apprised about actually what could be potentially viewed as retaliatory?
- A. You know, I don't have a specific recollection of calling Rita about this particular incident but I know I have expressed a concern to her.
- Q. And did Ms. Derosa tell you whether she was talking to the Governor about his view on how to respond to these allegations?
 - A. No.
- Q. In the next paragraph you write, "It's purely our discretion to release records or disclose so motive is what carries this. What did you mean by the "so motive is what carries this"?
- A. That it would be a factual inquiry about what the specific

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 intent behind the addition of this information was.

Q. And then you again talk about is anecdote false? No. It's true. And you said it was true, so it's just us taking a shot at her.

What did you mean by "us taking a shot at her"?

- A. The statement that she proposed providing, did not contest the accuracy of the anecdote.
- Q. And you said this is something that carries -- that creates significant risk. Is that referring again to the risk of a potential retaliation claim?
- A. I thought there was significant exposure based on the answer she wanted to give that was new and different from any existing claim, yes.

MS. CLARK: I think this would be a good time to take a lunch break but before we do that, Jen,

	Page 143
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	did you want to jump in with
3	anything? Okay, maybe we don't
4	have her.
5	Why don't we go off the record
6	and we can talk about how long we
7	need for a lunch break.
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Standby.
9	The time is 12:58 p.m. Eastern
10	Standard Time. We are going off
11	the record and this will end media
12	unit number two.
13	(Luncheon recess taken at
14	12:58 p.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2 0	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2 5	

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	AFTERNOON SESSION
3	(Time noted: 1:46 p.m.)
4	WITNESS 6/29/2021, resumed and
5	testified as follows:
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
7	1:46 p.m. We are back on the
8	record and this will be the start
9	of media unit number three.
10	Counsel.
11	MS. CLARK: Thank you.
12	EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.)
13	MS. CLARK:
1 4	Q. Ms. Witness, did anyone
15	ever share with you a draft of a
16	letter expressing support for the
17	Governor and making any criticisms
18	of Lindsey Boylan?
19	A. No.
2 0	Q. Did anyone ever share with
21	you, either give you a copy or read
22	to you, any draft of any statement
23	just expressing support for the
2 4	Governor after Ms. Boylan made
25	allegations?

	_
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And did you actually
4	were you given a copy or did someone
5	read it to you or something else?
6	A. It was read to me.
7	Q. Who read it to you?
8	A. Alphonso David.
9	Q. And when did Mr. David
10	was this by telephone?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. I assume he had called you?
13	A. Yes.
L 4	Q. When did he call you to
15	read you this statement?
16	A. I could not say with
17	specificity. Sometime I think post
18	the Medium piece. So sometime in
19	that, you know, sort of however many
2 0	day period. I think it was before
21	the Charlotte Bennett complaint
2 2	became public.
2 3	Q. Other than reading you the
2 4	text of the statement, what did
2 5	Mr. David say to you when he called

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 you about this?

- A. He prefaced it by saying that there was desire to do something proactive in support and asked if he could, you know, count on me to be part of the effort and I said it depends on the statement.

 Specifically at which point he read me the statement.
- Q. And what do you recall the statement said?
- A. You know, I was listening at that point very, you know, carefully for any, you know, sort of negative treatment about Lindsey and, you know, didn't hear anything negative or even, you know, a backhanded, you know, anything even with a backhanded reference to complaints by her. But I think it was a defense of the Governor and the work that the office had done and to be honest it sounded fine to me as it was read.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. What did you say to
3	Mr. David after he read you the
4	statement?
5	A. I said that sounds fine.
6	I, you know, would obviously need to
7	see a final before, you know, making
8	sure that what you read me is
9	actually what I'm signing my name
10	to.
11	Q. What, if anything, did he
12	say?
13	A. He said, okay, I'll be back
14	in touch and we never spoke about it
15	again.
16	Q. And did he ever send you a
17	copy of it?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Did he ever tell you who
20	else had agreed to sign onto it?
21	A. I don't think so.
22	Q. Did he tell you whether he
23	was signing onto it?
2 4	A. That was certainly my
25	impression. I don't know if he

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 specifically said that.

- Q. Did he or anyone else ask you to make calls to anyone to get people to sign onto a statement like that?
 - A. No.

- Q. Did you reach out at any point to any former employees to find out if they were aware of any instances of sexual harassment, any ex-employees?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did anyone ever ask you to reach out to talk to any ex-employees to see if they either had experiences or knew of somebody that had experiences that might come forward after Ms. Boylan did?
 - A. No.
- Q. After Ms. Boylan published the Medium piece did you talk to any of the women who worked in Chambers that worked directly with the Governor, briefers, the executive

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
assistants, people like that to see
if any of them had any experiences
that made them uncomfortable with
the Governor?

- A. Not in the form of an inquiry as you captioned it but there did come a time where we had, I'd say we, either Judy or myself spoke with many different groups of individuals to make them aware that, you know, either Judy or myself, I think at this point we had retained Chamber counsel, Mitra and Paul and we said Mitra or Paul would be available if anyone had concerns or questions. This would have been, you know, after the referral had been made to the Attorney General.
- Q. So it was after Charlotte Bennett's allegations had come out?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Was it after you had learned about issues with Brittany Commisso?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. I think prior.
3	Q. Which groups of employees
4	did you speak with?
5	A. I spoke with the schedulers
6	and briefers. I spoke with my staff
7	and I spoke with the Chamber
8	operations staff and I think the
9	constituency group.
10	Q. And in any of those
11	meetings did anyone raise any
12	concerns?
13	A. No.
1 4	Q. After you and Ms. Mogul
15	held those meetings did anyone reach
16	out to you to privately express any
17	concerns?
18	A. I don't think so.
19	Q. Did Ms. Mogul tell you
2 0	whether anyone reached out to her
21	and asked during these meetings to
22	express any concerns?
23	A. No.
2 4	Q. I'm going to turn to
2 5	Charlotte Bennett. Did you ever

	Page 15.
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	work with Ms. Bennett?
3	A. Yes. Yes, I did.
4	Q. And what were the occasions
5	in which you worked with
6	Ms. Bennett?
7	A. In her role as a briefer
8	she often had to get sorry, I'm
9	plugging in.

In her role as a briefer she would compile information that would go to the Governor every night in a book and it would include background memos, news clippings, sometimes talking points for meetings. So I interacted with her on several different matters on various topics, you know, related to legislation or issues I was working on for him.

- Q. How did you find -- what was your opinion of her based on the interactions you had with her?
- A. They were really pretty limited but she always seemed pleasant and cheerful and often, you

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 know, her job involved e-mailing me several times, sometimes calling and texting multiple times to get me to respond if I was busy and she was always very pleasant and cordial and professional in those interactions.

- Q. And did you ever observe her interact with the Governor?
 - A. No.
- Q. Now I think you said, and correct me if I'm wrong, that the first involvement you had regarding her allegations was on a phone call with Mr. Ajemian to a reporter from The New York Times?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And prior to getting on the phone had anyone told you anything about Ms. Bennett's allegations?
 - A. No.
- Q. So how did it come about that you were on a phone call with Peter Ajemian and -- I wrote down the name of the reporter and I

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	misplaced it so?
3	A. Jesse McKinley.
4	Q. Jesse McKinley.
5	A. So I got a phone call from
6	Peter at about 8:30 in the morning
7	the day that the story came out and
8	what he relayed was that there was a
9	story that they had just learned
10	about related to allegations of
11	sexual harassment and he needed
12	someone to be on the phone with him
13	and asked if I would do it.
1 4	Q. And presumably you agreed?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. How long did the phone call
17	last?
18	A. Probably about half an hour
19	or so.
2 0	Q. To your knowledge, did
21	Mr. Ajemian record this
22	conversation?
23	A. I don't I don't believe
2 4	so.
2 5	Q. Did you record it?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. No.
3	Q. What do you recall was said
4	by each of the three of you on that
5	call?
6	A. Mostly the reporter spoke.
7	He went through a lot of, you know,
8	detail that his reporting had
9	revealed.
10	Q. I'm sorry, could you
11	just
12	A. Just trying to get clarity
13	on certain things or
14	Q. Sorry to interrupt but you
15	broke up. So you froze for a
16	minute. So you said he went through
17	various details and then I lost you
18	after that.
19	A. Various details his
20	reporting had revealed. So, you
21	know, everything that was in the
22	story I think, you know, start to
23	finish. And then Peter asked some
24	clarifying questions. I probably
25	asked one or two clarifying

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 questions where dates weren't clear. But that was really the substance. He was asking for a response from the Governor's office and, you know, needed to get that right away because the plan was to publish later that day.

- Q. Do you recall any of the clarifying questions Mr. Ajemian asked?
 - A. Not specifically, no.
- Q. Did the reporter share any allegations that didn't wind up in the story?
- A. I think there was some additional detail that didn't ultimately make it into the final story. Just, again, I don't know specifically. I could not point to anything now but I have a vague recollection there may have been more detail in what he went through.
- Q. And after the call did you speak to Mr. Ajemian?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Did he tell you whether he
4	had heard anything about
5	Ms. Bennett's, any of the
6	allegations raised by Ms. Bennett
7	before?
8	A. He said he was getting on a
9	larger call with Melissa, you know,
10	and several other people and asked
11	if I would join that call.
12	Q. My question is a little bit
13	different. I'm sorry. When you got
L 4	off the call with the reporter did
15	he give you any indication that he
16	had heard any of that before the
17	call with the reporter?
18	A. No.
19	Q. He said he was getting on a
2 0	bigger call and asked you to join.
21	Did you join that call?
22	A. Yes.
2 3	Q. Who was on that call?
2 4	A. Melissa, Judy, Linda, I
2 5	think Lis Smith, I think Josh

Well, you

MR. FREEMAN:

folks.

24

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	certainly could ask questions as to
3	what they said.
4	MS. CLARK: Well, if
5	statements were made in their
6	presence, then those statements,
7	the privilege is waived as to those
8	statements.
9	MR. FREEMAN: It's my
10	understanding that Chambers
11	Chamber claim privilege on that
12	call and we are asserting the
13	privilege on behalf of Chamber.
1 4	MS. CLARK: Okay.
15	Q. I hope we don't have to
16	bring you back, Ms. Witness. Are
17	there any statements that were made
18	during that call that you are
19	allowed to describe?
2 0	A. I think I need to just
21	Q. Do you need to confer with
2 2	your lawyer?
23	A. Yes. Could I, please.
2 4	MS. CLARK: Why don't you just
2 5	mute us yourself and you can talk

Linda, Judy, Melissa,

And a subsequent

think that was it that day.

conversation with Steve Cohen and I

Α.

myself.

22

23

24

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. How many conversations were
3	you part of that day?
4	A. I think probably about six
5	or seven.
6	Q. And were you at any point
7	responsible for investigating any of
8	the allegations that Ms. Bennett
9	made?
10	A. No.
11	Q. And was it at this time
12	that Ms. Mogul shared with you her
13	prior discussions with Ms. Bennett?
L 4	A. Yes.
15	Q. And when The New York Times
16	story was breaking, what was your
17	understanding of what, if anything,
18	had been done when Ms. Bennett
19	raised allegations earlier?
2 0	THE WITNESS: Can I speak as
21	to my understanding?
22	MR. FREEMAN: We need to
2 3	confer.
2 4	MS. CLARK: Go ahead. You can
2 5	mute yourselves again.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	GOER had ever been informed of
3	Ms. Bennett's allegations?
4	A. You broke up for a moment.
5	Can you repeat the question?
6	MS. CLARK: Sorry, I don't
7	know if it's your connection or
8	mine but we keep breaking up on
9	each other.
10	Q. Did you know whether
11	Ms. Bennett's allegations had ever
12	been reported to GOER?
13	A. I know that they were not.
L 4	MS. CLARK: We are having all
15	sorts of technical fun today.
16	Q. It was your understanding
17	it was not reported to GOER, is that
18	what you said?
19	A. Yes.
2 0	Q. Did anyone explain to you
21	why it was not reported to GOER at
2 2	the time?
23	A. Yes.
2 4	Q. What was the explanation?
2 5	MR. FREEMAN: You need to

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	confer.
3	THE WITNESS: I think we need
4	to confer. I'm sorry.
5	MS. CLARK: Okay.
6	(Whereupon, there is an
7	off-the-record discussion.)
8	A. Judy relayed to me her
9	interview of Charlotte on I think
10	they had two separate interactions.
11	And that was the substance of my
12	understanding.
13	Q. Did Judy explain why it was
14	not reported to GOER?
15	A. I believe I can't answer
16	that question without encroaching on
17	the privilege.
18	MS. CLARK: Why don't we turn
19	to tab 27.
20	(Exhibit 27, E-mail, marked
21	for identification, as of this
22	date.)
23	Q. And it looks like
2 4	Mr. Azzopardi forwarded to
25	, copying you, an

HIGHL	Υ	CON	FIDE	NTIAL	-	WI	TNES	SS	6/29	/21
e-mai	. 1	fro	m Br	endan	Lу	on	s.	Ιs	it	
your	u n	der	stan	ding	tha	t	Mr.	Lу	ons	
works	s f	or	The	Times	Un	io	n?			

A. Yes.

Q. And Mr. Lyons writes in part, "Looking at Beth Garvey's statement from last weekend. There is no indication that GOER was notified or that the matter was referred to that office for a formal investigation. Is there a reason that was not done and is it the Chamber's position that Executive Order 187 does not apply to the Executive Chamber?"

First of all, are you familiar with Executive Order 187?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And what is your understanding as to what that Executive Order is?
- A. Executive Order 187 was issued I think back in 2018 and says that in order to consistently and

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
appropriately respond to all
allegations of discrimination,
including sex discrimination and
sexual harassment all state agencies
are required to refer complaints to
GOER for investigation and not to
conduct internal investigations.

- Q. Is it your understanding that that Executive Order applies to the Executive Chamber?
- A. The Executive Order is not exceedingly clear on that point but it's my understanding that we have always treated ourselves as being subject to Executive Order 187.
- Q. Now, which other party sent it to . Who is that?
- A. was an employee who worked in our press office.
- Q. And then you forward it to Linda Lacewell. Why did you forward it to her?
- A. I can't recall specifically but Linda and Judy both had been

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	very, you know, involved in
3	responses.
4	Q. You say they were involved
5	in responses are you talking about
6	press responses?
7	A. Press responses.
8	Q. Did you have a discussion
9	with Ms. Lacewell about this press
10	inquiry after you forwarded it to
11	her?
12	A. I don't recall specifically
13	a conversation.
14	Q. Do you know if anyone
15	responded to Mr. Lyons' question?
16	A. I don't believe so.
17	Q. Do you know what, if any,
18	action was taken strike that.
19	Let me back up a minute. Is
20	it your understanding that
21	Ms. Bennett spoke to Ms. Mogul and
22	Ms. DesRosiers back in June of 2020?
23	A. I believe they had
24	conversation in June and I think
25	again maybe early July just to be

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 specific.

- Q. And if they did not report it to GOER, do you know if they took any action in response to the concerns raised by Ms. Bennett?
- A. I know that she was interviewed and as a product of that interview she sought and received a transfer to another position.
- Q. Is it your view that transferring away a person who has raised concerns about possible sexual harassment is the appropriate way to deal with a complaint?
- A. If a complaint of sexual harassment were made, a transfer would potentially be an appropriate resolution but would certainly not be the only appropriate action.
- Q. And did you understand that Ms. Bennett was reporting potentially sexually harassing behavior to Ms. DesRosiers and Ms. Mogul back in June/July of 2020?

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/2	HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL	_	WITNESS	6	129	121
--------------------------------------	--------	--------------	---	---------	---	-----	-----

- A. I understood that Charlotte
 Bennett had relayed concerns and
 sought a transfer with her
 conversation with Jill DesRosiers
 and Ms. Mogul.
- Q. Did you understand that

 Ms. Bennett had shared with

 Ms. DesRosiers and Ms. Mogul that

 she thought the Governor was trying

 to initiate a sexual or romantic

 relationship with her?
- A. I don't have that specific understanding based on what I know.
- Q. And what do you know that Ms. -- what were the nature of the concerns raised by Ms. Bennett back in June and July of 2020?
- A. That she was uncomfortable continuing to work for the Governor as a briefer. That there had been a series of conversations that, while she did not feel concerned with at the time, in totality looking back she wanted to have a transfer

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 because she felt that comments about him asking her to find him a girlfriend made her uncomfortable.

- Q. Were you aware that he had also talked to her about possible age difference between him and potential girlfriends and said he thought he could go as low as 22 years of age?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you understand that that made Ms. Bennett uncomfortable because she knew the Governor knew she was 25?
- A. I think there was an aspect of that conversation that made

 Ms. Bennett uncomfortable. I don't feel comfortable attributing what specific part of it made her uncomfortable. I wasn't part of the conversation so I'm only aware of a secondhand reading or what I read about in the press.
 - Q. And did you understand, not

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 from the press but from anything you learned within Chambers that

Ms. Bennett had described the Governor asking her questions about her dating history?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And did you understand from what you learned in Chambers that the Governor had asked Ms. Bennett for information about her sexual assault she experienced in college.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you understand that

 Ms. Bennett had said that in one

 conversation the Governor had

 repeatedly said to her you were

 raped, you were raped, you were

 assaulted, you were raped, or words

 to that effect?
- A. I don't know specifically if that was relayed in those conversations. I know it was in The New York Times story. I'm having a hard time distinguishing.

HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL	-	WITNESS	6 /	29/	21

- Q. Did -- were you aware of whether Ms. DesRosiers or Ms. Mogul took any steps after they spoke to Charlotte Bennett in June/July of 2020 to ensure that the Governor did not engage in such conduct with any other women?
- A. I believe that not only was Charlotte given the transfer that she requested but that there was a view that, you know, continued -- that having individuals alone with the Governor for long periods of time should not continue.

MS. CLARK: And, Emily, you can take that down.

- Q. And when there was discussion about individuals shouldn't be alone with the Governor was it all individuals or just female individuals?
 - A. I think -- I think female.
- Q. And did anyone explain to you what the purpose of that effort

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	was to not have women alone for a
3	long period of time with the
4	Governor?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Did anyone tell you that it
7	was to protect the Governor?
8	A. I didn't have any
9	conversation one way or another as
10	to the purpose.
11	Q. And do you know if, in
12	fact, anyone took steps to actually
13	limit the Governor spending any long
14	periods of time alone with female
15	staffers?
16	A. Not specifically, no.
17	Q. Did you ever speak to
18	Stephanie Benton about how the
19	Governor was staffed?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Did you ever ask Ms. Benton
22	any questions about what she
23	observed about the Governor's
24	interactions with any of the women
25	who came forward?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	saying "So Charlotte story getting
3	posted in Times very soon"?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. You wrote, "Sending you
6	what Peter sent to Times." And
7	that's Peter Ajemian?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And responded, "Oh,
L 0	my God." Had you already spoken to
11	her and told her about it at this
12	point?
13	A. Yes.
1 4	Q. You wrote, "Have been on
15	floor all day and OBVI Charlotte has
16	told ."
17	Who is ?
18	A. He's a lobbyist.
19	Q. And how did you know that
2 0	Ms. Bennett spoke to him?
21	A. It's an assumption. In my
22	text I clearly was being a little
2 3	bit glib here. My assumption was
2 4	Charlotte had spoken with this
2.5	lobbyist because there had been some

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
allegation some allegation made
that Charlotte had a story to tell
that had been relayed through the
Albany rumor mill to someone in the
Senate who spoke to Melissa who
asked me who would be speaking to
other people about this.

- Q. And what did you say to Ms. Derosa when she asked you about that?
- A. That I had absolutely no conversations with anyone about anything and, you know, certainly would not have relayed anything external to Chamber.
- Q. And how does that link up to you assuming that Ms. Bennett had spoken to ?
- A. It was just an assumption I made based on their ages. They are relatively of the same sort of peer group.
- Q. Had ever ever worked in Chambers?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. No.
3	Q. And who did he lobby on
4	behalf of, any particular clients?
5	A. No. I mean I wouldn't know
6	specifically. He worked for a firm.
7	Q. Which firm did he work for?
8	A
9	Q. And then said she
10	spoke to them. Do you know who the
11	them was that she was referring to?
12	A. New York Times.
13	Q. So that's what you were
L 4	describing when you wrote "in depth
15	detailed"?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And then further down on
18	the next screen you wrote, "I just
19	feel like I want to tell the staff
2 0	we didn't know until today."
21	Who is the we that didn't know
22	until today?
23	A. Chamber.
2 4	Q. When you say Chamber didn't
2 5	know until today, you meant in terms

	_
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	of her speaking to The Times or
3	about her allegations or something
4	else?
5	A. In terms of the
6	allegations.
7	Q. Well, before that day there
8	were certainly some people in
9	Chambers that knew about the
10	allegations; isn't that right?
11	A. Yes. I think more
12	specifically mean me.
13	Q. After you learned about the
1 4	allegations were you part of any
15	inquiries made to determine whether
16	Ms. Bennett's allegations were true?
17	A. No.
18	Q. You did say earlier you
19	were part of some of the
2 0	MS. CLARK: Emily, you can
21	take that down.
22	Q. You were part of responding
2 3	to press inquiries after that, is
2 4	that accurate?
25	A Yes

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. And were there particular
3	aspects of the Charlotte Bennett
4	story that you were involved in
5	responding to?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. What parts were you
8	involved with?
9	A. Responses to the story.
10	Q. Who else was involved in
11	responding to the stories about
12	Ms. Bennett?
13	A. Multiple people were
14	consulted for various different
15	reasons. Getting Chamber out of
16	Chamber.
17	Q. Who were the people out of
18	Chamber that were involved?
19	A. Josh Vlasto, Lis Smith, Jef
20	Pollock, Danny Lever, you know, to
21	the extent you consider them out of
22	Chamber for clarity Linda Lacewell,
23	Steve Cohen and from time to time
24	Chris Cuomo, the Governor's brother,
25	also was consulted.

HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL	-	WITNESS	6 /	′29/	21
--------	--------------	---	---------	-----	------	----

- Q. And what subjects were discussed when you were part of any conversations that included any of these people, putting aside for now Linda Lacewell and Steve Cohen but that involved Josh Vlasto, Lis Smith, Jef Pollock, Danny Lever or Chris Cuomo?
- A. Conversations with respect to what an appropriate response would be to The New York Times story.
- Q. And do you recall any particular statements or positions that were advocated by any of the people on those calls?
- A. Not with specificity. You know, overall everyone uniformly wanted to treat Charlotte with great sensitivity.
 - Q. And why was that?
- A. I don't think anyone wanted to have any role in re-victimizing someone who was already, you know,

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	once a survivor of a sexual assault,
3	was, you know, an employee, you
4	know, recently of Chamber, and that
5	didn't seem the appropriate course.
6	Q. For any of the calls that
7	included Mr. Vlasto, Ms. Smith,
8	Mr. Pollock, Mr. Lever or Chris
9	Cuomo was the Governor part of any
10	of those calls?
11	A. No.
12	Q. In any of the calls that
13	you were part of that included any
14	of these outside people did anyone
15	say that any of the allegations made
16	by Ms. Bennett were not true?
17	A. I think not true might be
18	too far but not as relayed.
19	Q. What do you mean not as
2 0	relayed?
21	A. To, you know, in the
22	initial interview with Judy.
23	Q. So was Judy the only one
2 4	challenging any aspect of what

Ms. Bennett had told The New York

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Times?
3	A. Judy was the only person
4	who had personal knowledge who had
5	ever had the conversation. So I,
6	you know, certainly don't want to
7	make it appear as if Judy was
8	attempting to malign anyone. I
9	think Judy was speaking factually
10	about her knowledge of what had been
11	relayed.
12	Q. Did anyone share whether or
13	not the Governor was alleging that
14	anything Ms. Bennett had told The
15	New York Times was not true?
16	A. No, I don't think so.
17	Q. Did anyone ever convey with
18	the Governor, said that what
19	Ms. Bennett told The New York Times
20	was true?
21	MR. FREEMAN: This answer
22	we need to confer. This answer
23	could invoke the privilege.
24	MS. CLARK: You guys can
25	confer if it included, you know,

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Chris Cuomo or Josh Vlasto or
3	somebody, I would think the
4	privilege is waived, but I'll let
5	you guys confer.
6	MR. FREEMAN: We are not
7	talking about that.
8	A. I'm sorry, your question
9	was what again?
10	Q. Did anyone convey that the
11	Governor had said that any of the
12	allegations made by Ms. Bennett were
13	true?
1 4	MR. FREEMAN: We need to
15	confer.
16	(Whereupon, there is an
17	off-the-record discussion.)
18	A. Sorry about that.
19	Q. That's okay.
2 0	A. So with respect to the
21	call, there any I'm having a
22	hard time. In your questioning you
2 3	are using the word "false." There
2 4	was a difference in intent. The
2 5	Governor's statement which was

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
public was that there was no intent
as Ms. Bennett relayed. Never an
allegation that it was, you know,
specific statements were false that
were relayed in The Times but the
motivation was not as portrayed.

- Q. And were there -- make sure I understand. Was there any acknowledgment that the statements were, in fact, made by the Governor but he did not intend them in a harassing way or something along those lines, is that your understanding?
- A. Correct. Or in a sexual way.
- Q. And is it your understanding that the intent of the person engaging in the conduct is relevant to determining whether or not conduct constitutes sexual harassment?
- A. No.
 - Q. If you could turn to tab

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. You say Rich, Rich
3	Azzopardi?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Was Rich Bamberger involved
6	in any of the conversations you were
7	part of while we are mentioning
8	Riches?
9	A. It's entirely possible.
10	You know, I would not want to
11	preclude the possibility he was on a
12	call or an e-mail chain but I don't
13	have any specific recollection.
14	Q. And you write in part that
15	Ms. Bennett's concerns were treated
16	with sensitivity and respect. What
17	was your basis for that statement?
18	A. My conversations with Judy
19	where she relayed how she had acted
20	with respect to the concerns.
21	Q. You also write that it was
22	treated in accordance with
23	applicable law and policy.
24	What was that statement based
25	on?

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS	6 /	′29/	21
-------------------------------	-----	------	-----------

- A. Based on our understanding of what had been relayed to Judy.
- Q. And was it Judy who made the determination that it was handled in accordance with applicable law and policy or was that made by this group of people that were working on this statement or something else?
- A. Certainly Judy at the time she made the decision was acting in accordance with law and policy. And there was a determination made in consultation with a larger group that this was appropriate to say in this statement.
- Q. And how was it determined that not reporting it to GOER was in accordance with policy?
- A. What had been relayed for large part was not unwelcome, specifically, you know, a number of the interactions that Charlotte and the Governor had Charlotte

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
characterized as not unwelcome and
perfectly appropriate. And that she
believed she was escalating this
before any unwelcome conduct could
occur

- Q. Was it your understanding that in the conversation in which the Governor was asking Ms. Bennett her age difference and asking her to find him a girlfriend that Ms. Bennett found that conversation to be welcome?
- A. At that time how she portrayed it to Judy was that after that conversation she felt uncomfortable and she would like a transfer.
- Q. And was it your understanding that this conversation that prompted her to request a transfer was unwelcome?
- A. I don't want to assume what her state of mind was.
 - Q. But you understood that

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
that conversation prompted her to
ask for a transfer out of the
Executive Chamber, correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. And the group in February of this year determined that a conversation that prompted somebody to request a transfer in that fashion still was not required to be reported to GOER?
- A. At the time based on how it was described, that was the determination that was made and we are standing by the determination that was made at the time. We are not looking back now based on The New York Times story and second guessing what was relayed or the characterization of those facts.
- Q. But wasn't it Ms. Mogul's understanding back in June or July of 2020 that the conversation about the Governor asking Ms. Bennett to find a girlfriend and the age

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 difference was a conversation that prompted her to request a transfer auto of the Executive Chamber, wasn't that the understanding back in June or July of 2020?

MR. FREEMAN: Objection. How could she know the state of mind of Ms. Mogul?

MS. CLARK: If you could not make -- you can be making objections, certainly not speaking objections.

Q. Did you -- you were conveyed information about what happened in June or July of 2020. In learning of that information wasn't part of the information that the conversation that Ms. Mogul had available to her in June or July of 2020 was that the conversation about finding a girlfriend and the age difference and all that stuff led Ms. Bennett to ask for a transfer?

Α.

Yes.

1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29,	′21
---------------------------------------	-----

- Q. And was it your understanding that when she spoke to Ms. DesRosiers about the conversation and wanting a transfer back in June of 2020, that Ms. DesRosiers took it seriously enough that she arranged for an almost immediate transfer out of the Executive Chamber?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Despite all that in
 February of 2021 the group that
 worked on the statement determined
 they were standing by the original
 determination that it was
 appropriate not to refer that to
 GOER; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Further in the statement it says that no further action was required and determination was made that no further action was required. What was that based on?
 - A. It was again based on the

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 facts available to Judy and that I think simply is intended to denote that referral to GOER was not required in this instance.

- Q. When it says "no further action was required," I think you testified there was some effort made to limit the Governor being alone with women, so there was some determination that something additional was appropriate at that time, correct?
 - A. Yes, absolutely.
- Q. And you also referred to Ms. Bennett's wishes in this statement.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. It's your understanding that under GOER -- under the EEO policy if something needs to be reported to GOER, it needs to be reported regardless of the complainant's wishes; isn't that correct?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And isn't part of the
4	reason for a policy like that is to
5	make sure that other potential
6	victims are protected?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And after Ms strike
9	that.
10	Now, are you familiar with
11	Ms. Bennett's allegation that
12	Stephanie Benton before I move on
13	from here.
14	This statement issued on
15	February 27, '21, says, "The
16	Governor has requested an
17	independent review and all staff
18	will cooperate in that endeavor.
19	Former Federal Judge Barbara Jones
20	will lead the review."
21	When was it decided that Judge
22	Jones was going to lead the review?
23	A. Saturday the 27th.
24	Q. Were you part of
25	discussions as to who should lead

	Tuge 193
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	that review?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And who else was involved
5	in those discussions?
6	A. Judy, Linda, Steve,
7	Melissa. I think that was the group
8	discussing that aspect.
9	Q. Was anyone from outside the
10	Chambers other than Ms. Lacewell
11	involved?
12	A. Well for clarity Steve.
13	Q. Oh, Steve Cohen.
14	A. But also I just want to be
15	a little clear here. The
16	conversations about Judge Jones
17	happened in isolation. Further
18	conversations about the statement
19	happened with a larger group.
2 0	Q. So I'm not talking about
21	the Judge Jones part. So that was
22	just the smaller group you've
23	identified?
2 4	A. Yes.
2 5	Q. Was, you know, Lis Smith or

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Josh Vlasto or Chris Cuomo or any of
3	those people involved in the
4	discussions about Judge Jones?
5	A. No.
6	Q. And who proposed Judge
7	Jones?
8	A. I don't recall specifically
9	who first raised her name.
10	Q. I'm sorry, you what?
11	A. I don't recall specifically
12	who first raised her name.
13	Q. And do you recall any
L 4	discussions about why anyone was in
15	favor of Judge Jones being the one
16	to lead the review?
17	MR. FREEMAN: Objection,
18	privilege.
19	Q. Were you aware that
2 0	Ms. Jones had worked at the same law
21	firm as Steve Cohen at one point?
22	A. I was not aware at the
23	time, no.
2 4	MS. CLARK: You can take that
2 5	down, Emily. Thanks.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. Were you aware of
3	Ms. Bennett's allegation that
4	Stephanie Benton completed the
5	Governor's sexual harassment
6	training for him?
7	A. I was made aware by a press
8	inquiry.
9	Q. After you were made aware
10	in a press inquiry were you involved
11	in determining the truth or falsity
12	of that allegation?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. What was your role?
15	A. I interviewed Stephanie to
16	determine whether or not there was
17	any validity to that allegation.
18	Q. And was that training that
19	was done with one of those paper
20	PowerPoints or was it online or
21	something else?
22	A. Paper PowerPoint.
23	Q. Did you see any, in the
24	documentation regarding the Governor
25	taking the paper PowerPoint

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	training?
3	A. I saw that attestation.
4	Q. Did you speak to the
5	Governor to ask whether he read
6	through the PowerPoint presentation
7	before signing the attestation?
8	A. I did not speak with him
9	directly, no.
10	Q. What did Ms. Benton tell
11	you about the allegation?
12	A. That it was false. He did
13	the training himself. She remembers
1 4	giving it to him and that was it.
15	Q. Did she say whether she was
16	with him when the Governor went
17	through the PowerPoint?
18	A. No. She was not.
19	Q. So she could confirm that
2 0	she gave the Governor the document?
21	A. Yes.
2 2	Q. And then you had the
2 3	attestation but is that the only
2 4	information that you had?
2 5	A. And that he had returned it

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	to her when he said it was complete.
3	Q. Do you know if anyone asked
4	the Governor as to whether he
5	actually read through the training?
6	A. Stephanie spoke with him.
7	Q. And she said that he said
8	he read through it or what did she
9	say?
10	A. That he remembers reading
11	through it, yes.
12	Q. Have you ever worked with
13	Brittany Commisso?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And what is the nature of
16	your work interactions with her?
17	A. She organized testing for a
18	period of time in the Executive
19	Chamber and she was one of the
20	executive assistants who would
21	sometimes cover phones and run
22	errands for the front office staff.
23	Q. When you say the front
2 4	office staff, who is that referring
25	+ - 2

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. So
3	and EA#2 and
4	Melissa and the Governor.
5	Q. And how often did you
6	interact with her?
7	A. Not that frequently. You
8	know, sometimes she would transfer
9	calls. We'd say hello if I was
10	called back.
11	Q. Did you ever observe her
12	interact with the Governor?
13	A. No.
14	Q. When was the first time
15	that you heard that there were any
16	possible issues regarding the
17	Governor's treatment of
18	Ms. Commisso?
19	A. On March 8th.
2 0	Q. And what happened on
21	March 8th?
22	A. Judy Mogul called me and
23	asked to patch me into a call with
2 4	EA #3 and EA #2 .
2 5	Q. And then what happened?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. EA#3 and EA#2 relayed
3	that the Saturday prior Brittany had
4	confided that the Governor had
5	sexually harassed her.
6	Q. Did they provide any detail
7	as to what that harassment entailed?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. What did they say?
10	A. They relayed that it was
11	over a period of time that the
12	Governor would give hugs or make
13	flirty comments that eventually
14	escalated into a particular
15	interaction at the Executive Mansion
16	where he reached under her blouse
17	and groped her breasts.
18	Q. What else was said on this
19	call by you, EA#2
20	EA#3 and Ms. Mogul?
21	A. Well, they went through,
22	you know, the setting, how they had
23	learned of this. It was at a
24	private dinner. You know, a girls
25	night out kind of an event outside

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	of work. That they had noticed that
3	Brittany had been upset over the
4	past few days at work and that
5	Brittany first confided in EA#2
6	and then $EA #3$ at the party, and,
7	you know, we thanked them for making
8	a report and assured them that they
9	had done the right thing and that,
10	you know, we would, you know, be
11	back in touch with them if we needed
12	to talk further.
13	Q. I'm sorry, we are having
14	some technical issues today. You

- Q. I'm sorry, we are having some technical issues today. You said we would be back in touch with them what?
- A. If we need to talk to them more.
 - Q. Did EA#2 or

20 EA#3 express concern about retaliation?

- A. Not on that call I don't believe, no.
- Q. Any later occasion did they?

15

16

17

18

19

22

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. EA#3 did at one point, you
3	know, express concern to me. She
4	said she was, you know, she came to
5	my office and said she was very
6	concerned and I said you absolutely
7	did the right thing, and, you know,
8	this is all going to be fine, you
9	did the right thing.
10	Q. When did she come to your
11	office to express this?
12	A. I think it was, you know,
13	either later that day or the next
L 4	day.
15	Q. In the initial conversation
16	did EA#2 or EA#3
17	express any concerns about keeping
18	their jobs?
19	A. Not that I recall.
2 0	Q. At any later point did
21	they?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Did either EA#2 or
2 4	EA#3 say that Ms. Commisso
2 5	was worried about keeping her job?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. They did.
3	Q. What did they say with
4	respect to that?
5	A. They said that, you know,
6	she needed the job and could not
7	afford to lose her job.
8	Q. What, if anything, did you
9	hear Ms. Mogul say in response to
10	that?
11	A. I don't believe we said
12	anything in response to that.
13	Q. How long did that call
L 4	last?
15	A. About 20 minutes maybe.
16	Q. Did you have any subsequent
17	conversations with EA#2 or
18	EA#3 after that call other
19	than the meeting you just described?
2 0	A. No. Not where we weren't,
21	you know, with outside counsel.
22	Q. After the call with
2 3	Ms. Mogul, EA#2 , EA#3 ,
2 4	what's the next time you had any
2 5	conversation with anyone about this?

1	HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL -	- WITNE	ESS 6,	/29/21
2	Δ	Immediately	after	that	call

Judy and I called Mike Volforte from GOER.

- Q. And what was discussed on that call?
- A. We relayed that we had just received a complaint, that it was, you know, clearly covered by the policy and that we would need to make a referral. We were concerned because of the referral that had already been made to the Attorney General. The referral that had been made to the Attorney General covered not only Lindsey and Charlotte but any future complainants as well.
- Q. And what, if anything, did Mr. Volforte say?
- A. His view was that, you know, one thing, you know, the referral to the Attorney General didn't necessarily eliminate the jurisdiction of GOER. And then, you know, in this instance on these

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	facts a referral to law enforcement
3	would actually be required and not a
4	referral to GOER.
5	Q. Are you trying to say
6	Mr. Volforte was saying to refer it
7	to law enforcement but not to GOER?
•	
8	A. He was not totally clear on
9	the point whether it was law
L 0	enforcement first, simultaneous with
11	GOER. There was some back and forth
12	about holding a complaint, you know,
13	in abeyance while law enforcement
1 4	looked at it.
15	Q. How long was the call with
1 6	Mike Volforte?
17	A. Five or 10 minutes.
18	Q. What was the next
19	conversation you had with anyone
2 0	about the allegations concerning
21	Ms. Commisso?
22	A. Judy and I called Mitra
2 3	Hormorzi who is our outside counsel.
2 4	Q. What was the next call you
25	had after that?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. We called I think
3	Melissa called and got the three of
4	us on the phone. And so we had a
5	conversation with Melissa, I believe
6	Steve Cohen may have also been on
7	the phone. I think Linda was also
8	on the phone.
9	Q. And you said Melissa called
10	you and Judy. Had she already heard
11	about Ms. Commisso's allegations?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Do you know how she heard
14	about it?
15	A. I believe she heard about
16	it through Elkan. Judy had relayed
17	it to Elkan.
18	Q. And what was discussed
19	amongst you, Ms. Mogul, Ms. Derosa,
20	Mr. Cohen and Ms. Lacewell?
21	MR. FREEMAN: Privilege.
22	Q. The day you learned of
23	Ms. Commisso's allegations or the
24	next day did you speak to anyone in
25	the Chamber's press office,

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Mr. Ajemian, Mr. Azzopardi, anyone
3	connected with that without
4	Ms. Commisso?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Who did you talk to?
7	A. Rich Azzopardi came to my
8	office with Peter.
9	Q. And when was that?
10	A. It was either it was
11	either later that day or the next
12	day.
13	Q. And did they say why they
L 4	were coming to your office?
15	A. Yes. Brendan Lyons from
16	The Times Union had contacted Rich
17	and was looking for confirmation for
18	a story that they were going to run.
19	Q. And did Mr. Azzopardi and
2 0	Mr. Ajemian have any sense as to
21	where Mr. Lyons had gotten his
22	information?
2 3	A. They did not. Obviously
2 4	they always try to probe reporters.
2.5	But I don't think they had a real

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	sense one way or another.
3	Q. And did you share with
4	Ms. Azzopardi and Mr. Ajemian the
5	details of what EA#2 and
6	EA#3 shared with you?
7	A. No. I was very annoyed.
8	And I asked them to relay to me the
9	facts that they had been given.
10	Q. And what did they say were
11	the facts they had been given?
12	A. I don't recall
13	specifically. You know, in sum and
14	substance they were it was
15	clearly the same or very similar
16	allegations that had been relayed to
17	us from $EA \#3$ and $EA \#2$ but with
18	significantly more detail.
19	Q. Do you recall what
20	additional detail had been relayed
21	to them that you did not have?
22	A. Things like specifically
23	where they were, the time of year, a
24	little more, you know, color on the
25	interactions. So it, you know,

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 certainly was not identical to the way it had been relayed to us.

- Q. What, if anything, did you say to Mr. Azzopardi and Mr. Ajemian when they shared with you what they had heard?
- A. That this is, you know, obviously confidential, this is someone who, you know, it was clear to us was not looking to be named. She had retained counsel, we knew that. She had already told EA#3 and EA#2 who that counsel was so in addition to attempting to refer the matter to the Attorney General, you know, we had had several conversations with the Attorney General's office.

We had also tried to contact
Brian Premo who was her attorney so
that we could you know, as directed
by the Attorney General, begin to
follow our own process. And so, you
know, I directed Rich and Peter

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	that, you know, I didn't think we
3	could confirm any of this
4	information.
5	Q. And did they tell you
6	anything about any subsequent
7	conversations they had with The
8	Times Union about these allegations?
9	A. Yeah.
10	Q. What did they
11	A. I think they went back to
12	and said, you know, this puts us
13	in a terrible, you know, position.
1 4	This is news to us. And you know,
15	there's obviously a lot of
16	investigations ongoing. But The
17	Times Union was going to run the
18	story anyway.
19	Q. Did you succeed in reaching
2 0	Brian Premo?
21	A. We did. I think it was not
22	until I think we tried to call
23	him on the 8th, that same day. I
2.4	don't think he called up heak until

the 9th.

- HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL WITNESS 6/29/21
 - Q. And you said we, who is we?
 - A. Mitra, Judy and I.
 - Q. And so when you -- so you reached him the next day?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. How long was that call?
- A. About 20, 25 minutes.
- Q. And what do you recall was said by each of the participants in that call?
- Α. You know, one said he wanted to proceed with an EEO complaint. He was, you know, very clear Brittany did not want to be identified. She wanted to keep her job. She was going to proceed with the EEO complaint. That's what he was looking for. He would be talking. In fact, he said I'm talking later this week with her, with the Attorney General. indicated to him that, you know, certainly we were aware of the complaint. We had attempted to

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	refer to the Attorney General. We
3	were directed not that it would
4	not be, you know, appropriate for
5	them to take this over. That we
6	would be required to refer it to law
7	enforcement, to GOER and that, you
8	know, in the first instance a
9	complainant can make the complaint
10	themselves, which in this case not
11	wanting to be identified or anything
12	else we thought Brittany might want
13	to do on her own. And he said he
14	would talk to her about it and then
15	get back to us.

And so, you know, we left it there.

- Q. At the time you spoke to Mr. Premo had The Times Union story come out yet?
 - A. No.
- Q. Was there any talk about the press in your conversation with Mr. Premo?
 - A. I believe he made some

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 statements that he was not looking to go to the press, you know, or have anything appear in the press.

But, you know, I think that was really it.

- Q. And you made reference to communications with the Attorney General's office. Were you part of any conversations with the Attorney General's office?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Who were those communications with?
- A. Since your role had not yet been announced I called Jennifer
 Levy who has been one of my primary contacts there and I said, you know, we just received a new allegation.
 I understand the special deputies haven't been announced yet. How do you want us to proceed. She said she'd get back to us. She had Karen Cacace from the Labor Bureau call us back. Karen Cacace called us back

and, you know, we relayed that we had an allegation. We'd prefer to make it directly to the special deputies if they were going to be appointed, which, you know, she said I think that makes sense, let me confer. I'll call you back. When she called us back she said no, you need to do exactly what you would do otherwise in this situation.

- Q. And did she tell you specifically to go to law enforcement or specifically to go to GOER?
- A. No. She was very careful to just say you must do exactly whatever it is that you would normally do in this situation as if our investigation had not been commenced, as if no referral had been made. Yeah.
- Q. You said she told us. Who else was on the call with you?
 - A. Judy and Mitra.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. Now, did you have any
3	conversations with anyone in law
4	enforcement about this?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And who was that?
7	A. I called at the
8	State Police to get the name of a
9	person at the Albany Police
10	Department where I could make a
11	nonemergency complaint.
12	Q. And did he give you a name?
13	A. He did.
14	Q. What name did he give you?
15	A. He gave me it was the
16	deputy chief, I want to say it was
17	maybe.
18	Q. And did you then speak
19	call or whatever his
20	name is?
21	A. Yes. So we traded phone
22	calls. I think, you know, if my
23	recollection is correct this may
24	have been the 10th because we, you
25	know, were now we had waited for

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Brian to confirm, Brian Premo to
3	confirm he and Brittany would not be
4	making their own complaint to law
5	enforcement and so we should
6	proceed. And I relayed the facts as
7	I understood them to him and gave
8	him the name of Brittany's attorney
9	and said she's represented and I
10	recommend you reach out to her and
11	we are here if you need anything
12	further. I gave him my cell number.
13	O. Was anyone else on the call

- Was anyone else on the call Q. other than you and
 - Α. No.
- Have you had any subsequent conversations with anyone in law enforcement about these allegations?
 - Α. No.
- Were you involved in doing Q. anything to do any fact-finding with regard to the allegations?
 - Α. No.
- Did you take any steps to ensure that Ms. Commisso would not

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY	CONFIDE	ENTIAL	-	WITNESS	6/29/21
be reta	aliated	agains	st?	?	

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What steps did you take?
- Judy, Mitra and I had a Α. phone conversation with Melissa and Stephanie where we assured them that we have to make this complaint per all of the policies and per the directives, you know, that we had received. No -- in no uncertain terms could anyone be treated any differently as they would have been the day before, you know, you became aware of this. I think Judy actually said, you know, everyone needs to be treated as if they are fairy princesses. And -- go we made absolutely clear there should be no retaliatory conduct.
 - Q. Did you make clear that that should extend to EA#2 and EA#3 as well?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you do any follow-up to

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	see how if they were being
3	treated appropriately thereafter?
4	A. I did not specifically
5	follow up. I do believe I told
6	EA#3 if anything you know, if
7	anything happened that she should,
8	you know, tell me when she came to
9	my office but I did not specifically
10	go and follow up, no.
11	Q. Did you take any steps to
12	ensure that the Governor would not
13	engage in any conduct like what
14	Ms. Commisso was alleging took
15	place?
16	A. I believe that I believe
17	that Judy had a conversation
18	specifically with respect to that
19	with Melissa.
20	Q. And what, if anything, did
21	Judy tell you about that
22	conversation?
23	A. Just that she had relayed
24	that no one should be alone with him
25	at the mansion.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. And did Ms. Mogul say what
3	if anything, Ms. Derosa said in
4	response?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Did you ever speak to
7	Ms. Commisso about what had
8	happened?
9	A. No.
10	Q. Did you review any
11	documents to determine if there was
12	any corroboration for any of the
13	allegations as you understood them?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Did you ever view
16	Ms. Commisso's personnel file after
17	you received the complaint?
18	A. Not that I recall. It may
19	have been responsive to the
20	subpoena. And it may have been
21	showed to me in the course of the
22	production but I did not
23	specifically request to see it.
2 4	Q. Did you ever speak to the
25	Governor to ask if the allegations

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	were true?
3	A. Specifically Chamber is not
4	entitled or authorized under the
5	Executive Order to investigate. I
6	did have conversations with the
7	Governor about this but not for the
8	purpose of any investigation we were
9	conducting.
10	Q. What were the purpose of
11	the conversations you had with the
12	Governor?
13	MR. FREEMAN: This is
1 4	privileged.
15	MS. CLARK: The broad purpose.
16	Q. I'm not yet asking about
17	what was said but what was the
18	reason for you speaking to the
19	Governor about these issues?
2 0	A. To make him aware of the
21	process.
22	Q. When you say the process,
2 3	what process are you speaking about?
2 4	A. Various law enforcement
2 5	invostigation your invostigation

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	GOER's investigation.
3	Q. And to your knowledge,
4	what, if any, investigation, has
5	GOER been undertaking regarding
6	Ms. Commisso's allegations?
7	A. I don't understand anything
8	with respect to their process that
9	they are undertaking on this.
10	Q. Has anyone told you whether
11	they are undertaking any
12	investigation?
13	A. No.
1 4	Q. Was anyone else present
15	when you spoke to the Governor about
16	explaining the processes that are
17	going on with all these various
18	investigations, et cetera?
19	A. No.
2 0	Q. In the course of those
21	conversations did you ask the
22	Governor whether the allegations
23	were true?
2 4	MR. FREEMAN: Same objection.
2 5	Privilege.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. If you turn to tab 25.
3	(Exhibit 25, E-mail to Steve
4	Cohen, marked for identification,
5	as of this date.)
6	Q. And this appears to be an
7	e-mail from you to Steve Cohen on
8	March 10, 2021, at 5:44 p.m.
9	And it looks like the first or
10	most of the substance of the
11	e-mail is you quoting from
12	something. What is that that you
13	are quoting from?
L 4	A. This is the Executive Order
15	187.
16	Q. And why were you sending
17	that to Mr. Cohen?
18	A. I presume because he had
19	requested the specific authority for
2 0	why we determined it had to be
21	reported.
22	Q. Was Mr. Cohen, had he been
23	opposed to reporting it to GOER?
2 4	A. No.
2 5	Q. The last paragraph, that's

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	not a quote from the Executive
3	Order, correct?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. And it says, "This is a
6	crime, so if reported this way to
7	GOER they would say this, this is
8	conduct which constitutes a crime
9	and needs to be reported to law
10	enforcement and we will take your
11	report and hold it in abeyance
12	unless they pursue or conclude their
13	investigation."
14	What was that?
15	A. That was my
16	characterization to Steve of the
17	Brittany Commisso complaint.
18	Q. And is this after he had
19	already spoken to Mike Volforte at
20	this point?
21	A. Yes. We had spoken with
22	him on March 8.
23	Q. And so is this reflecting
24	what Mr. Volforte said to you?
25	A. Yes.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. This is a crime, what were
3	you referring to as a crime?
4	A. Groping.
5	Q. And since you came to Mike
6	Volforte had you done anything to
7	determine whether those policies
8	actually to hold knowing in
9	abeyance, to hold the report in
10	abeyance instead of reporting it to
11	law enforcement?
12	A. Other than speaking with
13	the head of the agency who relayed
14	this information to me, no.
15	Q. If you could turn to tab
16	19.
17	MR. KIM: Can I ask a
18	follow-up question?
19	MS. CLARK: Absolutely.
20	MR. KIM: All right. Hi, this
21	is Joon Kim. Nice to see you. I
22	have been on video and audio mute.
23	When you reached out to Steve
24	Cohen what's your understanding of
25	his role?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	THE WITNESS: That he's an
3	attorney that the Chamber often
4	consults with on various legal
5	matters.
6	MR. KIM: So in your mind when
7	you are consulting when you are
8	sending him these this document
9	and talking to him is he acting in
10	as counsel to the Executive
11	Chamber?
12	THE WITNESS: I certainly
13	considered our communications
14	generally to be privileged. I
15	would prefer not to try to
16	characterize what his ethical
17	obligations were with respect to
18	who he thought his client was.
19	MR. KIM: And so when he's
20	giving you advice in your mind is
21	he giving you advice with the
22	Executive Chamber as his client or
23	the Governor or both?
24	THE WITNESS: I believe both
25	in many instances.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	MR. KIM: That's not something
3	that you had discussions with him
4	about?
5	THE WITNESS: At the time we
6	were speaking, no.
7	MR. KIM: How about ever?
8	THE WITNESS: Through the
9	course of this investigation the
10	various attorney/client
11	relationships and privileges have
12	been a significant point of
13	contention and we have discussed
14	them.
15	MR. KIM: And what is your
16	view now of whether Steve Cohen was
17	acting as counsel to the Executive
18	Chamber or the Governor?
19	MR. FREEMAN: I think we are
20	getting into privilege here.
21	Privilege upon privilege upon
22	privilege.
23	MR. KIM: Your understanding
24	her understanding of who Steve
25	Cohen represents? What's the

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	standard for privilege law to say
3	who the client is, who the lawyer
4	represents?
5	MR. FREEMAN: I'll withdraw
6	the objection as to that question.
7	THE WITNESS: Could you just
8	restate your question, please.
9	MR. KIM: Who did you
10	understand Steve Cohen's client to
11	be?
12	THE WITNESS: At the time I
13	made these communications or now?
14	MR. KIM: Let's start with at
15	the time.
16	THE WITNESS: At the time I
17	believe Chamber.
18	MR. KIM: How about now?
19	THE WITNESS: As I sit here
20	now, I think likely the Governor.
21	MR. KIM: And in your mind
22	in your mind is that the same
23	thing?
24	MR. FREEMAN: I'm going to
25	direct my client not to answer.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	MR. KIM: Okay. Thank you.
3	MS. CLARK: Emily, you can
4	take that down and you can put up
5	tab 19.
6	MR. FREEMAN: Excuse me, can
7	we take a very short break? We
8	called for help with our air
9	conditioning and they just arrived
10	and
11	MS. CLARK: Absolutely. I
12	think my office is losing its air
13	conditioning too so maybe it is
14	Con-Ed as Ms. Witness suggested
15	earlier. I'm a little warm myself.
16	Why don't we take ten minutes.
17	Let's go off the record.
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Standby.
19	The time is 3:27. We are going off
20	the record.
21	(Whereupon, there is a recess
22	in the proceedings.)
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
24	3:46 p.m. We are back on the
25	record. This will be the start of

	rage 220
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	media unit four.
3	Q. I'm going to ask you to
4	take a look at tab 19 in the binder.
5	(Exhibit 19, Brittany Commisso
6	complaint, marked for
7	identification, as of this date.)
8	Q. Do you recognize this
9	document?
10	A. I do.
11	Q. What is this?
12	A. This is, was the complaint
13	form that I filed on behalf of
1 4	Brittany.
15	Q. Under supervisor name
16	Ms. Grasso was Ms. Commisso's
17	supervisor?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. There's a second level
2 0	supervisor named .
21	Who's what's his or her position?
22	A. She became chief of staff
23	filling that role in an acting
2 4	capacity initially after
2 5	Q. We are getting like a

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	little freezing again.
3	A. After Jill DesRosiers's
4	
5	Q. Is Ms. DesRosiers returning
6	to Chambers to your knowledge?
7	A. It's my expectation that
8	she was not.
9	Q. And who told you that?
10	A. Jill.
11	Q. Did she tell you why?
12	A. On multiple occasions she
13	referred to her as
1 4	she was going to not return after
15	her .
16	Q. And did she say why she
17	wasn't going to return after her
18	?
19	A. No.
2 0	Q. And at the top where your
21	information is I see it says Work
22	Schedule Days/Hours Monday through
23	Sunday 9 to 5 plus. Is that a
2 4	reference to
2 5	A. Yes.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. One of the reasons you are
3	leaving?
4	A. That is an accurate
5	portrayal of my calendar, yes.
6	Q. Did you draft this
7	document?
8	A. I did.
9	Q. Did anyone else review it
10	before you submitted it to GOER?
11	A. No.
12	Q. And did Mr. Premo or
13	Ms. Commisso ever tell you they
14	wanted you to file this with GOER?
15	A. Mr. Premo, again, had
16	indicated to us he wanted to speak
17	with the Attorney General's office
18	and he wanted to proceed civilly
19	through an EEO complaint and that he
20	had no interest in this
21	administrative process. We
22	explained to him that our policy did
23	not permit us to respect that.
24	Q. So it is your understanding
25	that regardless of Ms. Commisso or

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	her attorney's interest in filing
3	with GOER that you had an
4	independent obligation to notify
5	GOER?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. And if you go down to the
8	next page. And after describing
9	what EA#3 and EA#2
10	told you, you describe your
11	conversation with Mr. Premo and the
12	Attorney General's office and
13	outside counsel. Why did you
14	include all that sort of follow-up
15	information in the document?
16	A. To make clear the status to
17	GOER of all of the different parties
18	that they would need to interact
19	with to undertake their
20	investigation.
21	MS. CLARK: You can take that
22	down, Emily.
23	Q. Did GOER ever ask you for
24	any additional information about

Ms. Commisso's complaint?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. They have not.
3	Q. Have you heard from anyone
4	that GOERs has spoken to anyone else
5	about the complaint?
6	A. I have not.
7	Q. After the conversation you
8	testified to a bit earlier in which
9	Mr. Azzopardi and Mr. Ajemian came
10	to you about what the questions
11	they received from The Times Union
12	were you involved in any, dealing
13	with any press inquiries regarding
14	Ms. Commisso's allegations?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. What was your role?
17	A. Reviewing the statement
18	that was proposed, reviewing the
19	context and making edits or
20	suggestions as appropriate.
21	Q. And was it one statement or
22	more than one statement that you
23	were involved with?
24	A. There were multiple
25	inquiries once the story in The

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Times Union broke.
3	Q. And over what period of
4	time have you been involved in
5	addressing press inquiries regarding
6	Ms. Commisso?
7	A. From the beginning of our
8	awareness of the complaint.
9	Q. Are you still involved in
10	responding to press inquiries
11	regarding Ms. Commisso?
12	A. Yes. If there were any new
13	inquiries.
L 4	Q. After the initial story
15	broke were there any subsequent
16	inquiries from the press, after the
17	first round?
18	A. I think multiple.
19	Q. And other than regarding
2 0	the original allegations, what
21	subjects have you fielded press
22	inquiries regarding?
23	A. Any subject.
2 4	Q. And which ones regarding
2 5	Ms. Commisso have you been involved

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 in responding to?

- A. We had many follow-up requests about, you know, what we were undertaking, you know, as far as an investigation and what -- you know, we had gotten follow-up inquiries from Brendan Lyons about, you know, conversations she's had with her ex-husband that get relayed to us for comment. So there's been a number of different inquiries.
- Q. What inquiries has Brendan Lyons made regarding conversations between Ms. Commisso and her ex-husband?
- A. There was an inquiry he made to us about a statement her ex-husband made to her that he -- he heard that Governor Cuomo's office was digging for dirt on her and said something to the effect of he wasn't going to help us. And then we were asked to comment about whether or not we were digging for dirt.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. And did you or someone on
3	behalf of Chambers respond to these
4	inquiries from Mr. Lyons?
5	A. We referred the question,
6	in this case, to the Governor's
7	personal attorneys.
8	Q. Have you ever spoken to
9	Ms. Commisso's ex-husband are about
10	her?
11	A. No.
12	Q. Have you heard of anyone
13	else from Chambers speaking to
L 4	Ms. Commisso's ex-husband about her?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Have you made any inquiries
17	to anyone about the status of
18	Ms. Commiso's marriage?
19	A. No. Not specifically that
2 0	I recall.
21	Q. Did you ask anyone whether
22	they were ?
23	A. I asked Lauren at one point
2 4	based on a comment that she had made
2 5	where she had pointed out she wasn't

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	certain
3	
4	Q. And when you say Lauren, is
5	that Lauren Grasso?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Why were you interested?
8	A. It was in the context of
9	our attempts to establish the facts
10	relative to this investigation.
11	Q. And what was the relevance
12	of the status of her marriage to the
13	investigation?
14	A. There was a comment that
15	had been made that I believed Lauren
16	had indicated that
17	. And I asked the
18	question in the context of a
19	privileged conversation I believe
20	with our outside counsel and Lauren
21	Grasso where she was being
22	debriefed.
23	MR. FREEMAN: Objection.
2 4	Q. Have you had any
25	nonprivileged conversations about

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	the status of Ms. Commisso's
3	marriage as it may or may not relate
4	to the investigation?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Has anyone passed along any
7	information about Ms. Commisso to
8	you?
9	A. No.
10	Q. Have you heard any rumors
11	about anything relating to her
12	divorce?
13	A. There are I think always
1 4	rumors going around in Albany. I
15	think that was, you know, the fact
16	of her divorce is I think widely
17	commented on in Albany given who her
18	ex-husband is.
19	Q. And who is her ex-husband?
2 0	A. He works for the local
21	Albany government and her
22	is a former city
23	official.
2 4	Q. And has anyone conveyed to
2 5	you any rumors about her divorce

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	that relate in any way to the
3	investigation?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Do you have you ever
6	worked with Alyssa McGrath?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And in what context have
9	you worked for Alyssa McGrath?
10	A. She's an executive
11	assistant and she sometimes assists
12	EA #3 and EA #2 .
13	Q. Who does she primarily work
1 4	for?
15	A. She was primarily working
16	for Larry Schwartz when he was doing
17	the vaccine and other COVID
18	response.
19	Q. Did you everybody observe
2 0	Ms. McGrath interact with the
21	Governor?
22	A. No.
2 3	Q. At some point did you
2 4	become aware that Ms. McGrath was
2 5	making allegations of sexual

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	harassment by the Governor?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. How did you first learn
5	about it?
6	A. Again, my friend Peter
7	Ajemian called me to speak with a
8	New York Times reporter relating to
9	allegations that were going to be
10	made public.
11	Q. Was it the same reporter?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And prior to Mr. Ajemian
14	inviting you to that phone call had
15	you heard anything about Ms. McGrath
16	and the Governor?
17	A. No.
18	Q. How long did the call with
19	the reporter last?
20	A. About 20, 25 minutes maybe.
21	Q. And what do you recall was
22	said by you, Mr. Ajemian and
23	Jesse
24	A. McKinley.
25	Q McKinley?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. He relayed that Alyssa
3	McGrath was making a claim of sexual
4	harassment against the Governor.
5	That she was specifically alleging
6	that it was sexual harassment. That
7	she was also represented by counsel.
8	That there was no allegation of
9	physical touching but general sexual
10	comments that were unwelcome. He
11	gave some specific examples and I
12	think we asked if there were other,
13	you know, any other allegations
14	against anyone else in the Chamber
15	and he said no. And we said we'd
16	get back to him.
17	Q. And after the call ended
18	did you talk to Mr. Ajemian about
19	the call you just had?
20	A. We got a larger group on
21	the phone.
22	Q. And who was in this larger
23	group?
24	A. This was I think Melissa,

Rich, Linda, Judy and I believe we

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	attorney trying to, you know, make
3	sure they knew what our process was
4	and that was really it.
5	Q. And what was your was
6	anyone when you spoke to
7	Mr. Volforte was anyone else
8	involved in that conversation other
9	than you and him?
10	A. I think it was just the two
11	of us.
12	Q. And what did you how
13	long was that call?
1 4	A. Probably about 15 minutes.
15	Q. What did you say, what did
16	he say in that call?
17	MR. FREEMAN: I'm going to
18	object. Privilege.
19	Q. Did you ever connect with
2 0	Ms. McGrath's attorney?
21	A. We exchanged e-mails. I
22	don't believe we ever connected via
23	phone.
2 4	Q. And you said that you
25	described to her the process. What

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 did you describe to her?

- A. I told her that since our client was alleging sexual harassment, that pursuant to Executive Order 187 we'd be required to refer this to GOER for GOER to do an investigation. And that she could either make the complaint or we could -- would still have an independent obligation to make the complaint on her behalf.
- Q. And did she ever respond as to whether Ms. McGrath wanted to make a complaint directly?
- A. He said that she did not understand how it was in her client's interest. She asked for specific information about what our intent was really with respect to pursuing a process parallel to the Attorney General's investigation.

 And I think, you know, I responded, you know, that I did not have the authority to change that policy.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. Did she ask you any
3	questions about GOER's authority?
4	A. I don't recall
5	specifically.
6	Q. Were you involved in any
7	efforts to determine the
8	truthfulness or not of Ms. McGrath's
9	allegations?
10	A. You know, in the sense of
11	conducting an investigation, no. In
12	the response to the article we had
13	internal communications about an
14	appropriate response to the article
15	including whether any details should
16	be refuted.
17	Q. And in the interim
18	discussions was there any basis for
19	refuting any of her allegations?
20	A. Given that counsel was part
21	of those conversations I think our
22	statement ultimately with respect to
23	that establishes the outcome.
24	Q. And did you then file a
25	GOER complaint on behalf of

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Ms. McGrath?
3	A. I did.
4	Q. And if you turn to tab 20,
5	is that the one you filed concerning
6	Ms. McGrath?
7	A. Yes.
8	(Exhibit 20, GOER complaint
9	re: McGrath, marked for
10	identification, as of this date.)
11	Q. And to your knowledge, has
12	GOER undertaken any investigation?
13	A. No.
L 4	Q. Do you know why GOER has
15	not undertaken any investigation?
16	A. I'm sorry, I do not know
17	whether or not they have.
18	Q. In the second page in the
19	narrative section you just make a
2 0	reference to The New York Times
21	story. And then you say, "The
2 2	individual seems to be alleging
23	sexual harassment."
2 4	After you read The New York
2 5	Times story did you have any doubt

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS	6/29/21
as to whether Ms. McGrath was	
alleging sexual harassment?	

- A. It stated clearly in the article that she was alleging sexual harassment.
- Q. Have you learned any additional information regarding Ms. McGrath's complaint since you filed with GOER?
 - A. No.
- Q. Have any steps been taken to your knowledge to protect

 Ms. McGrath from retaliation?
- A. As with, you know, prior complainants we spoke with Melissa and Stephanie, to reiterate the need to guard against any retaliation for Alyssa given her role in the front office. I believe at the time we got this complaint Larry was no longer present in the Chamber on a daily basis and so I don't believe that we spoke with him.

MS. CLARK: Emily, you can

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	take that down.
3	Q. With regard to staffing the
4	Governor. Has he been working out
5	of the Capitol, out of the mansion
6	or someplace else?
7	A. Primarily out of the
8	mansion.
9	Q. And has anyone been
10	providing him any sort of
11	administrative support at the
12	mansion?
13	A. I don't believe so.
14	Q. If the Governor wants to
15	dictate something how is that being
16	handled while he works out of the
17	mansion?
18	A. I believe either Stephanie
19	does it or I think that from time to
20	time individuals are working on
21	documents in the Capitol.
22	Q. Is he dictating things by
23	phone and then people work on it
24	from the Capitol or something along
25	those lines?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. I'm not really sure
3	specifically.
4	Q. Do you know whether that
5	arrangement is for some set period
6	of time or is it indefinite that the
7	Governor has been working in the
8	mansion and support staff will stay
9	at the Capitol?
10	A. I don't believe that I have
11	ever been part of any conversations
12	related to that.
13	Q. Earlier today we had a
14	reference to Kaitlin . Did you
15	ever overlap with her?
16	A. In the Chamber, no.
17	Q. In the Chamber.
18	When she was in the Chamber
19	and you were in other government
20	roles did you ever interact with
21	her?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Prior to December of 2020
24	had you ever been involved in any
25	discussions with anyone in the

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Executive Chamber about Kaitlin
3	?
4	A. No.
5	Q. When did you first have any
6	discussion about Kaitlin ?
7	A. After the tweets. So at
8	some point later in December,
9	had related to me that
10	she had been asked if she knew who
11	Kaitlin was because of her
12	engagement with Lindsey Boylan's
13	tweets.
14	Q. And what was Kaitlin 's
15	engagement with Lindsey Boylan's
16	tweets?
17	A. I don't remember if she
18	liked it or re-tweeted it but it had
19	been noted that she was supporting
20	of Lindsey's allegations.
21	Q. And at the time that
22	Kaitlin was supportive of
23	Ms. Boylan's allegations she was no
24	longer working for the Chamber,
25	correct?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. Why was anyone within the
4	Chambers concerned about Kaitlin
5	supporting Ms. Boylan?
6	A. I think only to the extent
7	that if it was, in fact, a former
8	employee, could it be someone who
9	additionally had allegations.
10	Q. And before you spoke to
11	anyone outside of the Chambers did
12	you speak to anyone within the
13	Chambers, and for these purposes
14	I'll include Ms. Lacewell and
15	Mr. Cohen about Kaitlin ?
16	A. In December of 2020?
17	Q. Yeah.
18	A. No.
19	Q. Did anyone, Ms. Lacewell or
20	anyone else tell you that they were
21	checking out Kaitlin 's LinkedIn
22	profile?
23	A. No.
24	Q. You made reference earlier
25	to speaking to somebody at

ΗIG	HLY CONF	IDENTIAL	- WITNESS	6/29/21
how	did you	come to	speak to	
som	ebody at		about Kait	lin

?

A. time I think it was the acting president at the had a concern about Kaitlin making an allegation and wanted to discuss that as it related to her time in Chamber not her role at the concern that the concern the concern the concern the concern that the concern the concern that the concern

I spoke to very, very briefly, maybe, you know, just one, two minutes so that she could relay that information and I said I'm going to refer you over to Judy Mogul. And I referred her over to Judy. I believe that though this was much later, this was, you know, maybe even March, February or March.

- Q. And what did say say to you about what say Kaitlin had alleged or was alleging?
- A. She did not give me any specifics.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. And after you referred
3	to Ms. Mogul were you
4	involved in any further discussions
5	about Kaitlin ?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. What conversations were you
8	involved in?
9	A. Kaitlin 's name was
10	raised in some press inquiries.
11	Q. And was that at a later
12	time period?
13	A. I think soon thereafter.
14	Q. And what was your role in
15	responding to press inquiries
16	regarding Kaitlin ?
17	A. I participated in the phone
18	conversation where, you know,
19	statements were laid out by, you
20	know, our press staff that were
21	going to be in a subsequent news
22	article to assist in formulating an
23	appropriate response.
24	Q. And was anyone other than
25	people from the press office

	Tuge 200
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	involved in those responses?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Who else was involved?
5	A. Judy, Linda, Melissa. I
6	can't recall specifically if anyone
7	externally was involved.
8	Q. If you could turn to tab
9	21.
10	(Exhibit 21, E-mail, marked
11	for identification, as of this
12	date.)
13	A. I'm ready.
14	Q. Do you recognize this
15	e-mail chain?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. What is this?
18	A. This is one of the typical
19	sort of press inquiries where we get
2 0	a set of, you know, comments really
21	from a reporter and then the press
22	office gives a proposed response for
23	us to review.
2 4	Q. You responded, in part,
25	good. So you were okay with this

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 response?

- A. Yes. I don't know how much
 -- I mean obviously this was a
 thread and there was a lot of
 editing going through.
- Q. Do you have any understanding as to who source A was?
- A. I don't really know. I can't -- source A is on the car parts and I think that is Kaitlin.
- Q. And above source A there's two paragraphs that I think relate to the same person. One says this person was recommended for a job that had recently been available by her employer at the time as well as former counsel/congressional officials. She was hired based on her recommendations and past experiences. And after the Governor taking photos she was not hired based on her experience. The salary she requested from how she presented

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
it to us matched both what she was
making at her current job plus the
money she would lose by not being
able to take second weekend job. Is
that part in reference to Kaitlin
?

- A. I don't know that that's specifically linked to source A the way that I read this thread. But it is my understanding that that fits Kaitlin 's -- I don't know anything about the photo specifically but that -- there was an allegation that she had made that she felt she was hired for her looks.
- Q. By the way, speaking of photos, have you ever seen any photos of the Governor with either Brittany Commisso and/or Alyssa McGrath?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What such photos have you seen?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. There was Brittany's
3	Facebook photo, her profile photo
4	was a picture of her and the
5	Governor for quite a long time.
6	Q. And were you Facebook
7	friends with Ms. Commisso?
8	A. No, but because we had a
9	number of mutual friends it would
10	continually pop up as a you may know
11	this person.
12	Q. And where was the photo
13	from, was it from an event or the
14	office or something else?
15	A. It looked like an
16	office-related event.
17	Q. Did you ever see any photos
18	with the Governor standing between
19	Ms. McGrath and Ms. Commisso with
20	his arms
21	A. That's the photo that I'm
22	referring to. It's the two of them
23	in the picture.
24	Q. When you saw that photo,
25	did you have any concerns about

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	where the Governor's hands were
3	placed?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Has the Governor ever taken
6	any photos with you where he's
7	placed his hand on sort of above
8	your waist below your breast line?
9	A. I have taken pictures with
10	him and he frequently puts his arm
11	around people.
12	Q. And when he's taken a
13	picture of you where has he placed
14	his hand when his arm has been
15	around you?
16	A. I guess my waist. I never
17	particularly noticed.
18	Q. Did he ever place his hands
19	anywhere on you when taking a photo
20	that made you uncomfortable?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Getting back to this
23	exhibit do you do you ever ask
24	anyone how strike that.
25	Do you know which role

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Kaitlin was hired into?
3	A. I believe that she was some
4	sort of executive assistant. I
5	don't really know specifically what
6	her title was.
7	Q. And did you ever obtain
8	information as to how her salary
9	compared to that of other executive
10	assistants?
11	A. I did not.
12	Q. Did you ever ask anyone?
13	A. With respect to I'm
14	trying to see if it's in this e-mail
15	chain or not.
16	I believe I only had
17	conversations with Melissa and
18	others who were there at the time
19	that told me that her salary was
20	reasonable, which is reflected in
21	this first on background paragraph.
22	Q. Did you ever review Kaitlin
23	's personnel file?
24	A. No.
25	Q. There's a number of

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 statements regarding source A but then looks like answers in all caps. So the first one is about she wore a red plaid shirt to the office. The Governor told her she looked like a lumberjack. In responses, if this happened it was clearly a joke. Do you know where that answer came from?

- A. I believe that's coming from Melissa.
- Q. Do you know if she was asking the Governor about his recollection of events in order to provide these answers?
 - A. I don't.
- Q. The second incident refers to source A asked the Governor whether he wanted her personal cell phone number in order to reach her if he needed anything outside of work hours. The Governor responded as if she were making a romantic advance which was not source A's

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 intent. The response is no memory of this conversation but if it happened it was clearly a joke.

Is it your understanding that Ms. Derosa wrote that as well?

- A. Based on this chain it appears that way to me.
- Q. Do you know whether she asked the Governor whether he had a recollection of this event?
 - A. I don't.
- Q. The next paragraph refers to rumors that the Governor's administration would prevent staffers who wanted to leave his office from securing other jobs.

 And the response states that that's an old rumor from early days and that, in essence, the Chamber supports people who want to move on.

Had you ever heard anything about anyone having any issues leaving Chambers or leaving as quickly as they would like to leave?

HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL	-	WITNESS	6 /	′29/	21
--------	--------------	---	---------	-----	------	----

- A. You know, I know that it was common to ask people to stay. I never heard anyone express any particular concern about it.
- Q. Did you ever hear of anyone having calls made to thwart job offers at other state entities?
- A. At other state entities? I mean not specifically.
- Q. Did you ever hear of any calls being made to prevent anyone leaving Chambers to go to any private entity?
- A. No. I mean look, on the state entity I get calls from agencies who say, you know, we want to hire X person. It happened with one of my assistant counsels about a month ago and I said yeah, I have no concern, we just need to get through session so if we can revisit this conversation, you know, after the end of June, that would be ideal.

 So you know, I have certainly had

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	conversations about timing of
3	departures with respect to my staff.
4	I'm sure that's common across the
5	enterprise. Because we do have
6	really important things that these
7	individuals are often almost solely
8	responsible for.
9	Q. And when did you get this

- Q. And when did you get this call about one of your staff members about possibly moving to another agency?
 - A. It was

called me about

- a month ago.
- Q. And have you heard back from her now that we are coming to the end of June?
 - A. No, I haven't.
- Q. Have you heard of anyone else in Chambers, you know, getting a call like that and just answering no, I don't want this person to leave or words to that effect?
 - A. I never heard of a scenario

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY	C	ONFIDE	ENTIAL	-	WIT	INESS	6/29/21
where	we	said	absolu	ıte	ely	not.	

- Q. Have you ever heard people in Chambers talk about not telling anyone within Chambers where they are going because they are afraid that they will lose their job opportunities?
 - A. No.
- Q. Earlier you testified about having conversations with Alphonso David and Danny Lever and other people who left Chambers. How often does Chambers call upon ex-employees to help with matters?
- A. Frequently. I mean especially over the last, you know, 16, 18 months. It's been very frequent that we have relied on individuals who previously left.
- Q. Are there any ethical restrictions on bringing in former employees to help on matters?
- A. With respect to public officers level?

${ t HIGHLY}$	CONFIDENTIAL	-	WITNESS	6 /	′29/	' 2 1
---------------	--------------	---	---------	-----	------	-------

- Q. That or any other ethical quidelines.
- A. I'll certainly defer to Lou on, you know, any quoted professional responsibility because I think you are asking a question in the generic but generally the public officers law does have a revolving door ban that would say for someone who was acting as a public official, that they would be subject to a two-year bar upon their departure from Chamber. But it's not to say that you can't call someone for advice or to consult with on a particular matter that they may have handled.
- Q. And if it's not something that they handled while they worked in Chambers, is it your understanding that it can potentially violate that revolving door bar?

MR. FREEMAN: You know --

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 objection, relevance and objection it's calling for an opinion and I know lawyers can give an opinion but this is an opinion that would be required to be answered by an expert.

MS. CLARK: I'm just asking
Ms. Witness as somebody who's been
trained on the ethical
requirements.

- Q. You said you took that training, you got a big binder of policies and such, did you ever go through training on the ethical obligations that include things like the revolving door ban?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And based on your understanding from your trainings is the -- if someone who has been out of the Chambers less than two years is a consultant on something that's not something they worked on in Chambers, is that a potential

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	violation of the rule?
3	MR. FREEMAN: If you know.
4	A. Give me that hypothetical
5	again. Someone has left Chamber,
6	they were an employee. So they were
7	an employee. They were a public
8	officer within the meaning of the
9	public officer's law. They left.
10	It had not yet been two years since
11	they departed and were they
12	consulting on a matter that they did
13	handle or didn't?
14	Q. They did not handle. It's
15	something that they did not handle.
16	It is a brand new matter but someone
17	would just really like to get that
18	person's opinion on this new issue.
19	MR. FREEMAN: I object.
20	Q. Go ahead.
21	MR. FREEMAN: Go ahead.
22	A. Is your hypothetical
23	related to someone appearing in a
24	private capacity or as a volunteer
25	of Chamber?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. What does it mean to be a
3	volunteer of Chamber?
4	A. I have a feeling you are
5	trying to address our Executive
6	Orders related to volunteers. Is
7	that what you are
8	Q. I am not but if there's a
9	specific Executive Order related to
10	volunteers that you are referencing,
11	just let me know what that is about.
12	A. We issued some Executive
13	Orders related to the pandemic to
14	relax
15	MR. FREEMAN: We need to
16	confer.
17	MS. CLARK: Does this relate
18	to privilege?
19	MR. FREEMAN: It may be.
20	MS. CLARK: Okay. If it is
21	related to the privilege but
22	Q. Let me first ask because I
23	don't think this relates to
2 4	privilege. What were the substance
25	of the executive orders that were

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 issued regarding volunteers during to the pandemic?

- A. We issued an Executive
 Order in the course of COVID
 response so that we would
 specifically be able to bring in
 individuals with particular
 expertise in state government, not
 COVID necessarily, but in state
 government without subjecting them
 to a new two-year bar. What your
 hypothetical is addressing is
 something very different it sounds.
- Q. Yes. I was not addressing that -- that Executive Order. But somebody that was not about restarting the bar for them but just that they were consulted on something that was not a continuation of something that they worked on before and was within two years, is that potentially a violation of the rule?

Okay.

We need

MR. FREEMAN:

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	to confer.
3	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
4	(Whereupon, there is an
5	off-the-record discussion.)
6	THE WITNESS: Sorry about
7	that.
8	MR. FREEMAN: First, I'm
9	objecting, this is beyond the scope
10	of the referral. This is not about
11	an investigation related to sexual
12	harassment. This is a labor
13	question. And it's beyond the
14	scope.
15	MS. CLARK: We disagree that
16	it's beyond the scope because the
17	scope is not just sexual harassment
18	allegations but the surrounding
19	circumstances which includes the
20	responses to those allegations and
21	therefore we do consider this very
22	much within the scope.
23	MR. FREEMAN: Well, you know
2 4	from our experience today that this
25	is the first time I made such an

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 objection because it's not related to the referral.

Now, it's also not something that my client can answer but she'll -- she'll answer by saying that.

MS. CLARK: Well, let's hear what her answer is. I was -- I was about to move on anyway but go ahead, let's see what she says.

- A. The specific fact pattern and hypothetical here is something that is triggered and I don't want to walk in any way into some sort of subject matter waiver on matters that have been before me, could be before me and I don't feel in any way qualified to opine on this hypothetical without further research or consultation.
- Q. And is that subject an issue within the purview of JCOPE, J-C-O-P-E?
 - A. As a general matter

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	enforcement of certain provisions of
3	the public officer's law are within
4	JCOPE's purview, yes.
5	Q. I'm going to get back to
6	the document now. On the second
7	page, page 819
8	MS. CLARK: You can scroll to
9	the next page, Emily.
10	Q it says, "The Governor
11	asked Source A about her dating
12	life. And the response was the
13	Governor asks men and women in the
14	office about their lives."
15	Is that response something by
16	Ms. Derosa?
17	A. Again, it appears that way
18	to me based on this thread. I have
19	no specific knowledge whether she
20	wrote it or not.
21	Q. Was there any discussion
22	about whether a young woman working
23	in the Chamber might feel
24	particularly uncomfortable having
25	the most senior official in state

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	ask about her dating life?
3	A. I'm sorry, could you just
4	repeat the first part of that?
5	Q. Sure. Was there any
6	discussion about whether a young
7	woman working in the Chamber might
8	feel uncomfortable having the
9	Governor, the most senior person in
10	the administration, ask her about
11	her dating life?
12	A. I don't recall such a
13	discussion.
14	Q. Do you understand how a
15	young woman might be uncomfortable
16	having the Governor ask her about
17	her dating life?
18	A. I understand that a lot of
19	people are uncomfortable when the
20	Governor addresses them in, you
21	know, almost I would never second
22	guess someone saying that they are
23	uncomfortable about any particular
2 4	question or comment or concern.

Have you heard other people

Q.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	express concern about the Governor
3	asking them about their dating
4	lives?
5	A. Never except in these press
6	inquiries.
7	Q. The next paragraph says,
8	"She recalls that the Governor
9	seemed to enjoy this dynamic and
10	asked her about 'the mean girls.'"
11	And the response says in part, "This
12	is silly and we question if this
13	would be written about men."
14	Did you understand that Source
15	A or Kaitlin was saying that
16	the Governor himself had made
17	reference to a group of women as the
18	mean girls?
19	A. I don't really understand
20	what this is.
21	Q. Did you ever hear the
22	Governor refer to any women in the
23	office as the mean girls?
2 4	A. No, I don't think I did.

Did you hear anyone else in

Q.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	the office refer to any group of
3	women within the office as the mean
4	girls?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Who have you heard make
7	that reference?
8	A. I had heard Melissa use
9	this reference. I had heard Jill
10	use the reference. So I was aware
11	that it had been used as a reference
12	to describe them.
13	Q. So you heard both
14	Ms. Derosa and Ms. DesRosiers refer
15	to a group that included themselves
16	as the mean girls?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Do you know the context in
19	which either of them used the term?
20	A. My recollection is it was
21	not in a it was not anything that
22	they thought was a good moniker.
23	They were not embracing the moniker
24	but were saying, you know, almost
25	sarcastic, all right, I forgot, we

sarcastic, all right, I forgot, we

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	are the mean girls.
3	O. And who did you did you

- Q. And who did you -- did you have an understanding as to who was included in the mean girls?
- A. I mean not specifically because I don't know that I, you know, this group does not really seem to make a whole a lot of sense the way it's made up here. I didn't know that Linda was a social companion of Melissa or Jill or Stephanie but I understood it to mean more social companions than just coworkers.
- Q. And did you ever hear that, of anyone other than Ms. Derosa,
 Ms. DesRosiers and Ms. Benton being lumped in with a group that was referred to as mean girls?
 - A. I think Annabelle.
- Q. Did you ever hear about Ms. Lever being referred to as part of the mean girls?
 - A. Not specifically, but I,

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	you know, I, you know, I heard it
3	said I can believe that. Who knows.
4	I don't remember.
5	Q. Turning back to the first
6	page your portion at the top. You
7	write, "Also Judy asked if we should
8	run by Mitra and Paul," that's a
9	reference to outside counsel?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And you said, "I think no,
12	they will not know facts and if we
13	have overnight we can walk through
14	it but it needs to go tonight."
15	Why did you think it wasn't
16	A. If it needs to go tonight.
17	Q. What's that?
18	A. If it needs to go tonight.
19	Q. Was it a matter of timing
20	you were suggesting of running it by
21	outside counsel?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Were you involved in any
2 4	other responses to the press about

Kaitlin ?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. I might have been. I don't
3	recall specifically.
4	Q. Have you had any further
5	conversations with anyone at
6	about Kaitlin since the one you
7	described with that
8	couple months?
9	A. I did have conversations
10	with our general counsel related to
11	their preservation requirements and
12	with respect to a subpoena that they
13	ultimately received.
L 4	Q. Any other conversations
15	between you and since the
16	one with a few months
17	back?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Have you ever met Anna Ruch
2 0	or Ruch, R-U-C-H?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Did you attend Gareth
2 3	Rhodes's wedding?
2 4	A. No.
2 5	Q. When did you first hear of

	-
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Ms. Ruch?
3	A. I think from one of our
4	press staff.
5	Q. And was it Mr. Ajemian or
6	somebody else?
7	A. I can't recall
8	specifically.
9	Q. And were you involved at
10	all in responding to any press
11	reports about it?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. What was your involvement?
L 4	A. I was, I think, given the
15	query from a reporter and they
16	attached the Instagram post that
17	Anna Ruch, Ruch that the
18	complainant made to help in
19	formulating a response.
2 0	MS. CLARK: We are getting
21	some sort of weird noises on the
22	line now but we heard that or I
2 3	did. Did the court reporter get
2 4	that? Ms. Ruggieri?
2 5	THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. And before responding to
3	the press did you talk to anyone to
4	get any information about what
5	happened at Mr. Rhodes's wedding?
6	A. Prior to seeing it from the
7	press, you know, inquiry, no.
8	Q. After you got the press
9	inquiry did you do anything to
10	determine what happened at
11	Mr. Rhodes's wedding?
12	A. No.
13	Q. I'd ask you to turn to tab
14	22.
15	(Exhibit 22, E-mail, marked
16	for identification, as of this
17	date.)
18	Q. And this is at the bottom
19	an e-mail from Jesse McKinley on
20	March 1st to Richard Azzopardi and
21	Peter Ajemian referring to the
22	article about Anna Ruch that then
23	gets forwarded from Peter to
24	Melissa, Linda, Judy, you, Steve
25	Cohen, copying Josh Vlasto, Danny

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
Lever, Rich Azzopardi, Lis Smith,

Jefrey Pollock. At this point in
time was this group reviewing all
the press inquiries or was there
something particular about this one
that led to such a wide involvement
of outside people?

- A. I could not say.
- Q. At the top you write, "I think we need to lean in to we have an ongoing review now, a referral has been made, no further comment on this or related matters."

What did you mean by that?

- A. I meant more assertive than a no comment -- more assertive than just a no comment. It would be good to warn off additional inquiries.
- Q. And what were you proposing be said to ward off additional inquiries?
- A. No further comment on this or related matters to put people on notice we were done commenting.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. You sent this e-mail. Did
3	you have any discussion with either
4	anyone in this group or anyone else
5	about that approach?
6	A. I think I consistently
7	reiterated this position several
8	times.
9	Q. And was there anyone who
10	disagreed with that approach?
11	A. I think generally speaking
12	there were individuals who felt
13	specific allegations needed to be
L 4	addressed one by one.
15	Q. Were you part of
16	discussions at any point as to
17	whether any of the Governor's
18	statements responding to the
19	allegations were having a negative
2 0	effect on the public perception of
21	what happened?
22	MR. FREEMAN: Could you repeat
2 3	the question, please?
2 4	MS. CLARK: Sure.
2 5	Q. Were you part of any

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	discussions about whether any of the
3	statements the Governor was making
4	about the allegations were having
5	any negative effect on the public
6	perception of what had happened?
7	MR. FREEMAN: Object to the
8	discussions which would be
9	privileged.
10	Q. Well, did you have a
11	discussion about that topic with
12	anyone? And then we will find out
13	who you had the discussion with, if
14	at all?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. With whom did you have such
17	discussions?
18	A. The Governor, Melissa, Lis
19	Smith, and Jef Pollock.
20	Q. Were those conversations
21	with that entire group or different
22	conversations with different people
23	in that group?
24	A. Those three individuals and
25	myself.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. You listed the Governor and
3	three other individuals. Was the
4	Governor involved in conversations
5	with you, Melissa Derosa, Lis Smith
6	and Jef Pollock or was it some
7	subset of that?
8	A. I was part of a
9	conversation, the Governor, myself,
10	Melissa, Liz and Jeff.
11	Q. And when did that
12	conversation take place?
13	A. I could not say
14	specifically.
15	Q. And what was discussed in
16	that conversation?
17	A. We discussed several
18	matters related to the investigation
19	and it implicated your question in
20	that comments were made about public
21	perception.
22	Q. And Ms. Smith and
23	Mr. Pollock are not attorneys,
24	correct?
25	A. Correct.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. And they don't work in
3	Chambers, correct?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. So what was discussed in
6	this conversation you had with this
7	group of people?
8	A. I believe that content of
9	that conversation is privileged.
10	Q. And what is your basis for
11	claiming privilege over a
12	conversation that included
13	MR. FREEMAN: We need to
14	confer.
15	Q. Let me just finish my
16	question. The privilege you need to
17	confer about is that if this
18	conversation includes two people who
19	are not employees and not Chamber
20	not attorneys and not Chamber
21	employees, I'd like to know the
22	basis for the privilege but you can
23	confer with your lawyer about the
2 4	privilege.
25	(Whereupon, there is an

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	off-the-record discussion.)
3	MR. FREEMAN: Can you hear me?
4	MS. CLARK: Now I can.
5	MR. FREEMAN: All right. The
6	basis for the privilege claim is
7	the Governor asked witness to
8	advise him in a meeting and the
9	people who weren't lawyers were
10	there as consultants and witness
11	was advising the Governor and the
12	consultants. And the conversation
13	is privileged and I'm directing her
L 4	not to answer.
15	Q. Was Ms. Smith retained by
16	the Executive Chamber to provide
17	consulting services to the Chamber?
18	A. I don't believe so
19	formally, no.
2 0	Q. Was Mr. Pollock or his
21	company retained to provide services
22	to the Executive Chamber?
23	A. I don't believe so
2 4	formally, no.
2 5	Q. Was there some sort of

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	you keep saying not formally. Was
3	there an informal consulting
4	arrangement?
5	A. I believe that they were
6	called upon to consult with some
7	regularity. My understanding is
8	that they were viewed as agents of
9	the Governor for purposes of this
10	conversation.
11	Q. And how long had they been
12	called upon to provide advice?
13	A. Since prior to my joining
14	Chamber.
15	Q. And do you know if either
16	of them are paid for the services
17	they provide?
18	A. I don't.
19	Q. And you say to your
20	knowledge is there any written
21	agreement with either Ms. Smith or
22	Mr. Pollock or his company?
23	A. I don't believe so.
2 4	Q. And is it your

understanding that they were

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 consulting to the Chambers or to the Governor personally or to something else?

A. I don't have a definitive view on that. I'm not certain what their state of mind was and I'm not comfortable answering for them.

MR. FREEMAN: And it's my belief, my good faith belief that Chamber counsel would invoke the privilege and we are invoking it consistent with their, my belief, that Chamber counsel would invoke the privilege and I'm directing my client not to answer. I understand you need to make a record and that's fine.

- Q. When was this conversation that you had with the Governor, Melissa Derosa, Lis Smith and Jefrey Pollock?
- A. I'm not certain but it was sometime, you know, mid-March.
 - Q. Have you been part of any

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 conversations with the Governor other than this one that included Ms. Smith, Mr. Pollock, Mr. Vlasto, Chris Cuomo, anyone like that that included the Governor?

A. No.

- Q. How many conversations with the Governor have you been part of since the Lindsey Boylan Medium story came out?
- A. Dozens. You know, we also negotiated a budget in the middle of this. So there were multiple conversations on a variety of topics with him.
- Q. How many conversations have you had with the Governor where any allegations of harassment or the response to the allegations have come up since the Lindsey Boylan Medium story?
 - A. Maybe a dozen.
- Q. And I think you told me earlier that -- were any of them

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	one-on-one?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. How many were one-on-one?
5	A. Maybe half.
6	Q. When is the last time that
7	you had a conversation with the
8	Governor that included as one of the
9	topics the allegations or the
10	response to them?
11	A. Monday.
12	Q. And was anyone else present
13	for that conversation?
14	A. Stephanie.
15	Q. During the time you've been
16	in Chamber have there been any other
17	subjects on which the Governor has
18	brought in Ms. Smith to consult?
19	A. I believe that she was
20	brought in when we were having
21	issues with the Trump administration
22	on Trusted Traveler.
23	Q. Anything else?
24	A. I think that's it.
25	Q. And have there been any

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	other topics on which the Governor
3	has consulted with Mr. Pollock?
4	A. Not where I have been
5	included in the conversations.
6	MS. CLARK: By the way, Emily,
7	you can take that document down.
8	Q. Did you at any point become
9	aware of someone named Valerie
10	Bauman making any allegations?
11	A. That name is not familiar
12	to me.
13	Q. Were you involved at all in
L 4	responding to any allegations made
15	by female reporters?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. What involvement did you
18	have in responding to those
19	allegations?
2 0	A. With respect to, you know,
21	all of the press inquiries I think
2 2	we had received a series of
2 3	statements that we were being asked
2 4	to respond to by a reporter.
2 5	Q. If you turn to tab 23.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	(Exhibit 23, E-mail, marked
3	for identification, as of this
4	date.)
5	A. Okay.
6	Q. Do you know what this
7	e-mail chain is regarding?
8	A. It looks like it's a press
9	inquiry but it's not completely
10	clear from this which inquiry it is.
11	It looks like it's a Ronan Farrow
12	New Yorker article.
13	Q. On the first page about
14	two-thirds of the way down there's
15	an e-mail from you that says in
16	part, "I think her point in the
17	article isn't that it would have
18	been weird to be hired but that it
19	happened after one interaction. Do
20	any of these fit that mode?"
21	And then Ms. Derosa responds,
22	"Certainly Don Kaplan and Brian
23	Conybear," Conybear,
24	C-O-N-Y-B-E-A-R.
25	Did you know anything about

	luge 292
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	the circumstances of their hiring
3	Mr. Kaplan or Mr. Conybear?
4	A. I did know Don Kaplan and I
5	know that he had relayed to me that
6	he was hired after one interaction
7	with the Governor.
8	Q. Did he tell you what that
9	one interaction was?
10	A. That he was called to do an
11	interview one-on-one with the
12	Governor where he said the Governor
13	asked most of the questions, and he
L 4	was a reporter I think at the New
15	York Post, and the Governor asked
16	most of the questions and he was
17	book in the office like two hours
18	maybe after that and someone was
19	saying, you know, we'd like to offer
2 0	you a job if you'd come work for us.

- Q. Do you know how experienced Mr. Kaplan was at the time?
- A. I think he was pretty, a pretty seasoned reporter.
 - Q. Did you know anything about

21

22

23

24

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	the circumstances of Brian
3	Conybear's hiring?
4	A. No, not personally.
5	MS. CLARK: Emily, you can
6	take that down.
7	Q. Who is ?
8	A. He is a doctor who we hired
9	to work at Department of Health. He
10	works at Department of Health now.
11	We hired him to be deputy secretary
12	for health.
13	Q. And at any point did you
1 4	hear an allegation that he had
15	referred to anyone in the Chamber as
16	a bitch or something similar?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. What did you hear about
19	that?
2 0	A. I heard from Senior Staffer #1 that
21	she had heard rumors externally that
2 2	she had been called a bitch by
2 3	and she asked that
2 4	we, you know, discipline him
2 5	appropriately and that he should

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	immediately be moved out of Chamber.
3	Q. And when you say that she
4	ask that we discipline him, who was
5	she directing this to?
6	A. I think, I believe Jill
7	DesRosiers was on the phone as well.
8	Q. And she said she wanted him
9	removed from the Executive Chamber?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And what, if anything, did
12	you do after Senior Staffer #1 made this
13	phone call to you?
14	A. We had to first gather some
15	facts. And so we had to try to
16	determine whether or not the rumor
17	that Senior Staffer #1 had heard was accurate.
18	So I made several phone calls, one
19	to who she said had
2 0	relayed the rumor to try to figure
21	out if I could determine the
22	firsthand source.
23	Q. And who is ?
2 4	A. He's a lobbyist.
2 5	O. And what did he tell you?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. That yes, he had relayed
3	that to Senior Staffer #1 because his client,
4	had relayed it to him.
5	Q. And what, if anything else,
6	did you do to determine the accuracy
7	of the rumor?
8	A. I spoke to try to
9	determine his source who he relayed
10	was .
11	Q. Who is ?
12	A. She's Executive Deputy
13	Commissioner at Department of
L 4	Health.
15	Q. And did you speak to her?
16	A. I think at this point we
17	had, and I had looped in Judy
18	Mogul and I believe that Judy spoke
19	with .
2 0	Q. And did Ms. Mogul report to
21	you what had said?
2 2	A. Yes. That it was a
2 3	conversation where had made
2 4	the comment to her and several
2 5	other

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	MR. FREEMAN: I'm going to
3	object based on privilege. And I'm
4	also not sure of the relevancy.
5	MS. CLARK: You are allowed to
6	make the privilege direction.
7	Q. Did you ever speak to
8	about whether he had
9	referred to Senior Staffer #1 as a bitch?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And what did he say?
12	A. He said that he had.
13	Q. Did you speak to anyone
14	else about the allegation?
15	^A · Errata - "No."
16	Q. Was removed from
17	the Executive Chamber?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. How much time passed
2 0	between Senior Staffer #1 calling you and
21	being removed from the
22	Executive Chamber?
23	A. Several days, maybe a week.
2 4	Q. And he was transferred to
25	the Department of Health at that

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	got involved after she hired an
3	attorney; is that correct?
4	A. Frankly, after the lawsuit
5	had been filed and a response had
6	been drafted.
7	Q. I'm not going to ask you
8	about your involvement in ongoing
9	litigation. Do you have any
10	involvement in any earlier
11	investigation into any complaints
12	made about ?
13	A. No.
L 4	Q. Do you know whether that
15	was something that was referred to
16	GOER?
17	A. Yes, it was.
18	Q. And did GOER investigate
19	the complains made by ?
2 0	A. Yes, they did.
21	Q. Do you know how long the
22	GOER investigation took?
2 3	A. No, I do not.
2 4	Q. If GOER makes a
2 5	determination that there's any sort

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	of discrimination or harassment does
3	GOER have the authority to take any
4	action in response to the complaint?
5	A. No.
6	Q. What does GOER do if they
7	find that a complaint of
8	discrimination/harassment was
9	substantiated?
10	A. My understanding is they
11	refer it back to the agency to take
12	appropriate action.
13	Q. In the case of
14	do you know to whom
15	GOER made any recommendations?
16	A. I don't specifically.
17	Q. Now, we earlier talked
18	about a statement that was issued
19	that said that the allegations
20	regarding the Governor were going to
21	be reviewed by Barbara Jones. Were
22	you part of any discussions
23	thereafter about having the
2 4	investigation handled by anyone

else?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And who did you have such
4	conversations with?
5	A. Linda, Steve, Judy,
6	Melissa.
7	Q. And I want to show you tab
8	26.
9	(Exhibit 26, Draft referral,
10	marked for identification, as of
11	this date.)
12	Q. What is this document?
13	A. This is a draft referral
14	that I was sharing with the Attorney
15	General's office relative to the
16	investigation that we are
17	participating in now.
18	Q. And why were you sharing a
19	draft with the Attorney General's
20	office?
21	A. Because we were working
22	collaboratively with her office at
23	this juncture. The decision had
24	been made that we wanted to work
25	with the Attorney General to conduct

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 the review.

Q. And this draft says that "Qualified independent private attorney or attorneys" -- I'm sorry -- "will make a selection of such qualified independent private attorney or attorneys in consultation with the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals."

Whose idea was it that the
Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals
be involved in the selection
process?

- A. I don't know whose idea it was.
- Q. In any part of the process before the decision was made to refer this matter to the Attorney General's office were you part of any vetting of potential investigators?
- A. I was not personally involved in any vetting, no.
 - Q. Do you know someone named

Objection,

MR. FREEMAN:

	_
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	privileged.
3	Q. Did you have interactions
4	with Mr. Straface before he retired?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. What sort of interactions
7	did you have with Mr. Straface
8	before he retired?
9	A. Just chitchat in the car
10	sometimes, you know, to and from
11	events if he was not personally
12	staffing the Governor.
13	Q. Have you had any
L 4	conversations with Mr. Straface
15	about any of the allegations against
16	the Governor?
17	A. No.
18	Q. At the time you were
19	working in the Chamber had you ever
2 0	heard the Governor yell at anyone?
21	A. Yes.
2 2	Q. How many times?
23	A. A handful.
2 4	Q. Has he ever yelled at you?
2 5	A. Yes.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. How many times?
3	A. Handful.
4	Q. Did the Governor ever yell
5	at you over something that you
6	thought didn't justify being yelled
7	at?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Did you ever hear the
10	Governor curse at anyone?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. How often?
13	A. Not that often.
L 4	Q. Has he ever cursed at you?
15	A. Yeah.
16	Q. Did you ever see anyone who
17	became really upset about the way
18	the Governor treated them, either
19	yelling, screaming, calling them
2 0	names, anything along those lines?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Did anyone ever tell you
23	that they had become upset about how
2 4	the Governor treated them?
2 5	A. I think from time to time,

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	yeah. Like, you know, we
3	commiserated about how hard our jobs
4	were and sometimes the criticism,
5	you know, if it's valid it's tough.
6	Q. Did you ever experience or
7	observe the Governor criticizing
8	someone for something that was
9	beyond their control?
10	A. I mean to the extent that
11	there are a lot of things truly,
12	truly beyond our control, I guess
13	someone could perceive some of these
L 4	that way but I don't have any
15	specific recollection of anything
16	like that.
17	MS. CLARK: Jen, I see you on
18	the camera.
1 9	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Thank you.
2 0	Ms. Witness, you said that
21	you've heard the Governor curse.
22	What curse words have you heard him
2 3	use?
2 4	THE WITNESS: Look, I
2 5	interacted with the Governor in my

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 role at the Senate where we are adversaries really for a large part of our interactions. You know, it was not uncommon for him to swear about something that one of our members had done, a press statement that we had issued he didn't like. A press statement, if we issued a press statement he thought was objectionable he'd call and say what the fuck, you know. Use harsh language. It was, you know, routine -- it was routine in that it was not out of bounds for him to use strong language if he was offended by something that we did.

MS. KENNEDY-PARK: I
understand that was your experience
when you were outside the Executive
Chamber. When you worked in the
Executive Chamber you said you had
heard the Governor use curse words.
What are there curse words you've
heard him use?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	THE WITNESS: The F word, you
3	know, I have heard him call someone
4	a son of a bitch but not with a
5	particular regularity. In fact, I
6	think I have heard him swear and
7	yell much less since I have been an
8	employee of his than I ever heard
9	when I worked for the Senate.
L 0	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Thank you,
11	Anne.
12	Q. You said you've heard him
13	refer to somebody as a son of a
1 4	bitch. Have you ever heard him
15	refer to anyone as a bitch?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Did you ever hear the
18	Governor threaten anyone?
19	A. With actual physical
2 0	violence, no.
21	Q. With something other than
2 2	physical violence, some sort of
2 3	consequences to their career or
2 4	life?
2 5	A. Political repercussions of

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
anyone's actions in politics, you
know, are something that I have
heard him state. He's stated to me
from time to time, if you do this,
you know, you won't agree to this
bill, you know, I'm going to go to
the, you know, New York Post
editorial board and say you guys are
doing X, Y, Z for, you know, X
corrupt reason. It's...

- Q. Did you ever hear him make any other statements threatening to go to the press and make statements -- negative statements about somebody?
- A. No. But I think also it's not -- it was never anything that was, you know, out of bounds given the negotiations or the stakes that, you know, we were engaged in.
- Q. Did you ever hear Melissa Derosa make threats to anyone?
- A. I mean not really what I would consider threats, no.

HIGHLY	CONFIDENTIAL	-	WITNESS	6	/29	/ 2 1
--------	--------------	---	---------	---	-----	-------

- Q. What were you thinking about that you wouldn't really consider threats?
- A. I mean, you know, we say we need to get through a deal tonight or else this is going to be terrible for all of us. You know, we negotiate budgets, they have deadlines, we have really high stakes issues that we are sometimes dealing with. I don't think pointing out the obvious to anyone can fairly be viewed as a threat but, you know, I don't know what you are hearing on the other side so.
- Q. Did you ever hear or hear of Melissa Derosa threatening to give negative information to the press about somebody?
- A. You know, I think that part of her job as communications director. When she was communications director, you know, involved having communications with

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	the press all the time. So if you
3	are asking me is it possible that
4	happened, it's possible that
5	happened. Do I have specific
6	knowledge, no.
7	Q. Did you ever see the
8	Governor throw anything at anyone?
9	A. I saw him throw a pen once.
L 0	Q. At who?
11	A. At a whiteboard. It was a
12	whiteboard marker. He was angry
13	with my boss, the Senate majority
1 4	leader.
15	Q. Did you ever hear of the
16	Governor throwing anything at anyone
17	or in the direction of anyone?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Has the Governor ever
2 0	hugged you?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. How often?
2 3	A. A dozen times maybe.
2 4	Q. And has that been in the
2 5	office, at events or someplace else?

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. Both.
3	Q. Have you seen him hug any
4	other employees?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Which employees have you
7	seen him hug?
8	A. The first week that I was
9	at the Chamber there was a cabinet
10	retreat where the Governor, it's a,
11	you know, smaller group, agency,
12	commissioner, staff, he probably
13	hugged 30 or 40 people at that
1 4	event.
15	Q. At the Capitol did you ever
16	see him hug any employees?
17	A. No, not in the Capitol.
18	Q. Has the Governor ever
19	kissed you?
2 0	A. On the cheek, yes.
21	Q. How many times?
22	A. When I worked for the
23	Senate it was his custom to always
2 4	greet me when I would come to a
2 5	meeting with a kiss on the cheek.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. And when you come to these
3	meetings when you worked for the
4	Senate did he kiss everybody who
5	came to the meetings or just you or
6	only some people?
7	A. Sometimes everyone got a
8	kiss.
9	Q. Have you seen him since
10	since you've been in Chambers has he
11	kissed you?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Have you seen him kiss any
14	employees?
15	A. I have seen him kiss Judy
16	on the cheek.
17	Q. Anyone else?
18	A. Not that I recall.
19	Q. Did you ever see him kiss
20	any employees on the lips?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Did you were you ever
23	present when the Governor asked
24	somebody if he could kiss somebody?
25	A. I don't think so.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. Have you ever witnessed
3	somebody sit on the Governor's lap?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Have you ever heard of any
6	employees sitting on the Governor's
7	lap?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Have you ever observed the
10	Governor engaged in any conduct with
11	any employees that you would
12	consider to be flirting?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Have you ever heard the
15	Governor comment on the appearance
16	or clothing or anything along those
17	lines of any employees?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. What have you heard?
20	A. He's commented on my
21	clothing before or a hairstyle
22	change.
23	Q. What sort of comments?
24	A. You know, there was a time
25	I came to a meeting with straight

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	hair and he said you look so serious
3	today with the straight hair. It
4	was nothing offensive and I didn't
5	take any offense.
6	Q. Did you hear him make
7	comments about anyone else's
8	appearance?

- A. Yes. He frequently would mock men for having unkempt hair or unshined shoes.
- Q. Did you hear him make any comments about women's appearance?
- A. No, not -- not that's notable.
- Q. Did you ever hear the Governor make any jokes that had any sexual content or sexual innuendo?
- A. Yeah, I'm sure. I don't, you know, specifically recall anything that was off color but I think, you know, he made a comment that was a, you know, a joke about the not getting caught

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	up with girls from Staten Island
3	when his girlfriend was, in fact,
4	from Staten Island. You know, he
5	made some comment, you know, about
6	how they would have to clean up
7	their language when I started
8	attending leaders meetings. This
9	was when I worked for the Senate.
10	Q. Who did he make the comment
11	to about having to clean up their
12	language?
13	A. He made the comment to the
14	rest of the room really.
15	Q. Were you the only woman in
16	the room?
17	A. Leader, the assembly leader
18	and their staff.
19	Q. I'm sorry, you broke up.
20	A. The leaders of the Senate
21	and assembly and staff.
22	Q. And were there any other
23	women present?
24	A. No.
25	Q. Who were the head of the

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Senate and the assembly at the time?
3	A. It was John Flanagan and
4	Jeff Klein from the Senate and Carl
5	Hastie from the assembly.
6	Q. Did you ever witness the
7	Governor ask someone to sing for
8	him?
9	A. No.
10	Q. Did you ever witness the
11	Governor ask somebody to memorize
12	any song lyrics?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Did you ever hear the
15	Governor comment on somebody else's
16	sex drive?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Did you ever hear the
19	Governor ask employees questions
2 0	about boyfriends or girlfriends?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Who did you hear him ask
23	such questions of?
2 4	A. He would sometimes tease
25	Rob Mujica about his girlfriend

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	
3	Q. And what sort of comments
4	would he make to tease Rob about
5	?
6	A. He lives
7	so, you know, he'd tease that Robert
8	is not home enough.
9	Q. You said he lives
10	. Robert
11	A. Robert lives
12	from the Governor's mansion.
13	Q. Any other comments you've
14	heard him make about somebody's
15	boyfriend or girlfriend?
16	A. I remember he made a
17	comment about Danny's boyfriend,
18	Danny Lever's boyfriend
19	
2 0	
21	Q. Any other comments?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Did you ever hear the
2 4	Governor make comments about the
25	size of his hands?

	Tage 310
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. No.
3	Q. Did you ever hear the
4	Governor making comments on anyone's
5	tattoos?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Did you ever hear the
8	Governor use any nicknames for staff
9	members?
10	A. He calls me Bethesda so
11	yes, he often gives people
12	nicknames.
13	Q. Did he ever refer to you or
L 4	any other women in Chambers by terms
15	such as, you know, honey or dear or
16	sweetheart?
17	A. Definitely honey, dear,
18	he's used those terms with me. I
19	have never heard him call anyone
2 0	sweetheart.
21	Q. Have you heard him use
22	honey or dear with other women in
2 3	the Chamber?
2 4	A. Not that I noticed.
2 5	Q. Have you ever been present

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	when he spoke in Italian to any
3	women?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And when has that happened?
6	A. He's done it to me before.
7	Q. Do you understand Italian?
8	A. Not really.
9	Q. Do you know any of the
10	phrases or words that he used with
11	you?
12	A. I mean I have an inkling
13	that, you know, bella was in there
14	but I don't beyond that really
15	recall.
16	Q. And do you have any
17	understanding as to what bella
18	means?
19	A. I think beautiful.
2 0	Q. Had you heard him use bella
21	or a similar phrase, Italian phrases
22	with other women?
23	A. No.
2 4	MS. CLARK: I see Jen has
25	popped up again. Go ahead.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Thank you.
3	You said that the Governor has
4	called you honey and darling. Do
5	you think that's appropriate for
6	the workplace?
7	THE WITNESS: Dear, not
8	darling, I think was Anne's
9	question.
10	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Sorry, dear
11	or darling. Did you think being
12	called dear or darling was
13	appropriate in the workplace?
14	THE WITNESS: I did not have a
15	concern with it, no.
16	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Did you
17	think it was appropriate for the
18	workplace?
19	THE WITNESS: I think it's
20	fine for the workplace as long as
21	the individual is not
22	uncomfortable.
23	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: Did the
24	Governor ever ask you if you were
25	comfortable with him calling you

relationship with any employees?

having a sexual or romantic

24

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	A. No.
3	Q. Did you ever hear any
4	rumors of the Governor having a
5	sexual or romantic relationship with
6	any of the employees?
7	A. I had a reporter when I
8	worked in the Senate who asked if I
9	was, you know, aware of a
10	relationship between the Governor
11	and Senior Staffer #1.
12	Q. And that was when you were
13	still at the Senate?
L 4	A. Yeah. In 2017, 2016 maybe.
15	Q. Has the subject come up
16	since you joined the Chambers as to
17	whether the Governor and Senior Staffer #1
18	had ever been in a relationship?
19	A. No.
2 0	Q. Has Senior Staffer #1 ever spoken
21	about it with you?
22	A. No.
2 3	Q. Has the Governor ever
2 4	spoken about it with you?
2 5	A. No.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Q. Have you ever heard other
3	senior members of the Chamber yell
4	at other employees?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Who have you heard yelling
7	at other employees?
8	A. I have yelled at other
9	employees. I have heard Melissa
10	yell at employees. I have heard,
11	you know I have been yelled at by
12	Melissa. I think sometimes stakes
13	are high and justify raising one's
L 4	voice.
15	Q. So you thought when you've
16	raised your voice it's been
17	justified?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Do you think it was
2 0	justified when Ms. Derosa has raised
21	her voice?
22	A. Yeah.
23	Q. And what's justified it?
2 4	A. Frustration, stress levels,
2 5	you know, ultimately at the end of

the day, you know, it's everyone's desire to get the best possible job done for the people of the State of New York and the ends justify the means in the sense that if I need, you know, to understand the urgency with which something needs to happen and raising someone's voice is going to impart that urgency, I think it's justified.

- Q. Have you ever cursed at any employees?
- A. I have certainly used swear words in the office including, you know, the F word. I try very hard not to ever curse, you know, specifically at someone in an insulting way but I have certainly used the F word in the office.
- Q. Have you ever heard any other senior staff members curse at somebody?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Have you ever seen any

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	employees upset by how they were
3	treated by Ms. Derosa or any other
4	senior members of the staff?
5	A. expressed a
6	degree of upset with how he had been
7	treated by Senior Staffer #1 .
8	Q. Anyone else?
9	A. No. Not that came to my
10	attention.
11	Q. Have you ever been so upset
12	by how you've been treated in the
13	Chamber that you ever cried at work?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. How many times has that
16	happened?
17	A. A handful.
18	Q. And whose treatment made
19	you that upset?
20	A. I prefer not to think of it
21	in terms of treatment by any person
22	but certainly there have been really
23	stressful situations that have
24	caused me to cry at work.
25	Q. And who were you

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	interacting with when when things
3	got so stressful that you cried at
4	work?
5	A. The Senate, the Assembly,
6	lobbyists, you know, Melissa, the
7	Governor, Robert, Jill. You know,
8	sometimes
9	
10	
11	Q. Have you seen anyone else
12	cry in the Chamber?
13	A. I'm seen cry, but
14	that's really it.
15	Q. Has anyone ever expressed
16	any concern to you about the
17	atmosphere in the Chamber being
18	abusive?
19	A. I have certainly read it in
20	enough press reports but no one has
21	ever spoken to me about an actual
22	complaint that was occurring in the
23	workplace.
24	Q. Has anyone ever, not with a
25	formal complaint but just

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	commiserated with you talking about
3	the environment being abusive?
4	A. Grueling, tough, hard, yes.
5	Abusive, no.
6	MS. CLARK: Jen, do you have
7	any other questions?
8	MS. KENNEDY-PARK: I don't
9	have any other questions. Thank
10	you.
11	MS. CLARK: Thank you very
12	much, Ms. Witness. Is there
13	anything you want to add to clarify
14	any of your earlier answers you
15	gave?
16	MR. FREEMAN: Could we have a
17	moment, please?
18	MS. CLARK: Sure.
19	(Whereupon, there is an
20	off-the-record discussion.)
21	THE WITNESS: So just I wanted
22	to go back and just clarify on
23	Jennifer's question. I don't have
24	a specific recollection of ever
25	being called darling. And you had

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21 said honey, dear or sweetheart. So I, you know, my recollection is I have heard him call me honey or him call me dear. I don't -- so I just want to make sure that point is clear on the record.

Q. And I had said at the beginning I would remind you at the end is there any sort of just statement or anything we didn't touch upon that you want to state on the record?

A. I don't think so.

MS. CLARK: Okay. So we are going to end for today. We thank you for your time. I just want to remind you that you have continuing obligations under our subpoena so if we either learn information that we think we need to ask you about or we resolve privilege issues differently from the instructions that were given today, we might need to bring you back briefly.

1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	Certainly if you find any
3	additional documents, you should
4	give them to Mr. Freeman to produce
5	to us. And also I want to remind
6	you has as I said at the beginning
7	that you are obligated to keep
8	confidential everything that we
9	asked you about and what we
10	discussed today.
11	And finally, the binders of

And finally, the binders of documents we gave you, you and your counsel have a choice, you can shred them and Mr. Freeman can shoot us an e-mail they have been destroyed or you can ship them back to Cleary, either of those is fine. Is that okay?

> MR. FREEMAN: That's fine.

MS. CLARK: Okay. Thank you very much. We can go off the record.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Standby. The time is 5:55 p.m. This concludes today's deposition of

25

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

	Page 330
1	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - WITNESS 6/29/21
2	witness 06/29/2021. The number of
3	media units used is four. They
4	will be retained by Veritext Legal
5	Solutions. We are off the record
6	at 5:55 p.m. Eastern Standard Time.
7	(Time noted: 5:55 p.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	